## PCT

# WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau ICATION PUBLISHED LINDER THE PATENT CO



	HED (	JNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)				
(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :		(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/1000				
A61K 38/00	A2	(43) International Publication Date: 4 March 1999 (04.03.99)				
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US  (22) International Filing Date: 28 August 1998 (2)  (30) Priority Data: 60/057,394 29 August 1997 (29.08.97)  (71) Applicant: ONTOGENY, INC. [US/US]; 45 Moulto Cambridge, MA 02138 (US).  (72) Inventors: BLADGEN, Chris, S.; 26–29 Drury Lane WC2B 5RL (GB). CURRIE, Peter, D.; 26–29 Dru London WC2B 5RL (GB). INGHAM, Philip, V Court, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN (GB). H Simon, M.; 26–29 Drury Lane, London WC2B 5R (74) Agents: VINCENT, Matthew, P. et al.; Foley, Hoag	28.08.9  Loon Street, Londoury Lar W.; Fir IUGHE RL (GB	BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  Published  Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.				
LLP, One Post Office Square, Boston, MA 02109	(US).					
(54) Title: REGULATION OF MUSCLE TISSUES BY HEDGEHOG-LIKE POLYPEPTIDES, AND FORMULATIONS AND USES RELATED THERETO						
(57) Abstract						
The present application relates to a method for modulating the formation and/or maintenance of muscle tissue by ectopically contacting muscle cells, especially muscle stem/progenitor cells, in vitro or in vivo, with a hedgehog therapeutic or pic therapeutic in an amount effective to alter the growth state the treated cells, e.g., relative to the absence of administration of the hedgehog therapeutic or pic therapeutic.						
		·				
		•				
		•				

### FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana .	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Vict Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	zw	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

## Regulation of Muscle Tissues by Hedgehog-like Polypeptides, and Formulations and Uses Related Thereto

#### **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

5

10

15

20

25

30

Since nuclei in muscle fibers of vertebrate animals are incapable of DNA synthesis or mitotic division, increases in muscle fiber numbers or in numbers of muscle fiber nuclei are due to proliferation and subsequent differentiation of skeletal muscle precursor cells known as "myoblasts." In adults, myoblasts remain as a mitotically quiescent reserve precursor population which can, upon muscle injury, re-enter the cell cycle, undergo several rounds of proliferation, and subsequently differentiate and permanently exit the from the cell cycle. Upon differentiation, differentiated myoblasts ("myocytes") acquire the ability to fuse with one another or with muscle fibers, and also commence coordinate expression of a large set of muscle-specific myofibrillar and contractile proteins (e.g., muscle myosins and actin, troponin, tropomyosin, etc.).

Muscle tissue can grow by several different mechanisms which are controlled by different trophic factors. Muscle tissue can grow by hypertrophy, an enlargement of or increase in mass or size of muscle fibers, or by hyperplasia, an increase in the numbers of fibers or in the numbers of muscle nuclei, or by a combination of these two processes. Growth factors that act on skeletal muscle tissue can be divided into two broad groups. The factors that stimulate proliferation of myoblasts usually inhibit differentiation of myoblasts and inhibit the expression and action of the muscle regulatory transcription factors (MRFs). Conversely, the factors that stimulate differentiation of myoblasts usually stimulate expression of the MRFs and can contribute to muscle hypertrophy. Most pharmacologic agents currently under consideration as muscle trophic factors act to stimulate muscle hypertrophy. Such hypertrophic factors include, for example, growth hormone (GH) or insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I). Muscle hypertrophy can be assessed by the measurement of muscle fiber diameter in vivo or in vitro, or by the measurement of the accretion of the muscle-specific myofibrillar and contractile proteins.

Clinically, a decline in such skeletal muscle tissue mass, or muscle atrophy, is an important contributor to frailty in older individuals. In human males, muscle mass declines by one-third between the ages of 50 and 80. In older adults, extended hospitalization can result in further disuse atrophy leading to a potential loss of the ability for independent living and to a cascade of physical decline. Moreover, the physical aging process profoundly affects body composition, including significant reductions in lean

-2-

body mass and increases in central adiposity. The changes in overall adiposity and fat distribution appear to be important factors in many common "age-related" disorders such as hypertension, glucose intolerance and diabetes, dyslipidemia, and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. In addition, it is possible that the age-associated decrement in muscle mass, and subsequently in strength and endurance, may be a critical determinant for functional loss, dependence and disability. Muscle weakness is also a major factor prediposing the elderly to falls and the resulting morbidity and mortality. Complications from falls constitute the sixth leading cause of death among people over 65 years of age.

It is a goal of the present invention to provide definition of how spatial information in the early somite generates muscle tissues.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

10

15

20

25

30

One aspect of the present application relates to a method for regulating the formation and/or maintenance of muscle tissue by ectopically contacting muscle cells, especially muscle stem/progenitor cells, *in vitro* or *in vivo*, with a hedgehog therapeutic or ptc therapeutic in an amount effective to alter the growth state the treated cells, e.g., relative to the absence of administeration of the hedgehog therapeutic or ptc therapeutic.

Wherein the subject method is carried out using a hedgehog therapeutic, the hedgehog therapeutic preferably a polypeptide including a hedgehog portion comprising at least a bioactive extracellular portion of a hedgehog protein, e.g., the hedgehog portion includes at least 50, 100 or 150 (contiguous) amino acid residues of an N-terminal half of a hedgehog protein. In preferred embodiments, the hedgehog portion includes at least a portion of the hedgehog protein corresponding to a 19kd fragment of the extracellular domain of a hedgehog protein.

In preferred embodiments, the *hedgehog* portion has an amino acid sequence at least 60, 75, 85, or 95 percent identical with a hedgehog protein of any of SEQ ID Nos. 10-18 or 20, though sequences identical to those sequence listing entries are also contemplated as useful in the present method. The *hedgehog* portion can be encoded by a nucleic acid which hybridizes under stringent conditions to a nucleic acid sequence of any of SEQ ID Nos. 1-9 or 19, e.g., the *hedgehog* portion can be encoded by a vertebrate *hedgehog* gene, especially a human *hedgehog* gene.

In other embodiments, the subject method can be carried out by administering a gene activation construct, wherein the gene activation construct is deigned to recombine with a genomic *hedgehog* gene of the patient to provide a heterologous transcriptional regulatory sequence operatively linked to a coding sequence of the *hedgehog* gene.

In still other embodiments, the subject method can be practiced with the administration of a gene therapy construct encoding a *hedgehog* polypeptide. For instance, the gene therapy construct can be provided in a composition selected from a group consisting of a recombinant viral particle, a liposome, and a poly-cationic nucleic acid binding agent,

5

10

15

20

25

30

In yet other embodiments, the subject method can be carried out using a ptc therapeutic. An exemplary ptc therapeutic is a small organic molecule which binds to a patched protein and derepresses patched-mediated inhibition of mitosis, e.g., a molecule which binds to patched and mimics hedgehog-mediated patched signal transduction, which binds to patched and regulates patched-dependent gene expression. For instance, the binding of the ptc therapeutic to patched may result in upregulation of patched and/or gli expression.

In a more generic sense, the *ptc* therapeutic can be a small organic molecule which interacts with muscle cells to induce *hedgehog*-mediated *patched* signal transduction, such as by altering the localization, protein-protein binding and/or enzymatic activity of an intracellular protein involved in a *patched* signal pathway. For instance, the *ptc* therapeutic may alter the level of expression of a *hedgehog* protein, a patched protein or a protein involved in the intracellular signal transduction pathway of *patched*.

In certain embodiments, the *ptc* therapeutic is an antisense construct which inhibits the expression of a protein which is involved in the signal transduction pathway of *patched* and the expression of which antagonizes *hedgehog*-mediated signals. The antisense construct is perferably an oligonucleotide of about 20-30 nucleotides in length and having a GC content of at least 50 percent.

In other embodiments, the *ptc* therapeutic is an inhibitor of protein kinase A (PKA), such as a 5-isoquinolinesulfonamide. The PKA inhibitor can be a cyclic AMP analog. Exemplary PKA inhibitors include N-[2-((p-bromocinnamyl)amino)ethyl]-5-isoquinolinesulfonamide, 1-(5-isoquinoline-sulfonyl)-2-methylpiperazine, KT5720, 8-bromo-cAMP, dibutyryl-cAMP and PKA Heat Stable Inhibitor isoform α. Another exemplary PKA inhibitor is represented in the general formula:

-4-

wherein,

5

15

20

 $R_1$  and  $R_2$  each can independently represent hydrogen, and as valence and stability permit a lower alkyl, a lower alkenyl, a lower alkynyl, a carbonyl (such as a carboxyl, an ester, a formate, or a ketone), a thiocarbonyl (such as a thioester, a thioacetate, or a thioformate), an amino, an acylamino, an amido, a cyano, a nitro, an azido, a sulfate, a sulfonate, a sulfonamido,  $-(CH_2)_m-R_8$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-OH$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-OH$  over alkyl,  $-(CH_2)_m-OH$  alkenyl,  $-(CH_2)_m-OH$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m$ 

10 R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> taken together with N form a heterocycle (substituted or unsubstituted);

 $R_3$  is absent or represents one or more substitutions to the isoquinoline ring such as a lower alkyl, a lower alkenyl, a lower alkynyl, a carbonyl (such as a carboxyl, an ester, a formate, or a ketone), a thiocarbonyl (such as a thioester, a thioacetate, or a thioformate), an amino, an acylamino, an amido, a cyano, a nitro, an azido, a sulfate, a sulfonate, a sulfonamido,  $-(CH_2)_m-R_8$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-OH$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-O-lower alkyl$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-O-lower alkyl$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-O-lower alkenyl$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-O-(CH_2)_m-R_8$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-SH$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-S-lower alkyl$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-S-lower alkenyl$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-S-(CH_2)_m-R_8$ ;

R<sub>8</sub> represents a substituted or unsubstituted aryl, aralkyl, cycloalkyl, cycloalkenyl, or heterocycle; and

n and m are independently for each occurrence zero or an integer in the range of 1 to 6.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

All vertebrates have two classes of muscle fibers: slow and fast. Slow fibers have low-force long-duration contractions because they express myosin isoforms that are specialized for slow contraction and an oxidative metabolism. Fast fibers have distinct fast myosins and glycolytic metabolism, ideal for high-force short-duration contractions.

Each muscle has a specific mix and spatial array of fast and slow fibers from their formation early in development. How such arrays are patterned has previously been unknown, though evidence for two contrasting models existed. In one view, proliferative myoblasts are intrinsically committed to form either fast or slow fibers and accumulate in appropriate regions of the embryo. Clonal cell analysis in chick shows that myoblasts are heterogeneous prior to their differentiation: some are specialized to form slow muscle, whereas others form fast (DiMario et al., 1993; Miller and Stockdale, 1986; Schafer et al., 1987). Alternatively, naive myoblasts could be instructed by their environment to

-5-

PCT/US98/17922

heterogeneous prior to their differentiation: some are specialized to form slow muscle, whereas others form fast (DiMario et al., 1993; Miller and Stockdale, 1986; Schafer et al., 1987). Alternatively, naive myoblasts could be instructed by their environment to express specific isoforms of muscle proteins at the time of differentiation, as occurs in postnatal rodent muscles (Hughes and Blau, 1992). A resolution of this issue is suggested by studies in Drosophila where local extrinsic signals induce commitment of muscle founder myoblasts to the formation of a particular type of muscle in each location (Baylies et al., 1995). In the following examples, we examine vertebrate muscle patterning in the zebrafish somite. We show that the secreted glycoprotein Sonic hedgehog (SHH) regulates the decision between fast and slow muscle formation and we

suggest this decision involves induction of a specifically slow myoblast type.

#### I. Overview

WO 99/10004

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

The present application is directed to the discovery that hedgehog gene products are involved in controlling the formation and/or maintenance of muscle tissue, especially slow (red) muscle. Certain aspects of the invention are directed to a preparations of hedgehog polypeptides, or other molecules which regulate *patched* or *smoothened* signalling, and their uses in stimulating muscle growth or differentiation in mammals. In particular embodiments, the invention is directed to the use of *hedgehog* polypeptides to stimulate muscle growth, differentiation or hypertrophy.

As described in the appended examples, hedgehog proteins are implicated in the proliferation and/or differentiation of myoblastic/myocytic cells and may provide early signals that regulate the differentiation of these or other precursor (stem) cells into muscle tissues. In general, the method of the present invention comprises contacting a muscle cells (collectively, muscle stem cells (myoblasts), and myocytic or other differentiated muscle cells), with an amount of a hedgehog therapeutic (defined infra) which produces a non-toxic response by the cell of (i) induction of of muscle tissue formation or maintenance of existing muscle tissue, or (ii) inhibition of muscle tissue formation, depending on the whether the hedgehog therapeutic is a sufficient hedgehog agonist or hedgehog antagonist. The subject method can be carried out on muscle cells

which may be either dispersed in culture or a part of an intact tissue or organ. Moreover, the method can be performed on cells which are provided in culture (*in vitro*), or on cells in a whole animal (*in vivo*).

In one aspect, the present invention provides pharmaceutical preparations and methods for controlling the formation of myoblastic-derived tissue utilizing, as an active ingredient, a *hedgehog* polypeptide or a mimetic thereof. The invention also relates to methods of controlling the functional performance of an muscle-derived tissue by use of the pharmaceutical preparations of the invention.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

The *hedgehog* formulations of the present invention may be used as part of regimens in the treatment or prevention of disorders of, or surgical or cosmetic repair of, such muscle tissues. For instance, the subject method can be used for treating atrophy, or wasting, in particular, skeletal muscle atrophy and cardiac muscle atrophy. In addition, certain diseases wherein the muscle tissue is damaged, is abnormal or has atrophied, are treatable using the invention, such as, for example, normal aging, disuse atrophy, wasting or cachexia, and various secondary disorders associated with age and the loss of muscle mass, such as hypertension, glucose intolerance and diabetes, dyslipidemia and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. In addition, the therapeutic preparatios of the present invention may be used to treat rhabdomyosarcomas by regulating myoblast differentiation. The invention also is directed to the treatment of certain cardiac insufficiencies, such as congestive heart failure. The treatment of muscular myopathies such as muscular dystrophies is also embodied in the invention.

In certain embodiments, the subject compositions can be used to inhibit, rather than promote, growth of myoblastic-derived tissue. For instance, certain of the compositions disclosed herein may be applied to the treatment or prevention of a variety hyperplastic or neoplastic conditions affecting muscle tissue. The method can find application for the treatment or prophylaxis of, e.g., invasive muscle tumors and myoblastic sarcomas.

The subject hedgehog treatments are effective on both human and animal subjects afflicted with these conditions. Animal subjects to which the invention is applicable extend to both domestic animals and livestock, raised either as pets or for commercial purposes. Examples are dogs, cats, cattle, horses, sheep, hogs and goats.

Still another aspect of the present invention provides a method of stimulating the growth and regulating the differentiation of muscle cells and tissues in culture.

Without wishing to be bound by any particular theory, the induction of muscle formation by hedgehog proteins may be due at least in part to the ability of these proteins

-7-

to antagonize (directly or indirectly) patched-mediated regulation of gene expression and other physiological effects mediated by that protein. The patched gene product, a cell surface protein, is understood to signal through a pathway which causes transcriptional repression of members of the Wnt and Dpp/BMP families of morphogens, proteins which impart positional information. In development of the CNS and patterning of limbs in vertebrates, the introduction of hedgehog relieves (derepresses) this inhibition conferred by patched, allowing expression of particular gene programs.

Recently, it has been reported that mutations in the human version of patched, a gene first identified in a fruit fly developmental pathway, cause a hereditary skin cancer and may contribute to sporadic skin cancers. See, for example, Hahn et al. (1996) Cell 86:841-851; and Johnson et al. (1996) Science 272:1668-1671. The demonstraction that nevoid basal-cell carcinoma (NBCC) results from mutations in the human patched gene provided an example of the roles patched plays in post-embryonic deveolpment. These observations have led the art to understand one activity of patched to be a tumor suppressor gene, which may act by inhibiting proliferative signals from hedgehog. Our observations set forth below reveal potential new roles for the hedgehog/patched pathway in maintenance of muscle cell proliferation and differentiation. Accordingly, the present invention contemplates the use of other agents which are capable of mimicking the effect of the hedgehog protein on patched signalling, e.g., as may be identified from the drug screening assays described below.

### II. Definitions

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

For convience, certain terms employed in the specification, examples, and appended claims are collected here.

The term "hedgehog therapeutic" refers to various forms of hedgehog polypeptides, as well as peptidomimetics, which can modulate the proliferation/differentiation state of muscle cells by, as will be clear from the context of individual examples, mimicing or potentiating (agonizing) or inhibiting (antagonizing) the effects of a naturally-occurring *hedgehog* protein. A *hedgehog* therapeutic which mimics or potentiates the activity of a wild-type hedgehog protein is a "hedgehog agonist". Conversely, a *hedgehog* therapeutic which inhibits the activity of a wild-type hedgehog protein is a "hedgehog antagonist".

In particular, the term "hedgehog polypeptide" encompasses preparations of hedgehog proteins and peptidyl fragments thereof, both agonist and antagonist forms as the specific context will make clear.

-8-

As used herein the term "bioactive fragment of a hedgehog protein" refers to a fragment of a full-length hedgehog polypeptide, wherein the fragment specifically agonizes or antagonizes inductive events mediated by wild-type hedgehog proteins. The hedgehog biactive fragment preferably is a soluble extracellular portion of a hedgehog protein, where solubility is with reference to physiologically compatible solutions. Exemplary bioactive fragments are described in PCT publications WO 95/18856 and WO 96/17924.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

The term "ptc therapeutic" refers to agents which either (i) mimic the effect of hedgehog proteins on patched signalling, e.g., which antagonize the cell-cycle inhibitory activity of patched, or (ii) activate or potentiate patched signalling. In other embodiments, the ptc therapeutic can be a hedgehog antagonist. The ptc therapeutic can be, e.g., a peptide, a nucleic acid, a carbohydrate, a small organic molecule, or natural product extract (or fraction thereof).

A "proliferative" form of a hedgehog or ptc therapeutic is one which induces proliferation of muscle cells, particularly muscle stem cells. Conversely, an "antiproliferative" form of a hedgehog or ptc therapeutic is one which inhibits proliferation of an muscle cells, preferably in a non-toxic manner, e.g., by promoting or maintaining a differentiated phenotype or otherwise promoting quiescence.

As used herein, "myoblast cultures" refers to cultures that contain cycling skeletal muscle precursors, and are considered distinct from "muscle fiber cultures" which are derived from myoblast cultures that are allowed to undergo differentiation and fusion to form multinucleated muscle fibers. The term "myogenic culture" is a generic term that refers to both kinds of cultures. The term "myocyte" refers to a differentiated, postmitotic, muscle cell that has not yet undergone fusion, and thus represents, in general, a transient cell type under most conditions.

As used herein, "proliferating" and "proliferation" refer to cells undergoing mitosis.

As used herein, "transformed cells" refers to cells which have spontaneously converted to a state of unrestrained growth, i.e., they have acquired the ability to grow through an indefinite number of divisions in culture. Transformed cells may be characterized by such terms as neoplastic, anaplastic and/or hyperplastic, with respect to their loss of growth control.

As used herein, "immortalized cells" refers to cells which have been altered via chemical and/or recombinant means such that the cells have the ability to grow through an indefinite number of divisions in culture.

-9-

A "patient" or "subject" to be treated by the subject method can mean either a human or non-human animal.

An "effective amount" of, e.g., a hedgehog therapeutic, with respect to the subject method of treatment, refers to an amount of, e.g., a hedgehog polypeptide in a preparation which, when applied as part of a desired dosage regimen brings about a change in the rate of cell proliferation and/or the state of differentiation of a cell so as to produce an amount of muscle cell proliferation or differentiation according to clinically acceptable standards for the disorder to be treated or the cosmetic purpose.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

The "growth state" of a cell refers to the rate of proliferation of the cell and the state of differentiation of the cell.

"Homology" and "identity" each refer to sequence similarity between two polypeptide sequences, with identity being a more strict comparison. Homology and identity can each be determined by comparing a position in each sequence which may be aligned for purposes of comparison. When a position in the compared sequence is occupied by the same amino acid residue, then the polypeptides can be referred to as identical at that position; when the equivalent site is occupied by the same amino acid (e.g., identical) or a similar amino acid (e.g., similar in steric and/or electronic nature), then the molecules can be referred to as homologous at that position. A percentage of homology or identity between sequences is a function of the number of matching or homologous positions shared by the sequences. An "unrelated" or "non-homologous" sequence shares less than 40 percent identity, though preferably less than 25 percent identity, with an hedgeog sequence of the present invention.

The term "corresponds to", when referring to a particular polypeptide or nucleic acid sequence is meant to indicate that the sequence of interest is identical or homologous to the reference sequence to which it is said to correspond.

The terms "recombinant protein", "heterologous protein" and "exogenous protein" are used interchangeably throughout the specification and refer to a polypeptide which is produced by recombinant DNA techniques, wherein generally, DNA encoding the polypeptide is inserted into a suitable expression construct which is in turn used to transform a host cell to produce the heterologous protein. That is, the polypeptide is expressed from a heterologous nucleic acid.

A "chimeric protein" or "fusion protein" is a fusion of a first amino acid sequence encoding a *hedgehog* polypeptide with a second amino acid sequence defining a domain foreign to and not substantially homologous with any domain of *hh* protein. A chimeric protein may present a foreign domain which is found (albeit in a different protein) in an

PCT/US98/17922

organism which also expresses the first protein, or it may be an "interspecies", "intergenic", etc. fusion of protein structures expressed by different kinds of organisms. In general, a fusion protein can be represented by the general formula  $(X)_n$ - $(hh)_m$ - $(Y)_n$ , wherein hh represents all or a portion of the *hedgehog* protein, X and Y each independently represent an amino acid sequences which are not naturally found as a polypeptide chain contiguous with the *hedgehog* sequence, m is an integer greater than or equal to 1, and each occurrence of n is, independently, 0 or an integer greater than or equal to 1 (n and m are preferably no greater than 5 or 10).

#### 10 III. Exemplary Applications of Method and Compositions

15

20

25

The subject method has wide applicability to the treatment or prophylaxis of disorders afflicting muscle tissue. In general, the method can be characterized as including a step of administering to an animal an amount of a ptc or hedgehog therapeutic effective to alter the proliferative state of a treated muscle tissue. The mode of administration and dosage regimens will vary depending on the muscle tissue(s) which is to be treated. Likewise, as described in further detail below, the use of a particular ptc or hedgehog therapeutic, e.g., an agonist or antagonist, will depend on whether proliferation of cells of the treated tissue is desired or intended to be prevented.

In one aspect, the invention is directed to a muscle-trophic factor, and its use in stimulating muscle growth or differentiation in mammals. Such stimulation of muscle growth is useful for treating atrophy, or wasting, in particular, skeletal muscle atrophy and cardiac muscle atrophy. In addition, certain diseases wherein the muscle tissue is damaged, is abnormal or has atrophied, are treatable using the invention, such as, for example, normal aging, disuse atrophy, wasting or cachexia, and various secondary disorders associated with age and the loss of muscle mass, such as hypertension, glucose intolerance and diabetes, dyslipidemia and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. The treatment of muscular myopathies such as muscular dystrophies is also embodied in the invention.

With denervation or disuse, skeletal muscles undergo rapid atrophy which leads to a profound decrease in size, protein content and contractile strength. This atrophy is an important component of many neuromuscular diseases in humans. In a clinical setting, compositions comprising the subject ptc and hedgehog therapeutics can be used for inhibiting muscle degeration, e.g., for decreasing the loss of muscle mass, such as part of a treatment for such muscle wasting disorders.

In preferred embodiments pharmaceutical compositions according to the invention are administered to patients suffering from a disorder, i.e., an abnormal physical condition, a disease or pathophysiological condition associated with abnormal and/or aberrant regulation of muscle tissue. The disorders for which the compositions of the invention are administered are preferably those which directly or indirectly produce a wasting (i.e., loss) of muscle mass, that is, a muscle wasting disorder. These include muscular dystrophies, cardiac cachexia, emphysema, leprosy, malnutrition, osteomalacia, child acute leukemia, AIDS cachexia and cancer cachexia.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

The muscular dystrophies are genetic diseases which are characterized by progressive weakness and degeneration of muscle fibers without evidence of neural degeneration. In Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) patients display an average of a 67% reduction in muscle mass, and in myotonic dystrophy, fractional muscle protein synthesis has been shown to be decreased by an average of 28%, without any corresponding decrease in non-muscle protein synthesis (possibly due to impaired endorgan response to anabolic hormones or substrates). Accelerated protein degradation has been demonstrated in the muscles of DMD patients. The subject method can be used as part of a therapeutic strategy for preventing, and in some instance reversing, the muscle wasting conditions associated with such dystrophies.

Severe congestive heart failure (CHF) is characterized by a "cardiac cachexia," i.e., a muscle protein wasting of both the cardiac and skeletal muscles, with an average 19% body weight decrease. The cardiac cachexia is caused by an increased rate of myofibrillar protein breakdown. The subject method can be used as part of a treatment for cardiac cachexia.

Emphysema is a chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, defined by an enlargement of the air spaces distal to the terminal non-respiratory bronchioles, accompanied by destructive changes of the alveolar walls. Clinical manifestations of reduced pulmonary functioning include coughing, wheezing, recurrent respiratory infections, edema, and functional impairment and shortened life-span. The efflux of tyrosine is increased by 47% in emphysematous patients. Also, whole body leucine flux remains normal, whole-body leucine oxidation is increased, and whole-body protein synthesis is decreased. The result is a decrease in muscle protein synthesis, accompanied by a decrease in whole body protein turnover and skeletal muscle mass. This decrease becomes increasingly evident with disease progression and long term deterioration. The subject ptc and hedgehog therapeutics may be used to prevent and/or reverse, the muscle wasting conditions associated with such diseases.

5

10

15

20

25

30

-12-

PCT/US98/17922

In diabetes mellitus, there is a generalized wasting of small muscle of the hands, which is due to chronic partial denervation (neuropathy). This is most evident and worsens with long term disease progression and severity. The subject method can be used as part of a therapeutic strategy for treatement of diabetes mellitus.

Leprosy is associated with a muscular wasting which occurs between the metacarpals of the thumb and index finger. Severe malnutrition is characterized by, inter alia, severe muscle wasting. The subject method can be used to treat muscle wasting effects of leprosy.

Osteomalacia is a nutritional disorder caused by a deficiency of vitamin D and calcium. It is referred to as "rickets" in children, and "osteomalacia" in adults. It is marked by a softening of the bones (due to impaired mineralization, with excess accumulation of osteoid), pain, tenderness, muscle wasting and weakness, anorexia, and overall weight loss. It can result from malnutrition, repeated pregnancies and lactation (exhausting or depleting vitamin D and calcium stores), and vitamin D resistance. The subject method can be used as part of a therapeutic strategy for treatment of osteomalacia.

In childhood acute leukemia there is protein energy malnutrition which results in skeletal muscle wasting. Studies have shown that some children exhibit the muscle wasting even before diagnosis of the leukemia, with an average 27% decrease in muscle mass. There is also a simultaneous 33%-37% increase in adipose tissue, resulting in no net change in relative body weight and limb circumference. Such patients may be amenable to treatment with a ptc or hedgehog therapeutic according to the method of the present invention.

Cancer cachexia is a complex syndrome which occurs with variable incidence in patients with solid tumors and hematological malignancies. Clinically, cancer cachexia is manifested as weight loss with massive depletion of both adipose tissue and lean muscle mass, and is one cause of death which results from cancer. Cancer cachexia patients have shorter survival times, and decreased response to chemotherapy. In addition to disorders which produce muscle wasting, other circumstances and conditions appear to be linked in some fashion with a decrease in muscle mass. Such afflictions include muscle wasting due to chronic back pain, advanced age, long term hospitalization due to illness or injury, alcoholism and corticosteroid therapy. The subject method can be used as part of a therapeutic strategy for preventing, and in some instance reversing, the muscle wasting conditions associated with such cancers.

5

10

15

20

25

30

-13-

PCT/US98/17922

Studies have shown that in severe cases of chronic lower back pain, there is paraspinal muscle wasting. Decreasing paraspinal muscle wasting alleviates pain and improves function. A course of treatment for disorder can include administration of a therapeutic amount of ptc or hedgehog therapeutics.

It is also believed that general weakness in old age is due to muscle wasting. As the body ages, an increasing proportion of skeletal muscle is replaced by fibrous tissue. The result is a significant reduction in muscle power, but only a marginal reduction in fat-free mass. The subject method can be used as part of a treatment and preventive strategies for preventing/reversing muscle wasting in elderly patients.

Studies have also shown that in patients suffering injuries or chronic illnesses, and hospitalized for long periods of time, there is long-lasting unilateral muscle wasting, with an average 31% decrease in muscle mass. Studies have also shown that this can be corrected with intensive physiotherapy. However, it may be more effective for many patients to at least augment such therapies with treatment by the subject method

In alcoholics there is wasting of the anterior tibial muscle. This proximal muscle damage is caused by neurogenic damage, namely, impaired glycolytic and phosphorylase enzyme activity. The damage becomes apparent and worsens the longer the duration of the alcohol abuse. Patients treated with corticosteroids experience loss of muscle mass. Such patients may also be amenable to treatment by the subject method.

The compounds of the invention can be used to alleviate the muscle mass loss resulting from the foregoing conditions, as well as others. Additionally, the ptc and hedgehog therapeutics of the present invention are useful in veterinary and animal husbandry applications to counter weight loss in animals, or to promote growth. For instance, the invention may also find use for increasing the efficiency of animal meat production. Specifically, animals may be fed or injected with a ptc or hedgehog therapeutic in order to increase overall skeletal muscle mass, e.g., to increase the weight of such farm animals as cows, pigs, sheep, chickens and salmon.

The maintenance of tissues and organs ex vivo is also highly desirable. Tissue replacement therapy is well established in the treatment of human disease. There are many situations where one may wish to transplant muscle cells, especially muscle stem cells, into a recipient host where the recipient's cells are missing, damaged or dysfunctional. muscle cells in muscle wasting disease. For example, transplantation of normal myoblasts may be useful to treat Duchenne muscular dystrophy and other muscle degeneration and wasting diseases. See, for example, Partridge (1991) Muscle & Nerve

14:197-212. In the case of myoblasts, they may be injected at various sites to treat muscle wasting diseases.

-14-

PCT/US98/17922

The subject method can be used to regulate the growth of muscle cells and tissue in vitro, as well as to accelerate the grafting of impanted muscle tissue to an animal host

In this regard, the present invention also concerns myoblast cultures which have been expanded by treatment with a hedgehog or other ptc therapeutic. In an illustrative embodiment, such a method comprises obtaining a muscle sample, preferably one including myoblasts; optionally treating the cell sample enzymically to separate the cells; culturing, in the presence of a hedgehog or ptc therapeutic.

10

15

20

25

30

35

5

#### IV. Exemplary hedgehog therapeutic compounds.

The *hedgehog* therapeutic compositions of the subject method can be generated by any of a variety of techniques, including purification of naturally occurring proteins, recombinantly produced proteins and synthetic chemistry. Polypeptide forms of the hedgehog therapeutics are preferably derived from vertebrate hedgehog proteins, e.g., have sequences corresponding to naturally occurring hedgehog proteins, or fragments thereof, from vertebrate organisms. However, it will be appreciated that the hedgehog polypeptide can correspond to a hedgehog protein (or fragment thereof) which occurs in any metazoan organism.

The various naturally-occurring hedgehog proteins from which the subject therapeutics can be derived are characterized by a signal peptide, a highly conserved Nterminal region, and a more divergent C-terminal domain. In addition to signal sequence cleavage in the secretory pathway (Lee, J.J. et al. (1992) Cell 71:33-50; Tabata, T. et al. (1992) Genes Dev. 2635-2645; Chang, D.E. et al. (1994) Development 120:3339-3353). hedgehog precursor proteins naturally undergo an internal autoproteolytic cleavage which depends on conserved sequences in the C-terminal portion (Lee et al. (1994) Science 266:1528-1537; Porter et al. (1995) Nature 374:363-366). This autocleavage leads to a 19 kD N-terminal peptide and a C-terminal peptide of 26-28 kD (Lee et al. (1992) supra; Tabata et al. (1992) supra; Chang et al. (1994) supra; Lee et al. (1994) supra; Bumcrot, D.A., et al. (1995) Mol. Cell. Biol. 15:2294-2303; Porter et al. (1995) supra; Ekker, S.C. et al. (1995) Curr. Biol. 5:944-955; Lai, C.J. et al. (1995) Development 121:2349-2360). The N-terminal peptide stays tightly associated with the surface of cells in which it was synthesized, while the C-terminal peptide is freely diffusible both in vitro and in vivo (Lee et al. (1994) supra; Bumcrot et al. (1995) supra; Mart', E. et al. (1995) Development 121:2537-2547; Roelink, H. et al. (1995) Cell 81:445-455). Cell surface

retention of the N-terminal peptide is dependent on autocleavage, as a truncated form of hedgehog encoded by an RNA which terminates precisely at the normal position of internal cleavage is diffusible in vitro (Porter et al. (1995) supra) and in vivo (Porter, J.A. et al. (1996) Cell 86, 21-34). Biochemical studies have shown that the autoproteolytic cleavage of the hedgehog precursor protein proceeds through an internal thioester intermediate which subsequently is cleaved in a nucleophilic substitution. It is suggested that the nucleophile is a small lipophilic molecule, more particularly cholesterol, which becomes covalently bound to the C-terminal end of the N-peptide (Porter et al. (1996) supra), tethering it to the cell surface.

5

10

15

20

The vertebrate family of hedgehog genes includes at least four members, e.g., paralogs of the single drosophila hedgehog gene (SEQ ID No. 19). Three of these members, herein referred to as Desert hedgehog (Dhh), Sonic hedgehog (Shh) and Indian hedgehog (lhh), apparently exist in all vertebrates, including fish, birds, and mammals. A fourth member, herein referred to as tiggie-winkle hedgehog (Thh), appears specific to fish. According to the appended sequence listing, (see also Table 1) a chicken Shh polypeptide is encoded by SEQ ID No:1; a mouse Dhh polypeptide is encoded by SEQ ID No:2; a mouse Ihh polypeptide is encoded by SEQ ID No:3; a mouse Shh polypeptide is encoded by SEQ ID No:4 a zebrafish Shh polypeptide is encoded by SEQ ID No:5; a human Shh polypeptide is encoded by SEQ ID No:6; a human Ihh polypeptide is encoded by SEQ ID No.7; a human Dhh polypeptide is encoded by SEQ ID No. 8; and a zebrafish Thh is encoded by SEQ ID No. 9.

Table 1 Guide to hedgehog sequences in Sequence Listing

	Nucleotide	Amino Acid
Chicken Shh	SEQ ID No. 1	SEQ ID No. 10
Mouse Dhh	SEQ ID No. 2	SEQ ID No. 11
Mouse Ihh	SEQ ID No. 3	SEQ ID No. 12
Mouse Shh	SEQ ID No. 4	SEQ ID No. 13
Zebrafish Shh	SEQ ID No. 5	SEQ ID No. 14
Human Shh	SEQ ID No. 6	SEQ ID No. 15
Human Ihh	SEQ ID No. 7	SEQ ID No. 16
Human Dhh	SEQ ID No. 8	SEQ ID No. 17
Zebrafish Thh	SEQ ID No. 9	SEQ ID No. 18
Drosophila HH	SEQ ID No. 19	SEQ ID No. 20

25 In addition to the sequence variation between the various hedgehog homologs, the hedgehog proteins are apparently present naturally in a number of different forms, including a pro-form, a full-length mature form, and several processed fragments thereof.

-16-

The pro-form includes an N-terminal signal peptide for directed secretion of the extracellular domain, while the full-length mature form lacks this signal sequence.

As described above, further processing of the mature form occurs in some instances to yield biologically active fragments of the protein. For instance, sonic hedgehog undergoes additional proteolytic processing to yield two peptides of approximately 19 kDa and 27 kDa, the 19kDa fragment corresponding to an proteolytic N-terminal portion of the mature protein.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

In addition to proteolytic fragmentation, the vertebrate *hedgehog* proteins can also be modified post-translationally, such as by glycosylation and/or addition of lipophilic moieties, such as stents, fatty acids, etc., though bacterially produced (e.g. unmodified) forms of the proteins still maintain certain of the bioactivities of the native protein. Bioactive fragments of *hedgehog* polypeptides of the present invention have been generated and are described in great detail in, e.g., PCT publications WO 95/18856 and WO 96/17924.

There are a wide range of lipophilic moieties with which hedgehog polypeptides can be derivatived. The term "lipophilic group", in the context of being attached to a hedgehog polypeptide, refers to a group having high hydrocarbon content thereby giving the group high affinity to lipid phases. A lipophilic group can be, for example, a relatively long chain alkyl or cycloalkyl (preferably n-alkyl) group having approximately 7 to 30 carbons. The alkyl group may terminate with a hydroxy or primary amine "tail". To further illustrate, lipophilic molecules include naturally-occurring and synthetic aromatic and non-aromatic moieties such as fatty acids, sterols, esters and alcohols, other lipid molecules, cage structures such as adamantane and buckminsterfullerenes, and aromatic hydrocarbons such as benzene, perylene, phenanthrene, anthracene, naphthalene, pyrene, chrysene, and naphthacene.

In one embodiment, the hedgehog polypeptide is modified with one or more sterol moieties, such as cholesterol. See, for example, PCT publication WO 96/17924. In certain embodiments, the cholesterol is preferably added to the C-terminal glycine were the hedgehog polypeptide corresponds to the naturally-occurring N-terminal proteolytic fragment.

In another embodiment, the hedgehog polypeptide can be modified with a fatty acid moiety, such as a myrostoyl, palmitoyl, stearoyl, or arachidoyl moiety. See, e.g., Pepinsky et al. (1998) <u>J Biol. Chem</u> 273: 14037.

In addition to those effects seen by cholesterol-addition to the C-terminus or fatty acid addition to the N-terminus of extracellular fragments of the protein, at least certain

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

-17-

PCT/US98/17922

of the biological activities of the hedgehog gene products are unexpectedly potentiated by derivativation of the protein with lipophilic moieties at other sites on the protein and/or by moieties other than cholesterol or fatty acids. Certain aspects of the invention are directed to the use of preparations of hedgehog polypeptides which are modified at sites other than N-terminal or C-terminal residues of the natural processed form of the protein, and/or which are modified at such terminal residues with lipophilic moieties other than a sterol at the C-terminus or fatty acid at the N-terminus.

Particularly useful as lipophilic molecules are alicyclic hydrocarbons, saturated and unsaturated fatty acids and other lipid and phospholipid moieties, waxes, cholesterol, isoprenoids, terpenes and polyalicyclic hydrocarbons including adamantane and buckminsterfullerenes, vitamins, polyethylene glycol or oligoethylene glycol, (C1-C18)-alkyl phosphate diesters, -O-CH2-CH(OH)-O-(C12-C18)-alkyl, and in particular conjugates with pyrene derivatives. The lipophilic moiety can be a lipophilic dye suitable for use in the invention include, but are not limited to, diphenylhexatriene, Nile Red, N-phenyl-1-naphthylamine, Prodan, Laurodan, Pyrene, Perylene, rhodamine, rhodamine B, tetramethylrhodamine, Texas Red, sulforhodamine, 1,1'-didodecyl-3,3,3',3'tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate, octadecyl rhodamine B and the BODIPY dyes available from Molecular Probes Inc.

Other exemplary lipophilic moietites include aliphatic carbonyl radical groups include 1- or 2-adamantylacetyl, 3-methyladamant-1-ylacetyl, 3-methyl-3-bromo-1-adamantylacetyl, 1-decalinacetyl, camphoracetyl, camphaneacetyl, noradamantylacetyl, norbornaneacetyl, bicyclo[2.2.2.]-oct-5-eneacetyl, 1-methoxybicyclo[2.2.2.]-oct-5-ene-2-carbonyl, cis-5-norbornene-endo-2,3-dicarbonyl, 5-norbornen-2-ylacetyl, (1R)-( - )-myrtentaneacetyl, 2-norbornaneacetyl, anti-3-oxo-tricyclo[2.2.1.0<2,6> ]-heptane-7-carbonyl, decanoyl, dodecanoyl, dodecenoyl, tetradecadienoyl, decynoyl or dodecynoyl.

The hedgehog polypeptide can be linked to the hydrophobic moiety in a number of ways including by chemical coupling means, or by genetic engineering.

There are a large number of chemical cross-linking agents that are known to those skilled in the art. For the present invention, the preferred cross-linking agents are heterobifunctional cross-linkers, which can be used to link the hedgehog polypeptide and hydrophobic moiety in a stepwise manner. Heterobifunctional cross-linkers provide the ability to design more specific coupling methods for conjugating to proteins, thereby reducing the occurrences of unwanted side reactions such as homo-protein polymers. A wide variety of heterobifunctional cross-linkers are known in the art. These include: succinimidyl 4-(N-maleimidomethyl) cyclohexane- 1-carboxylate (SMCC), m-

Maleimidobenzoyl-N- hydroxysuccinimide ester (MBS); N-succinimidyl (4-iodoacetyl) aminobenzoate (SIAB), succinimidyl 4-(p-maleimidophenyl) butyrate (SMPB), 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC); 4-succinimidyloxycarbonyl- a-methyl-a-(2-pyridyldithio)-tolune (SMPT), N-succinimidyl 3-(2-pyridyldithio) propionate (SPDP), succinimidyl 6-[3-(2-pyridyldithio) propionate] hexanoate (LC-SPDP). Those cross-linking agents having N-hydroxysuccinimide moieties can be obtained as the N-hydroxysulfosuccinimide analogs, which generally have greater water solubility. In addition, those cross-linking agents having disulfide bridges within the linking chain can be synthesized instead as the alkyl derivatives so as to reduce the amount of linker cleavage *in vivo*.

5

10

15

20

25

30

In addition to the heterobifunctional cross-linkers, there exists a number of other cross-linking agents including homobifunctional and photoreactive cross-linkers. Disuccinimidyl suberate (DSS), bismaleimidohexane (BMH) and dimethylpimelimidate·2 HCl (DMP) are examples of useful homobifunctional cross-linking agents, and bis-[\beta-(4-azidosalicylamido)ethyl]disulfide (BASED) and N-succinimidyl-6(4'-azido-2'-nitrophenyl- amino)hexanoate (SANPAH) are examples of useful photoreactive cross-linkers for use in this invention. For a recent review of protein coupling techniques, see Means et al. (1990) Bioconjugate Chemistry 1:2-12, incorporated by reference herein.

One particularly useful class of heterobifunctional cross-linkers, included above, contain the primary amine reactive group, N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), or its water soluble analog N-hydroxysulfosuccinimide (sulfo-NHS). Primary amines (lysine epsilon groups) at alkaline pH's are unprotonated and react by nucleophilic attack on NHS or sulfo-NHS esters. This reaction results in the formation of an amide bond, and release of NHS or sulfo-NHS as a by-product.

Another reactive group useful as part of a heterobifunctional cross-linker is a thiol reactive group. Common thiol reactive groups include maleimides, halogens, and pyridyl disulfides. Maleimides react specifically with free sulfhydryls (cysteine residues) in minutes, under slightly acidic to neutral (pH 6.5-7.5) conditions. Halogens (iodoacetyl functions) react with -SH groups at physiological pH's. Both of these reactive groups result in the formation of stable thioether bonds.

The third component of the heterobifunctional cross-linker is the spacer arm or bridge. The bridge is the structure that connects the two reactive ends. The most apparent attribute of the bridge is its effect on steric hindrance. In some instances, a

5

10

15

20

25

30

longer bridge can more easily span the distance necessary to link two complex biomolecules. For instance, SMPB has a span of 14.5 angstroms.

Preparing protein-protein conjugates using heterobifunctional reagents is a two-step process involving the amine reaction and the sulfhydryl reaction. For the first step, the amine reaction, the protein chosen should contain a primary amine. This can be lysine epsilon amines or a primary alpha amine found at the N-terminus of most proteins. The protein should not contain free sulfhydryl groups. In cases where both proteins to be conjugated contain free sulfhydryl groups, one protein can be modified so that all sulfhydryls are blocked using for instance, N-ethylmaleimide (see Partis et al. (1983) J. Pro. Chem. 2:263, incorporated by reference herein). Ellman's Reagent can be used to calculate the quantity of sulfhydryls in a particular protein (see for example Ellman et al. (1958) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 74:443 and Riddles et al. (1979) Anal. Biochem. 94:75, incorporated by reference herein).

The reaction buffer should be free of extraneous amines and sulfhydryls. The pH of the reaction buffer should be 7.0-7.5. This pH range prevents maleimide groups from reacting with amines, preserving the maleimide group for the second reaction with sulfhydryls.

The NHS-ester containing cross-linkers have limited water solubility. They should be dissolved in a minimal amount of organic solvent (DMF or DMSO) before introducing the cross-linker into the reaction mixture. The cross-linker/solvent forms an emulsion which will allow the reaction to occur.

The sulfo-NHS ester analogs are more water soluble, and can be added directly to the reaction buffer. Buffers of high ionic strength should be avoided, as they have a tendency to "salt out" the sulfo-NHS esters. To avoid loss of reactivity due to hydrolysis, the cross-linker is added to the reaction mixture immediately after dissolving the protein solution.

The reactions can be more efficient in concentrated protein solutions. The more alkaline the pH of the reaction mixture, the faster the rate of reaction. The rate of hydrolysis of the NHS and sulfo-NHS esters will also increase with increasing pH. Higher temperatures will increase the reaction rates for both hydrolysis and acylation.

Once the reaction is completed, the first protein is now activated, with a sulfhydryl reactive moiety. The activated protein may be isolated from the reaction mixture by simple gel filtration or dialysis. To carry out the second step of the cross-linking, the sulfhydryl reaction, the lipophilic group chosen for reaction with maleimides,

-20-

activated halogens, or pyridyl disulfides must contain a free sulfhydryl. Alternatively, a primary amine may be modified with to add a sulfhydryl

In all cases, the buffer should be degassed to prevent oxidation of sulfhydryl groups. EDTA may be added to chelate any oxidizing metals that may be present in the buffer. Buffers should be free of any sulfhydryl containing compounds.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Maleimides react specifically with -SH groups at slightly acidic to neutral pH ranges (6.5-7.5). A neutral pH is sufficient for reactions involving halogens and pyridyl disulfides. Under these conditions, maleimides generally react with -SH groups within a matter of minutes. Longer reaction times are required for halogens and pyridyl disulfides.

The first sulfhydryl reactive-protein prepared in the amine reaction step is mixed with the sulfhydryl-containing lipophilic group under the appropriate buffer conditions. The conjugates can be isolated from the reaction mixture by methods such as gel filtration or by dialysis.

Exemplary activated lipophilic moieties for conjugation include: N-(1pyrene)maleimide; 2,5-dimethoxystilbene-4'-maleimide, eosin-5-maleimide; fluorescein-5-maleimide; N-(4-(6-dimethylamino-2-benzofuranyl)phenyl)maleimide; benzophenone-4-maleimide; 4-dimethylaminophenylazophenyl- 4'-maleimide (DABMI), tetramethylrhodamine-5-maleimide, tetramethylrhodamine-6-maleimide, Rhodamine RedTM C2 maleimide, N-(5-aminopentyl)maleimide, trifluoroacetic acid salt, N-(2aminoethyl)maleimide, trifluoroacetic acid salt, Oregon GreenTM 488 maleimide, N-(2-((2-(((4-azido-2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro)benzoyl) amino)ethyl)dithio)ethyl)maleimide (TFPAM-SS1), 2-(1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) -indol-3-yl)-3-(indol-3-yl) maleimide (bisindolylmaleimide; GF 109203X), BODIPY® FL N-(2-aminoethyl)maleimide, N-(7dimethylamino- 4-methylcoumarin-3-yl)maleimide (DACM), AlexaTM 488 C5 maleimide, AlexaTM 594 C5 maleimide, sodium saltN-(1-pyrene)maleimide, 2,5dimethoxystilbene-4'-maleimide, eosin-5-maleimide, fluorescein-5-maleimide, N-(4-(6dimethylamino- 2-benzofuranyl)phenyl)maleimide, benzophenone-4-maleimide, 4dimethylaminophenylazophenyl-4'-maleimide, 1-(2-maleimidylethyl)-4-(5-(4methoxyphenyl)oxazol-2- yl)pyridinium methanesulfonate, tetramethylrhodamine-5maleimide, tetramethylrhodamine-6-maleimide, Rhodamine RedTM C2 maleimide, N-(5-aminopentyl)maleimide, N-(2-aminoethyl)maleimide, N-(2-((2-(((4-azido-2,3.5,6tetrafluoro)benzoyl) amino)ethyl)dithio)ethyl)maleimide, 2-(1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) indol-3-yl)-3-(indol-3-yl) maleimide, N-(7-dimethylamino-4-methylcoumarin-3yl)maleimide (DACM), 11H-Benzo[a]fluorene, Benzo[a]pyrene.

In one embodiment, the hedgehog polypeptide can be derivatived using pyrene maleimide, which can be purchased from Molecular Probes (Eugene, Oreg.), e.g., N-(1-pyrene)maleimide or 1-pyrenemethyl iodoacetate (PMIA ester).

For those embodiments wherein the hydophobic moiety is a polypeptide, the modified hedgehog polypeptide of this invention can be constructed as a fusion protein, containing the hedgehog polypeptide and the hydrophobic moiety as one contiguous polypeptide chain.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

In certain embodiments, the lipophilic moiety is an amphipathic polypeptide, such as magainin, cecropin, attacin, melittin, gramicidin S, alpha-toxin of Staph. aureus, alamethicin or a synthetic amphipathic polypeptide. Fusogenic coat proteins from viral particles can also be a convenient source of amphipathic sequences for the subject hedgehog proteins

Moreover, mutagenesis can be used to create modified *hh* polypeptides, e.g., for such purposes as enhancing therapeutic or prophylactic efficacy, or stability (e.g., *ex vivo* shelf life and resistance to proteolytic degradation *in vivo*). Such modified peptides can be produced, for instance, by amino acid substitution, deletion, or addition. Modified *hedgehog* polypeptides can also include those with altered post-translational processing relative to a naturally occurring *hedgehog* protein, e.g., altered glycosylation, cholesterolization, prenylation and the like.

In one embodiment, the hedgehog therapeutic is a polypeptide encodable by a nucleotide sequence that hybridizes under stringent conditions to a hedgehog coding sequence represented in one or more of SEQ ID Nos:1-7. Appropriate stringency conditions which promote DNA hybridization, for example, 6.0 x sodium chloride/sodium citrate (SSC) at about 45°C, followed by a wash of 2.0 x SSC at 50°C, are known to those skilled in the art or can be found in *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, John Wiley & Sons, N.Y. (1989), 6.3.1-6.3.6. For example, the salt concentration in the wash step can be selected from a low stringency of about 2.0 x SSC at 50°C to a high stringency of about 0.2 x SSC at 50°C. In addition, the temperature in the wash step can be increased from low stringency conditions at room temperature, about 22°C, to high stringency conditions at about 65°C.

As described in the literature, genes for other hedgehog proteins, e.g., from other animals, can be obtained from mRNA or genomic DNA samples using techniques well known in the art. For example, a cDNA encoding a *hedgehog* protein can be obtained by isolating total mRNA from a cell, e.g. a mammalian cell, e.g. a human cell, including embryonic cells. Double stranded cDNAs can then be prepared from the total mRNA,

and subsequently inserted into a suitable plasmid or bacteriophage vector using any one of a number of known techniques. The gene encoding a *hedgehog* protein can also be cloned using established polymerase chain reaction techniques.

Preferred nucleic acids encode a *hedgehog* polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence at least 60% homologous or identical, more preferably 70% homologous or identical, and most preferably 80% homologous or identical with an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID Nos:8-14. Nucleic acids which encode polypeptides at least about 90%, more preferably at least about 95%, and most preferably at least about 98-99% homology or identity with an amino acid sequence represented in one of SEQ ID Nos:8-14 are also within the scope of the invention.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

In addition to native *hedgehog* proteins, *hedgehog* polypeptides preferred by the present invention are at least 60% homologous or identical, more preferably 70% homologous or identical and most preferably 80% homologous or identical with an amino acid sequence represented by any of SEQ ID Nos:8-14. Polypeptides which are at least 90%, more preferably at least 95%, and most preferably at least about 98-99% homologous or identical with a sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID Nos:8-14 are also within the scope of the invention. The only prerequisite is that the *hedgehog* polypeptide is capable of modulating the growth of muscle cells.

The term "recombinant protein" refers to a polypeptide of the present invention which is produced by recombinant DNA techniques, wherein generally, DNA encoding a hedgehog polypeptide is inserted into a suitable expression vector which is in turn used to transform a host cell to produce the heterologous protein. Moreover, the phrase "derived from", with respect to a recombinant hedgehog gene, is meant to include within the meaning of "recombinant protein" those proteins having an amino acid sequence of a native hedgehog protein, or an amino acid sequence similar thereto which is generated by mutations including substitutions and deletions (including truncation) of a naturally occurring form of the protein.

The method of the present invention can also be carried out using variant forms of the naturally occurring *hedgehog* polypeptides, e.g., mutational variants.

As is known in the art, hedgehog polypeptides can be produced by standard biological techniques or by chemical synthesis. For example, a host cell transfected with a nucleic acid vector directing expression of a nucleotide sequence encoding the subject polypeptides can be cultured under appropriate conditions to allow expression of the peptide to occur. The polypeptide *hedgehog* may be secreted and isolated from a mixture of cells and medium containing the recombinant *hedgehog* polypeptide. Alternatively,

the peptide may be retained cytoplasmically by removing the signal peptide sequence from the recombinant *hedgehog* gene and the cells harvested, lysed and the protein isolated. A cell culture includes host cells, media and other byproducts. Suitable media for cell culture are well known in the art. The recombinant *hedgehog* polypeptide can be isolated from cell culture medium, host cells, or both using techniques known in the art for purifying proteins including ion-exchange chromatography, gel filtration chromatography, ultrafiltration, electrophoresis, and immunoaffinity purification with antibodies specific for such peptide. In a preferred embodiment, the recombinant *hedgehog* polypeptide is a fusion protein containing a domain which facilitates its purification, such as an *hedgehog*/GST fusion protein. The host cell may be any prokaryotic or eukaryotic cell.

10

15

20

25

30

35

Recombinant *hedgehog* genes can be produced by ligating nucleic acid encoding an *hedgehog* protein, or a portion thereof, into a vector suitable for expression in either prokaryotic cells, eukaryotic cells, or both. Expression vectors for production of recombinant forms of the subject *hedgehog* polypeptides include plasmids and other vectors. For instance, suitable vectors for the expression of a *hedgehog* polypeptide include plasmids of the types: pBR322-derived plasmids, pEMBL-derived plasmids, pEX-derived plasmids, pBTac-derived plasmids and pUC-derived plasmids for expression in prokaryotic cells, such as *E. coli*.

A number of vectors exist for the expression of recombinant proteins in yeast. For instance, YEP24, YIP5, YEP51, YEP52, pYES2, and YRP17 are cloning and expression vehicles useful in the introduction of genetic constructs into S. cerevisiae (see, for example, Broach et al. (1983) in Experimental Manipulation of Gene Expression, ed. M. Inouye Academic Press, p. 83, incorporated by reference herein). These vectors can replicate in E. coli due to the presence of the pBR322 ori, and in S. cerevisiae due to the replication determinant of the yeast 2 micron plasmid. In addition, drug resistance markers such as ampicillin can be used. In an illustrative embodiment, an hedgehog polypeptide is produced recombinantly utilizing an expression vector generated by subcloning the coding sequence of one of the hedgehog genes represented in SEQ ID Nos:1-7.

The preferred mammalian expression vectors contain both prokaryotic sequences, to facilitate the propagation of the vector in bacteria, and one or more eukaryotic transcription units that are expressed in eukaryotic cells. The pcDNAI/amp, pcDNAI/neo, pRc/CMV, pSV2gpt, pSV2neo, pSV2-dhfr, pTk2, pRSVneo, pMSG, pSVT7, pko-neo and pHyg derived vectors are examples of mammalian expression vectors suitable for transfection of eukaryotic cells. Some of these vectors are modified

-24-

with sequences from bacterial plasmids, such as pBR322, to facilitate replication and drug resistance selection in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. Alternatively, derivatives of viruses such as the bovine papillomavirus (BPV-1), or Epstein-Barr virus (pHEBo, pREP-derived and p205) can be used for transient expression of proteins in eukaryotic cells. The various methods employed in the preparation of the plasmids and transformation of host organisms are well known in the art. For other suitable expression systems for both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells, as well as general recombinant procedures, see *Molecular Cloning A Laboratory Manual*, 2nd Ed., ed. by Sambrook, Fritsch and Maniatis (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: 1989) Chapters 16 and 17.

In some instances, it may be desirable to express the recombinant *hedgehog* polypeptide by the use of a baculovirus expression system. Examples of such baculovirus expression systems include pVL-derived vectors (such as pVL1392, pVL1393 and pVL941), pAcUW-derived vectors (such as pAcUW1), and pBlueBac-derived vectors (such as the \( \beta\)-gal containing pBlueBac III).

10

15

20

25

30

35

When it is desirable to express only a portion of an hedgehog protein, such as a form lacking a portion of the N-terminus, i.e. a truncation mutant which lacks the signal peptide, it may be necessary to add a start codon (ATG) to the oligonucleotide fragment containing the desired sequence to be expressed. It is well known in the art that a methionine at the N-terminal position can be enzymatically cleaved by the use of the enzyme methionine aminopeptidase (MAP). MAP has been cloned from E. coli (Ben-Bassat et al. (1987) J. Bacteriol. 169:751-757) and Salmonella typhimurium and its in vitro activity has been demonstrated on recombinant proteins (Miller et al. (1987) PNAS 84:2718-1722). Therefore, removal of an N-terminal methionine, if desired, can be achieved either in vivo by expressing hedgehog-derived polypeptides in a host which produces MAP (e.g., E. coli or CM89 or S. cerevisiae), or in vitro by use of purified MAP (e.g., procedure of Miller et al., supra).

Alternatively, the coding sequences for the polypeptide can be incorporated as a part of a fusion gene including a nucleotide sequence encoding a different polypeptide. It is widely appreciated that fusion proteins can also facilitate the expression of proteins, and accordingly, can be used in the expression of the *hedgehog* polypeptides of the present invention. For example, *hedgehog* polypeptides can be generated as glutathione-S-transferase (GST-fusion) proteins. Such GST-fusion proteins can enable easy purification of the *hedgehog* polypeptide, as for example by the use of glutathione-derivatized matrices (see, for example, *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, eds. Ausubel et al. (N.Y.: John Wiley & Sons, 1991)). In another embodiment, a fusion gene coding for a purification leader sequence, such as a poly-(His)/enterokinase cleavage site

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

PCT/US98/17922

sequence, can be used to replace the signal sequence which naturally occurs at the N-terminus of the *hedgehog* protein (e.g.of the pro-form, in order to permit purification of the poly(His)-*hedgehog* protein by affinity chromatography using a Ni<sup>2+</sup> metal resin. The purification leader sequence can then be subsequently removed by treatment with enterokinase (e.g., see Hochuli et al. (1987) *J. Chromatography* 411:177; and Janknecht et al. *PNAS* 88:8972).

Techniques for making fusion genes are known to those skilled in the art. Essentially, the joining of various DNA fragments coding for different polypeptide sequences is performed in accordance with conventional techniques, employing blunt-ended or stagger-ended termini for ligation, restriction enzyme digestion to provide for appropriate termini, filling-in of cohesive ends as appropriate, alkaline phosphatase treatment to avoid undesirable joining, and enzymatic ligation. In another embodiment, the fusion gene can be synthesized by conventional techniques including automated DNA synthesizers. Alternatively, PCR amplification of gene fragments can be carried out using anchor primers which give rise to complementary overhangs between two consecutive gene fragments which can subsequently be annealed to generate a chimeric gene sequence (see, for example, Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, eds. Ausubel et al. John Wiley & Sons: 1992).

Hedgehog polypeptides may also be chemically modified to create hedgehog derivatives by forming covalent or aggregate conjugates with other chemical moieties, such as glycosyl groups, cholesterol, isoprenoids, lipids, phosphate, acetyl groups and the like. Covalent derivatives of hedgehog proteins can be prepared by linking the chemical moieties to functional groups on amino acid sidechains of the protein or at the N-terminus or at the C-terminus of the polypeptide.

For instance, *hedgehog* proteins can be generated to include a moiety, other than sequence naturally associated with the protein, that binds a component of the extracellular matrix and enhances localization of the analog to cell surfaces. For example, sequences derived from the fibronectin "type-III repeat", such as a tetrapeptide sequence R-G-D-S (Pierschbacher et al. (1984) *Nature* 309:30-3; and Kornblihtt et al. (1985) *EMBO* 4:1755-9) can be added to the *hedgehog* polypeptide to support attachment of the chimeric molecule to a cell through binding ECM components (Ruoslahti et al. (1987) *Science* 238:491-497; Pierschbacheret al. (1987) *J. Biol. Chem.* 262:17294-8.; Hynes (1987) *Cell* 48:549-54; and Hynes (1992) *Cell* 69:11-25).

In a preferred embodiment, the *hedgehog* polypeptide is isolated from, or is otherwise substantially free of, other cellular proteins, especially other extracellular or

10

15

20

30

35 °

-26-

cell surface associated proteins which may normally be associated with the hedgehog polypeptide, unless provided in the form of fusion protein with the hedgehog The term "substantially free of other cellular or extracellular proteins" (also referred to herein as "contaminating proteins") or "substantially pure preparations" or "purified preparations" are defined as encompassing preparations of hedgehog polypeptides having less than 20% (by dry weight) contaminating protein, and preferably having less than 5% contaminating protein. By "purified", it is meant that the indicated molecule is present in the substantial absence of other biological macromolecules, such as other proteins. The term "purified" as used herein preferably means at least 80% by dry weight, more preferably in the range of 95-99% by weight, and most preferably at least 99.8% by weight, of biological macromolecules of the same type present (but water, buffers, and other small molecules, especially molecules having a molecular weight of less than 5000, can be present). The term "pure" as used herein preferably has the same numerical limits as "purified" immediately above.

As described above for recombinant polypeptides, isolated hedgehog polypeptides can include all or a portion of the amino acid sequences represented in any of SEQ ID Nos:10-18 or 20, or a homologous sequence thereto. Preferred fragments of the subject hedgehog proteins correspond to the N-terminal and C-terminal proteolytic fragments of the mature protein. Bioactive fragments of hedgehog polypeptides are described in great detail in PCT publications WO 95/18856 and WO 96/17924.

With respect to bioctive fragments of hedgehog polypeptide, preferred hedgehog therapeutics include at least 50 (contiguous) amino acid residues of a hedgehog polypeptide, more preferably at least 100 (contiguous), and even more preferably at least 150 (contiguous) residues.

25 Another preferred hedgehog polypeptide which can be included in the hedgehog therapeutic is an N-terminal fragment of the mature protein having a molecular weight of approximately 19 kDa.

Preferred human hedgehog proteins include N-terminal fragments corresponding approximately to residues 24-197 of SEQ ID No. 15, 28-202 of SEQ ID No. 16, and 23-198 of SEQ ID No. 17. By "corresponding approximately" it is meant that the sequence of interest is at most 20 amino acid residues different in length to the reference sequence. though more preferably at most 5, 10 or 15 amino acid different in length.

As described above for recombinant polypeptides, isolated hedgehog polypeptides can include all or a portion of the amino acid sequences represented in SEQ ID No:8, SEQ ID No:9, SEQ ID No:10, SEQ ID No:11, SEQ ID No:12, SEQ ID No:13

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

or SEQ ID No:14, or a homologous sequence thereto. Preferred fragments of the subject hedgehog proteins correspond to the N-terminal and C-terminal proteolytic fragments of the mature protein. Bioactive fragments of hedgehog polypeptides are described in great detail in PCT publications WO 95/18856 and WO 96/17924.

-27-

Still other preferred hedgehog polypeptides includes an amino acid sequence represented by the formula A-B wherein: (i) A represents all or the portion of the amino acid sequence designated by residues 1-168 of SEQ ID No:21; and B represents at least one amino acid residue of the amino acid sequence designated by residues 169-221 of SEQ ID No:21; (ii) A represents all or the portion of the amino acid sequence designated by residues 24-193 of SEQ ID No:15; and B represents at least one amino acid residue of the amino acid sequence designated by residues 194-250 of SEQ ID No:15; (iii) A represents all or the portion of the amino acid sequence designated by residues 25-193 of SEQ ID No:13; and B represents at least one amino acid residue of the amino acid sequence designated by residues 194-250 of SEO ID No:13; (iv) A represents all or the portion of the amino acid sequence designated by residues 23-193 of SEQ ID No:11; and B represents at least one amino acid residue of the amino acid sequence designated by residues 194-250 of SEQ ID No:11; (v) A represents all or the portion of the amino acid sequence designated by residues 28-197 of SEQ ID No:12; and B represents at least one amino acid residue of the amino acid sequence designated by residues 198-250 of SEO ID No:12; (vi) A represents all or the portion of the amino acid sequence designated by residues 29-197 of SEQ ID No:16; and B represents at least one amino acid residue of the amino acid sequence designated by residues 198-250 of SEQ ID No:16; or (vii) A represents all or the portion of the amino acid sequence designated by residues 23-193 of SEQ ID No. 17, and B represents at least one amino acid residue of the amino acid sequence designated by residues 194-250 of SEQ ID No. 17. In certain preferred embodiments, A and B together represent a contiguous polypeptide sequence designated sequence, A represents at least 25, 50, 75, 100, 125 or 150 (contiguous) amino acids of the designated sequence, and B represents at least 5, 10, or 20 (contiguous) amino acid residues of the amino acid sequence designated by corresponding entry in the sequence listing, and A and B together preferably represent a contiguous sequence corresponding to the sequence listing entry. Similar fragments from other hedgehog also contemplated. e.g., fragments which correspond to the preferred fragments from the sequence listing entries which are enumerated above. In preferred embodiments, the hedgehog polypeptide includes a C-terminal glycine (or other appropriate residue) which is derivatized with a cholesterol.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

-28-

Isolated peptidyl portions of *hedgehog* proteins can be obtained by screening peptides recombinantly produced from the corresponding fragment of the nucleic acid encoding such peptides. In addition, fragments can be chemically synthesized using techniques known in the art such as conventional Merrifield solid phase f-Moc or t-Boc chemistry. For example, a *hedgehog* polypeptide of the present invention may be arbitrarily divided into fragments of desired length with no overlap of the fragments, or preferably divided into overlapping fragments of a desired length. The fragments can be produced (recombinantly or by chemical synthesis) and tested to identify those peptidyl fragments which can function as either agonists or antagonists of a wild-type (e.g., "authentic") *hedgehog* protein. For example, Román et al. (1994) *Eur J Biochem* 222:65-73 describe the use of competitive-binding assays using short, overlapping synthetic peptides from larger proteins to identify binding domains.

The recombinant *hedgehog* polypeptides of the present invention also include homologs of the authentic *hedgehog* proteins, such as versions of those protein which are resistant to proteolytic cleavage, as for example, due to mutations which alter potential cleavage sequences or which inactivate an enzymatic activity associated with the protein. *Hedgehog* homologs of the present invention also include proteins which have been post-translationally modified in a manner different than the authentic protein. Exemplary derivatives of *hedgehog* proteins include polypeptides which lack N-glycosylation sites (e.g. to produce an unglycosylated protein), which lack sites for cholesterolization, and/or which lack N-terminal and/or C-terminal sequences.

Modification of the structure of the subject *hedgehog* polypeptides can also be for such purposes as enhancing therapeutic or prophylactic efficacy, or stability (e.g., *ex vivo* shelf life and resistance to proteolytic degradation *in vivo*). Such modified peptides, when designed to retain at least one activity of the naturally-occurring form of the protein, are considered functional equivalents of the *hedgehog* polypeptides described in more detail herein. Such modified peptides can be produced, for instance, by amino acid substitution, deletion, or addition.

It is well known in the art that one could reasonably expect that certain isolated replacements of amino acids, e.g., replacement of an amino acid residue with another related amino acid (i.e. isosteric and/or isoelectric mutations), can be carried out without major effect on the biological activity of the resulting molecule. Conservative replacements are those that take place within a family of amino acids that are related in their side chains. Genetically encoded amino acids are can be divided into four families:

(1) acidic = aspartate, glutamate; (2) basic = lysine, arginine, histidine; (3) nonpolar = alanine, valine, leucine, isoleucine, proline, phenylalanine, methionine, tryptophan; and

10

15

20

25

30

35

-29-

(4) uncharged polar = glycine, asparagine, glutamine, cysteine, serine, threonine, tyrosine. Phenylalanine, tryptophan, and tyrosine are sometimes classified jointly as aromatic amino acids. In similar fashion, the amino acid repertoire can be grouped as (1) acidic = aspartate, glutamate; (2) basic = lysine, arginine histidine, (3) aliphatic = glycine, alanine, valine, leucine, isoleucine, serine, threonine, with serine and threonine optionally be grouped separately as aliphatic-hydroxyl; (4) aromatic = phenylalanine, tyrosine, tryptophan; (5) amide = asparagine, glutamine; and (6) sulfur -containing = cysteine and methionine. (see, for example, *Biochemistry*, 2nd ed., Ed. by L. Stryer, WH Freeman and Co.: 1981). Whether a change in the amino acid sequence of a peptide results in a functional *hedgehog* homolog (e.g. functional in the sense that it acts to mimic or antagonize the wild-type form) can be readily determined by assessing the ability of the variant peptide to produce a response in cells in a fashion similar to the wild-type protein, or competitively inhibit such a response. Polypeptides in which more than one replacement has taken place can readily be tested in the same manner.

It is specifically contemplated that the methods of the present invention can be carried using homologs of naturally occurring hedgehog proteins. In one embodiment, the invention contemplates using hedgehog polypeptides generated by combinatorial mutagenesis. Such methods, as are known in the art, are convenient for generating both point and truncation mutants, and can be especially useful for identifying potential variant sequences (e.g. homologs) that are functional in binding to a receptor for hedgehog proteins. The purpose of screening such combinatorial libraries is to generate, for example, novel hedgehog homologs which can act as either agonists or antagonist. To illustrate, hedgehog homologs can be engineered by the present method to provide more efficient binding to a cognate receptor, such as patched, yet still retain at least a portion of an activity associated with hedgehog. Thus, combinatorially-derived homologs can be generated to have an increased potency relative to a naturally occurring form of the protein. Likewise, hedgehog homologs can be generated by the present combinatorial approach to act as antagonists, in that they are able to mimic, for example, binding to other extracellular matrix components (such as receptors), yet not induce any biological response, thereby inhibiting the action of authentic hedgehog or hedgehog agonists. Moreover, manipulation of certain domains of hedgehog by the present method can provide domains more suitable for use in fusion proteins, such as one that incorporates portions of other proteins which are derived from the extracellular matrix and/or which bind extracellular matrix components.

To further illustrate the state of the art of combinatorial mutagenesis, it is noted that the review article of Gallop et al. (1994) *J Med Chem* 37:1233 describes the general

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

-30-

state of the art of combinatorial libraries as of the earlier 1990's. In particular, Gallop et al state at page 1239 "[s]creening the analog libraries aids in determining the minimum size of the active sequence and in identifying those residues critical for binding and intolerant of substitution". In addition, the Ladner et al. PCT publication WO90/02809, the Goeddel et al. U.S. Patent 5,223,408, and the Markland et al. PCT publication WO92/15679 illustrate specific techniques which one skilled in the art could utilize to generate libraries of hedgehog variants which can be rapidly screened to identify variants/fragments which retained a particular activity of the hedgehog polypeptides. These techniques are exemplary of the art and demonstrate that large libraries of related variants/truncants can be generated and assayed to isolate particular variants without undue experimentation. Gustin et al. (1993) Virology 193:653, and Bass et al. (1990) Proteins: Structure, Function and Genetics 8:309-314 also describe other exemplary techniques from the art which can be adapted as means for generating mutagenic variants of hedgehog polypeptides.

Indeed, it is plain from the combinatorial mutagenesis art that large scale mutagenesis of hedgehog proteins, without any preconceived ideas of which residues were critical to the biological function, and generate wide arrays of variants having equivalent biological activity. Indeed, it is the ability of combinatorial techniques to screen billions of different variants by high throughout analysis that removes any requirement of a priori understanding or knowledge of critical residues.

To illsutrate, the amino acid sequences for a population of *hedgehog* homologs or other related proteins are aligned, preferably to promote the highest homology possible. Such a population of variants can include, for example, *hedgehog* homologs from one or more species. Amino acids which appear at each position of the aligned sequences are selected to create a degenerate set of combinatorial sequences. In a preferred embodiment, the variegated library of *hedgehog* variants is generated by combinatorial mutagenesis at the nucleic acid level, and is encoded by a variegated gene library. For instance, a mixture of synthetic oligonucleotides can be enzymatically ligated into gene sequences such that the degenerate set of potential *hedgehog* sequences are expressible as individual polypeptides, or alternatively, as a set of larger fusion proteins (e.g. for phage display) containing the set of *hedgehog* sequences therein.

As illustrated in PCT publication WO 95/18856, to analyze the sequences of a population of variants, the amino acid sequences of interest can be aligned relative to sequence homology. The presence or absence of amino acids from an aligned sequence of a particular variant is relative to a chosen consensus length of a reference sequence, which can be real or artificial.

PCT/US98/17922

In an illustrative embodiment, alignment of exons 1, 2 and a portion of exon 3 encoded sequences (e.g. the N-terminal approximately 221 residues of the mature protein) of each of the *Shh* clones produces a degenerate set of *Shh* polypeptides represented by the general formula:

-31-

5 C-G-P-G-R-G-X(1)-G-X(2)-R-R-H-P-K-K-L-T-P-L-A-Y-K-Q-F-I-P-N-V-A-E-K-T-L-G-A-S-G-R-Y-E-G-K-I-X(3)-R-N-S-E-R-F-K-E-L-T-P-N-Y-N-P-D-I-I-F-K-D-E-E-N-T-G-A-D-R-L-M-T-Q-R-C-K-D-K-L-N-X(4)-L-A-I-S-V-M-N-X(5)-W-P-G-V-X(6)-L-R-V-T-E-G-W-D-E-D-G-H-H-X(7)-E-E-S-L-H-Y-E-G-R-A-V-D-I-T-T-S-D-R-D-X(8)-S-K-Y-G-X(9)-L-X(10)-R-L-A-V-E-A-G-F-D-W-V-Y-Y-E-S-K-A-H-I-H-C-S-V-K-A-E-N-S-V-A-A-K-S-G-G-C-F-P-G-S-A-X(11)-V-X(12)-L-X(13)-X(14)-G-G-X(15)-K-X-(16)-V-K-D-L-X(17)-P-G-D-X(18)-V-L-A-A-D-X(19)-X(20)-G-X(21)-L-X(22)-X(23)-S-D-F-X(24)-X(25)-F-X(26)-D-R (SEQ ID No: 21

wherein each of the degenerate positions "X" can be an amino acid which occurs in that 15 position in one of the human, mouse, chicken or zebrafish Shh clones, or, to expand the library, each X can also be selected from amongst amino acid residue which would be conservative substitutions for the amino acids which appear naturally in each of those positions. For instance, Xaa(1) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Phe, Tyr or Trp; Xaa(2) represents Arg, His or Lys; Xaa(3) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Ser or Thr; 20 Xaa(4) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Ser or Thr; Xaa(5) represents Lys, Arg, His, Asn or Gln; Xaa(6) represents Lys, Arg or His; Xaa(7) represents Ser, Thr, Tyr, Trp or Phe: Xaa(8) represents Lys, Arg or His; Xaa(9) represents Met, Cys, Ser or Thr; Xaa(10) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Ser or Thr; Xaa(11) represents Leu, Val, Met, Thr or 25 Ser; Xaa(12) represents His, Phe, Tyr, Ser, Thr, Met or Cys; Xaa(13) represents Gln, Asn, Glu, or Asp; Xaa(14) represents His, Phe, Tyr, Thr, Gln, Asn, Glu or Asp; Xaa(15) represents Gln, Asn, Glu, Asp, Thr, Ser, Met or Cys; Xaa(16) represents Ala, Gly, Cys, Leu, Val or Met; Xaa(17) represents Arg, Lys, Met, Ile, Asn, Asp, Glu, Gln, Ser, Thr or Cys; Xaa(18) represents Arg, Lys, Met or Ile; Xaa(19) represents Ala, Gly, Cys, Asp, Glu, Gln, Asn, Ser, Thr or Met; Xaa(20) represents Ala, Gly, Cys, Asp, Asn, Glu or Gln; 30 Xaa(21) represents Arg, Lys, Met, Ile, Asn, Asp, Glu or Gln; Xaa(22) represent Leu, Val, Met or Ile; Xaa(23) represents Phe, Tyr, Thr, His or Trp; Xaa(24) represents Ile, Val. Leu or Met; .Xaa(25) represents Met, Cys, Ile, Leu, Val, Thr or Ser; Xaa(26) represents Leu, Val, Met, Thr or Ser. In an even more expansive library, each X can be selected from 35 any amino acid.

In similar fashion, alignment of each of the human, mouse, chicken and zebrafish hedgehog clones, can provide a degenerate polypeptide sequence represented by the general formula:

-32-

C-G-P-G-R-G-X(1)-X(2)-X(3)-R-R-X(4)-X(5)-X(6)-P-K-X(7)-L-X(8)-P-L-X(9)-Y-K-Q-F-X(10)-P-X(11)-X(12)-X(13)-E-X(14)-T-L-G-A-S-G-X(15)-X(16)-E-G-X(17)-X(18)-X(19)-R-X(20)-S-E-R-F-X(21)-X(22)-L-T-P-N-Y-N-P-D-I-I-F-K-D-E-E-N-X(23)-G-A-D-R-L-M-T-X(24)-R-C-K-X(25)-X(26)-X(27)-N-X(28)-L-A-I-S-V-M-N-X(29)-W-P-G-V-X(30)-L-R-V-T-E-G-X(31)-D-E-D-G-H-H-X(32)-X(33)-X(34)-S-L-H-Y-E-G-R-A-X(35)-D-I-T-T-S-D-R-D-X(36)-X(37)-K-Y-G-X(38)-L-X(39)-R-L-A-V-E-A-G-F-D-W-V-Y-Y-E-S-X(40)-X(41)-H-X(42)-H-X(43)-S-V-K-X(44)-X(45) (SEQ IDNo:22

5

wherein, as above, each of the degenerate positions "X" can be an amino acid which 10 occurs in a corresponding position in one of the wild-type clones, and may also include amino acid residue which would be conservative substitutions, or each X can be any amino acid residue. In an exemplary embodiment, Xaa(1) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Pro, Phe or Tyr; Xaa(2) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu or Ile; Xaa(3) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Lys, His or Arg; Xaa(4) represents Lys, Arg or His; Xaa(5) represents 15 Phe, Trp, Tyr or an amino acid gap; Xaa(6) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile or an amino acid gap; Xaa(7) represents Asn, Gln, His, Arg or Lys; Xaa(8) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Ser or Thr; Xaa(9) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Ser or Thr; Xaa(10) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Ser or Thr; Xaa(11) represents Ser, Thr, Gln or Asn; 20 Xaa(12) represents Met, Cys, Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Ser or Thr; Xaa(13) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile or Pro; Xaa(14) represents Arg, His or Lys; Xaa(15) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Pro, Arg, His or Lys; Xaa(16) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Phe or Tyr; Xaa(17) represents Arg, His or Lys; Xaa(18) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Ser or Thr; Xaa(19) represents Thr or Ser; Xaa(20) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, 25 Asn or Gln; Xaa(21) represents Arg, His or Lys; Xaa(22) represents Asp or Glu; Xaa(23) represents Ser or Thr; Xaa(24) represents Glu, Asp, Gln or Asn; Xaa(25) represents Glu or Asp; Xaa(26) represents Arg, His or Lys; Xaa(27) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu or Ile; Xaa(28) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Thr or Ser; Xaa(29) represents Met, Cys, Gin, Asn, Arg, Lys or His; Xaa(30) represents Arg, His or Lys; Xaa(31) represents Trp, Phe, 30 Tyr, Arg, His or Lys; Xaa(32) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Ser, Thr, Tyr or Phe; Xaa(33) represents Gln, Asn, Asp or Glu; Xaa(34) represents Asp or Glu; Xaa(35) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, or Ile; Xaa(36) represents Arg, His or Lys; Xaa(37) represents Asn, Gln, Thr or Ser; Xaa(38) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Ser, Thr, Met or Cys; Xaa(39) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Thr or Ser; Xaa(40) represents Arg, 35 His or Lys; Xaa(41) represents Asn, Gln, Gly, Ala, Val, Leu or Ile; Xaa(42) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu or Ile; Xaa(43) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Ser, Thr or Cys; Xaa(44) represents Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Thr or Ser; and Xaa(45) represents Asp or Glu.

-33-

There are many ways by which the library of potential hedgehog homologs can be generated from a degenerate oligonucleotide sequence. Chemical synthesis of a degenerate gene sequence can be carried out in an automatic DNA synthesizer, and the synthetic genes then ligated into an appropriate expression vector. The purpose of a degenerate set of genes is to provide, in one mixture, all of the sequences encoding the desired set of potential hedgehog sequences. The synthesis of degenerate oligonucleotides is well known in the art (see for example, Narang, SA (1983) Tetrahedron 39:3; Itakura et al. (1981) Recombinant DNA, Proc 3rd Cleveland Sympos. Macromolecules, ed. AG Walton, Amsterdam: Elsevier pp273-289; Itakura et al. (1984) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 53:323; Itakura et al. (1984) Science 198:1056; Ike et al. (1983) Nucleic Acid Res. 11:477. Such techniques have been employed in the directed evolution of other proteins (see, for example, Scott et al. (1990) Science 249:386-390; Roberts et al. (1992) PNAS 89:2429-2433; Devlin et al. (1990) Science 249: 404-406; Cwirla et al. (1990) PNAS 87: 6378-6382; as well as U.S. Patents Nos. 5,223,409, 5,198,346, and 5,096,815).

10

15

20

25

30

35

A wide range of techniques are known in the art for screening gene products of combinatorial libraries made by point mutations, and for screening cDNA libraries for gene products having a certain property. Such techniques will be generally adaptable for rapid screening of the gene libraries generated by the combinatorial mutagenesis of hedgehog homologs. The most widely used techniques for screening large gene libraries typically comprises cloning the gene library into replicable expression vectors, transforming appropriate cells with the resulting library of vectors, and expressing the combinatorial genes under conditions in which detection of a desired activity facilitates relatively easy isolation of the vector encoding the gene whose product was detected. Each of the illustrative assays described below are amenable to high through-put analysis as necessary to screen large numbers of degenerate hedgehog sequences created by combinatorial mutagenesis techniques.

In one embodiment, the combinatorial library is designed to be secreted (e.g. the polypeptides of the library all include a signal sequence but no transmembrane or cytoplasmic domains), and is used to transfect a eukaryotic cell that can be co-cultured with muscle stem cells. A functional *hedgehog* protein secreted by the cells expressing the combinatorial library will diffuse to neighboring muscle cells and induce a particular biological response, such as proliferation or differentiation. The pattern of detection of such a change in phenotype will resemble a gradient function, and will allow the isolation (generally after several repetitive rounds of selection) of cells producing *hedgehog* homologs active as muscle-trophic agents. Likewise, *hedgehog* antagonists can be

-34-

selected in similar fashion by the ability of the cell producing a functional antagonist to protect neighboring cells (e.g., to inhibit proliferation) from the effect of wild-type hedgehog added to the culture media.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

To illustrate, target muscle cells are cultured in 24-well microtitre plates. Other eukaryotic cells are transfected with the combinatorial *hedgehog* gene library and cultured in cell culture inserts (e.g. Collaborative Biomedical Products, Catalog #40446) that are able to fit into the wells of the microtitre plate. The cell culture inserts are placed in the wells such that recombinant *hedgehog* homologs secreted by the cells in the insert can diffuse through the porous bottom of the insert and contact the target cells in the microtitre plate wells. After a period of time sufficient for functional forms of a *hedgehog* protein to produce a measurable response in the target cells, such as growth state, the inserts are removed and the effect of the variant *hedgehog* proteins on the target cells determined. Cells from the inserts corresponding to wells which score positive for activity can be split and re-cultured on several inserts, the process being repeated until the active clones are identified.

In yet another screening assay, the candidate *hedgehog* gene products are displayed on the surface of a cell or viral particle, and the ability of particular cells or viral particles to associate with a *hedgehog*-binding moiety (such as the *patched* protein or other *hedgehog* receptor) via this gene product is detected in a "panning assay". Such panning steps can be carried out on cells cultured from embryos. For instance, the gene library can be cloned into the gene for a surface membrane protein of a bacterial cell, and the resulting fusion protein detected by panning (Ladner et al., WO 88/06630; Fuchs et al. (1991) *Bio/Technology* 9:1370-1371; and Goward et al. (1992) *TIBS* 18:136-140). In a similar fashion, fluorescently labeled molecules which bind *hedgehog* can be used to score for potentially functional *hedgehog* homologs. Cells can be visually inspected and separated under a fluorescence microscope, or, where the morphology of the cell permits, separated by a fluorescence-activated cell sorter.

In an alternate embodiment, the gene library is expressed as a fusion protein on the surface of a viral particle. For instance, in the filamentous phage system, foreign peptide sequences can be expressed on the surface of infectious phage, thereby conferring two significant benefits. First, since these phage can be applied to affinity matrices at very high concentrations, large number of phage can be screened at one time. Second, since each infectious phage displays the combinatorial gene product on its surface, if a particular phage is recovered from an affinity matrix in low yield, the phage can be amplified by another round of infection. The group of almost identical *E.coli* filamentous phages M13, fd, and f1 are most often used in phage display libraries, as

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

either of the phage gIII or gVIII coat proteins can be used to generate fusion proteins without disrupting the ultimate packaging of the viral particle (Ladner et al. PCT publication WO 90/02909; Garrard et al., PCT publication WO 92/09690; Marks et al. (1992) J. Biol. Chem. 267:16007-16010; Griffths et al. (1993) EMBO J 12:725-734;

-35-

PCT/US98/17922

Clackson et al. (1991) Nature 352:624-628; and Barbas et al. (1992) PNAS 89:4457-4461).

In an illustrative embodiment, the recombinant phage antibody system (RPAS, Pharamacia Catalog number 27-9400-01) can be easily modified for use in expressing and screening hedgehog combinatorial libraries. For instance, the pCANTAB 5 phagemid of the RPAS kit contains the gene which encodes the phage gIII coat protein. The hedgehog combinatorial gene library can be cloned into the phagemid adjacent to the gIII signal sequence such that it will be expressed as a gIII fusion protein. After ligation, the phagemid is used to transform competent E. coli TG1 cells. Transformed cells are subsequently infected with M13KO7 helper phage to rescue the phagemid and its candidate hedgehog gene insert. The resulting recombinant phage contain phagemid DNA encoding a specific candidate hedgehog, and display one or more copies of the corresponding fusion coat protein. The phage-displayed candidate hedgehog proteins which are capable of binding an hedgehog receptor are selected or enriched by panning. For instance, the phage library can be applied to cells which express the patched protein and unbound phage washed away from the cells. The bound phage is then isolated, and if the recombinant phage express at least one copy of the wild type gIII coat protein, they will retain their ability to infect E. coli. Thus, successive rounds of reinfection of E. coli, and panning will greatly enrich for hedgehog homologs, which can then be screened for further biological activities in order to differentiate agonists and antagonists.

Combinatorial mutagenesis has a potential to generate very large libraries of mutant proteins, e.g., in the order of 10<sup>26</sup> molecules. Combinatorial libraries of this size may be technically challenging to screen even with high throughput screening assays such as phage display. To overcome this problem, a new technique has been developed recently, recursive ensemble mutagenesis (REM), which allows one to avoid the very high proportion of non-functional proteins in a random library and simply enhances the frequency of functional proteins, thus decreasing the complexity required to achieve a useful sampling of sequence space. REM is an algorithm which enhances the frequency of functional mutants in a library when an appropriate selection or screening method is employed (Arkin and Yourvan, 1992, *PNAS USA* 89:7811-7815; Yourvan et al., 1992, *Parallel Problem Solving from Nature*, 2., In Maenner and Manderick, eds., Elsevir

-36-

Publishing Co., Amsterdam, pp. 401-410; Delgrave et al., 1993, *Protein Engineering* 6(3):327-331).

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

The invention also provides for reduction of the hedgehog protein to generate mimetics, e.g. peptide or non-peptide agents, which are able to disrupt binding of a hedgehog polypeptide of the present invention with an hedgehog receptor. Thus, such mutagenic techniques as described above are also useful to map the determinants of the hedgehog proteins which participate in protein-protein interactions involved in, for example, binding of the subject hedgehog polypeptide to other extracellular matrix components. To illustrate, the critical residues of a subject hedgehog polypeptide which are involved in molecular recognition of an hedgehog receptor such as patched can be determined and used to generate hedgehog-derived peptidomimetics which competitively inhibit binding of the authentic hedgehog protein with that moiety. By employing, for example, scanning mutagenesis to map the amino acid residues of each of the subject hedgehog proteins which are involved in binding other extracellular proteins, peptidomimetic compounds can be generated which mimic those residues of the hedgehog protein which facilitate the interaction. Such mimetics may then be used to interfere with the normal function of a hedgehog protein. For instance, non-hydrolyzable peptide analogs of such residues can be generated using benzodiazepine (e.g., see Freidinger et al. in Peptides: Chemistry and Biology, G.R. Marshall ed., ESCOM Publisher: Leiden, Netherlands, 1988), azepine (e.g., see Huffman et al. in Peptides: Chemistry and Biology, G.R. Marshall ed., ESCOM Publisher: Leiden, Netherlands, 1988), substituted gama lactam rings (Garvey et al. in *Peptides: Chemistry and Biology*, G.R. Marshall ed., ESCOM Publisher: Leiden, Netherlands, 1988), keto-methylene pseudopeptides (Ewenson et al. (1986) J Med Chem 29:295; and Ewenson et al. in Peptides: Structure and Function (Proceedings of the 9th American Peptide Symposium) Pierce Chemical Co. Rockland, IL, 1985), β-turn dipeptide cores (Nagai et al. (1985) Tetrahedron Lett 26:647; and Sato et al. (1986) J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 1:1231), and β-aminoalcohols (Gordon et al. (1985) Biochem Biophys Res Commun126:419; and Dann et al. (1986) Biochem Biophys Res Commun 134:71).

Recombinantly produced forms of the hedgehog proteins can be produced using, e.g, expression vectors containing a nucleic acid encoding a *hedgehog* polypeptide, operably linked to at least one transcriptional regulatory sequence. Operably linked is intended to mean that the nucleotide sequence is linked to a regulatory sequence in a manner which allows expression of the nucleotide sequence. Regulatory sequences are art-recognized and are selected to direct expression of a *hedgehog* polypeptide. Accordingly, the term transcriptional regulatory sequence includes promoters, enhancers

and other expression control elements. Such regulatory sequences are described in Goeddel: Gene Expression Technology: Methods in Enzymology 185, Academic Press, San Diego, CA (1990). For instance, any of a wide variety of expression control sequences, sequences that control the expression of a DNA sequence when operatively linked to it, may be used in these vectors to express DNA sequences encoding hedgehog polypeptide. Such useful expression control sequences, include, for example, a viral LTR, such as the LTR of the Moloney murine leukemia virus, the early and late promoters of SV40, adenovirus or cytomegalovirus immediate early promoter, the lac system, the trp system, the TAC or TRC system, T7 promoter whose expression is directed by T7 RNA polymerase, the major operator and promoter regions of phage  $\lambda$ , the control regions for fd coat protein, the promoter for 3-phosphoglycerate kinase or other glycolytic enzymes, the promoters of acid phosphatase, e.g., Pho5, the promoters of the yeast α-mating factors, the polyhedron promoter of the baculovirus system and other sequences known to control the expression of genes of prokaryotic or eukaryotic cells or their viruses, and various combinations thereof. It should be understood that the design of the expression vector may depend on such factors as the choice of the host cell to be transformed and/or the type of protein desired to be expressed. Moreover, the vector's copy number, the ability to control that copy number and the expression of any other proteins encoded by the vector, such as antibiotic markers, should also be considered.

10

15

20

25

30

35

In addition to providing a ready source of hedgehog polypeptides for purification, the gene constructs of the present invention can also be used as a part of a gene therapy protocol to deliver nucleic acids encoding either an agonistic or antagonistic form of a hedgehog polypeptide. Thus, another aspect of the invention features expression vectors for in vivo transfection of a hedgehog polypeptide in particular cell types so as cause ectopic expression of a hedgehog polypeptide in an muscle tissue.

Formulations of such expression constructs may be administered in any biologically effective carrier, e.g. any formulation or composition capable of effectively delivering the recombinant gene to cells *in vivo*. Approaches include insertion of the hedgehog coding sequence in viral vectors including recombinant retroviruses, adenovirus, adeno-associated virus, and herpes simplex virus-1, or recombinant bacterial or eukaryotic plasmids. Viral vectors transfect cells directly; plasmid DNA can be delivered with the help of, for example, cationic liposomes (lipofectin) or derivatized (e.g. antibody conjugated), polylysine conjugates, gramacidin S, artificial viral envelopes or other such intracellular carriers, as well as direct injection of the gene construct or CaPO<sub>4</sub> precipitation carried out *in vivo*. It will be appreciated that because transduction of appropriate target cells represents the critical first step in gene therapy, choice of the

-38-

particular gene delivery system will depend on such factors as the phenotype of the intended target and the route of administration, e.g. locally or systemically. Furthermore, it will be recognized that the particular gene construct provided for *in vivo* transduction of *hedgehog* expression are also useful for *in vitro* transduction of cells, such as for use in the *ex vivo* tissue culture systems described below.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

A preferred approach for *in vivo* introduction of nucleic acid into a cell is by use of a viral vector containing nucleic acid, e.g. a cDNA, encoding the particular form of the *hedgehog* polypeptide desired. Infection of cells with a viral vector has the advantage that a large proportion of the targeted cells can receive the nucleic acid. Additionally, molecules encoded within the viral vector, e.g., by a cDNA contained in the viral vector, are expressed efficiently in cells which have taken up viral vector nucleic acid.

Retrovirus vectors and adeno-associated virus vectors are generally understood to be the recombinant gene delivery system of choice for the transfer of exogenous genes in vivo, particularly into humans. These vectors provide efficient delivery of genes into cells, and the transferred nucleic acids are stably integrated into the chromosomal DNA of the host. A major prerequisite for the use of retroviruses is to ensure the safety of their use, particularly with regard to the possibility of the spread of wild-type virus in the cell population. The development of specialized cell lines (termed "packaging cells") which produce only replication-defective retroviruses has increased the utility of retroviruses for gene therapy, and defective retroviruses are well characterized for use in gene transfer for gene therapy purposes (for a review see Miller, A.D. (1990) Blood 76:271). Thus, recombinant retrovirus can be constructed in which part of the retroviral coding sequence (gag, pol, env) has been replaced by nucleic acid encoding a hedgehog polypeptide and renders the retrovirus replication defective. The replication defective retrovirus is then packaged into virions which can be used to infect a target cell through the use of a helper virus by standard techniques. Protocols for producing recombinant retroviruses and for infecting cells in vitro or in vivo with such viruses can be found in Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Ausubel, F.M. et al. (eds.) Greene Publishing Associates, (1989), Sections 9.10-9.14 and other standard laboratory manuals. Examples of suitable retroviruses include pLJ, pZIP, pWE and pEM which are well known to those skilled in the art. Examples of suitable packaging virus lines for preparing both ecotropic and amphotropic retroviral systems include Crip, Cre, 2 and Am. Retroviruses have been used to introduce a variety of genes into many different cell types, including muscle cells, in vitro and/or in vivo (see for example Eglitis, et al. (1985) Science 230:1395-1398; Danos and Mulligan (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85:6460-6464; Wilson et al. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85:3014-3018; Armentano et al. (1990) Proc. Natl.

Acad. Sci. USA 87:6141-6145; Huber et al. (1991) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:8039-8043; Ferry et al. (1991) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:8377-8381; Chowdhury et al. (1991) Science 254:1802-1805; van Beusechem et al. (1992) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:7640-7644; Kay et al. (1992) Human Gene Therapy 3:641-647; Dai et al. (1992) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:10892-10895; Hwu et al. (1993) J. Immunol. 150:4104-4115; U.S. Patent No. 4,868,116; U.S. Patent No. 4,980,286; PCT Application WO 89/07136; PCT Application WO 89/02468; PCT Application WO 89/05345; and PCT Application WO 92/07573).

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Furthermore, it has been shown that it is possible to limit the infection spectrum of retroviruses and consequently of retroviral-based vectors, by modifying the viral packaging proteins on the surface of the viral particle (see, for example PCT publications WO93/25234 and WO94/06920). For instance, strategies for the modification of the infection spectrum of retroviral vectors include: coupling antibodies specific for cell surface antigens to the viral *env* protein (Roux et al. (1989) *PNAS* 86:9079-9083; Julan et al. (1992) *J. Gen Virol* 73:3251-3255; and Goud et al. (1983) *Virology* 163:251-254); or coupling cell surface receptor ligands to the viral *env* proteins (Neda et al. (1991) *J Biol Chem* 266:14143-14146). Coupling can be in the form of the chemical cross-linking with a protein or other variety (e.g. lactose to convert the *env* protein to an asialoglycoprotein), as well as by generating fusion proteins (e.g. single-chain antibody/*env* fusion proteins). This technique, while useful to limit or otherwise direct the infection to certain tissue types, can also be used to convert an ecotropic vector in to an amphotropic vector.

Moreover, use of retroviral gene delivery can be further enhanced by the use of tissue- or cell-specific transcriptional regulatory sequences which control expression of the *hedgehog* gene of the retroviral vector.

Another viral gene delivery system useful in the present method utilizes adenovirus-derived vectors. The genome of an adenovirus can be manipulated such that it encodes and expresses a gene product of interest but is inactivated in terms of its ability to replicate in a normal lytic viral life cycle. See for example Berkner et al. (1988) *BioTechniques* 6:616; Rosenfeld et al. (1991) *Science* 252:431-434; and Rosenfeld et al. (1992) *Cell* 68:143-155. Suitable adenoviral vectors derived from the adenovirus strain Ad type 5 dl324 or other strains of adenovirus (e.g., Ad2, Ad3, Ad7 etc.) are well known to those skilled in the art. Recombinant adenoviruses can be advantageous in certain circumstances in that they can be used to infect a wide variety of cell types, including muscle cells. Furthermore, the virus particle is relatively stable and amenable to purification and concentration, and as above, can be modified so as to affect

-40-

the spectrum of infectivity. Additionally, introduced adenoviral DNA (and foreign DNA contained therein) is not integrated into the genome of a host cell but remains episomal, thereby avoiding potential problems that can occur as a result of insertional mutagenesis in situations where introduced DNA becomes integrated into the host genome (e.g., retroviral DNA). Moreover, the carrying capacity of the adenoviral genome for foreign DNA is large (up to 8 kilobases) relative to other gene delivery vectors (Berkner et al. cited supra; Haj-Ahmand and Graham (1986) J. Virol. 57:267). Most replication-defective adenoviral vectors currently in use and therefore favored by the present invention are deleted for all or parts of the viral E1 and E3 genes but retain as much as 80% of the adenoviral genetic material (see, e.g., Jones et al. (1979) Cell 16:683; Berkner et al., supra; and Graham et al. in Methods in Molecular Biology, E.J. Murray, Ed. (Humana, Clifton, NJ, 1991) vol. 7. pp. 109-127). Expression of the inserted hedgehog gene can be under control of, for example, the E1A promoter, the major late promoter (MLP) and associated leader sequences, the E3 promoter, or exogenously added promoter sequences.

10

15

20

25

30

35

In addition to viral transfer methods, such as those illustrated above, non-viral methods can also be employed to cause expression of a *hedgehog* polypeptide in the tissue of an animal. Most nonviral methods of gene transfer rely on normal mechanisms used by mammalian cells for the uptake and intracellular transport of macromolecules. In preferred embodiments, non-viral gene delivery systems of the present invention rely on endocytic pathways for the uptake of the *hedgehog* polypeptide gene by the targeted cell. Exemplary gene delivery systems of this type include liposomal derived systems, poly-lysine conjugates, and artificial viral envelopes.

In clinical settings, the gene delivery systems for the therapeutic *hedgehog* gene can be introduced into a patient by any of a number of methods, each of which is familiar in the art. For instance, a pharmaceutical preparation of the gene delivery system can be introduced systemically, e.g. by intravenous injection, and specific transduction of the protein in the target cells occurs predominantly from specificity of transfection provided by the gene delivery vehicle, cell-type or tissue-type expression due to the transcriptional regulatory sequences controlling expression of the receptor gene, or a combination thereof. In other embodiments, initial delivery of the recombinant gene is more limited with introduction into the animal being quite localized. For example, the gene delivery vehicle can be introduced by catheter (see U.S. Patent 5,328,470) or by stereotactic injection (e.g. Chen et al. (1994) *PNAS* 91: 3054-3057). A *hedgehog* expression construct can be delivered in a gene therapy construct to dermal cells by, e.g.,

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

-41-

PCT/US98/17922

electroporation using techniques described, for example, by Dev et al. ((1994) Cancer Treat Rev 20:105-115).

The pharmaceutical preparation of the gene therapy construct can consist essentially of the gene delivery system in an acceptable diluent, or can comprise a slow release matrix in which the gene delivery vehicle is imbedded. Alternatively, where the complete gene delivery system can be produced intact from recombinant cells, e.g. retroviral vectors, the pharmaceutical preparation can comprise one or more cells which produce the gene delivery system.

In yet another embodiment, the hedgehog or ptc therapeutic can be a "gene activation" construct which, by homologous recombination with a genomic DNA, alters the transcriptional regulatory sequences of an endogenous gene. For instance, the gene activation construct can replace the endogenous promoter of a *hedgehog* gene with a heterologous promoter, e.g., one which causes consitutive expression of the *hedgehog* gene or which causes inducible expression of the gene under conditions different from the normal expression pattern of the gene. Other genes in the *patched* signaling pathway can be similarly targeted. A vareity of different formats for the gene activation constructs are available. See, for example, the Transkaryotic Therapies, Inc PCT publications WO93/09222, WO95/31560, WO96/29411, WO95/31560 and WO94/12650.

In preferred embodiments, the nucleotide sequence used as the gene activation construct can be comprised of (1) DNA from some portion of the endogenous hedgehog gene (exon sequence, intron sequence, promoter sequences, etc.) which direct recombination and (2) heterologous transcriptional regulatory sequence(s) which is to be operably linked to the coding sequence for the genomic hedgehog gene upon recombination of the gene activation construct. For use in generating cultures of hedgehog producing cells, the construct may further include a reporter gene to detect the presence of the knockout construct in the cell.

The gene activation construct is inserted into a cell, and integrates with the genomic DNA of the cell in such a position so as to provide the heterologous regulatory sequences in operative association with the native *hedgehog* gene. Such insertion occurs by homologous recombination, i.e., recombination regions of the activation construct that are homologous to the endogenous *hedgehog* gene sequence hybridize to the genomic DNA and recombine with the genomic sequences so that the construct is incorporated into the corresponding position of the genomic DNA.

The terms "recombination region" or "targeting sequence" refer to a segment (i.e., a portion) of a gene activation construct having a sequence that is substantially identical

to or substantially complementary to a genomic gene sequence, e.g., including 5' flanking sequences of the genomic gene, and can facilitate homologous recombination between the genomic sequence and the targeting transgene construct.

As used herein, the term "replacement region" refers to a portion of a activation construct which becomes integrated into an endogenous chromosomal location following homologous recombination between a recombination region and a genomic sequence.

5

10

15

20

25

The heterologous regulatory sequences, e.g., which are provided in the replacement region, can include one or more of a variety elements, including: promoters (such as constitutive or inducible promoters), enhancers, negative regulatory elements, locus control regions, transcription factor binding sites, or combinations thereof.

Promoters/enhancers which may be used to control the expression of the targeted gene *in vivo* include, but are not limited to, the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter/enhancer (Karasuyama et al., 1989, *J. Exp. Med.*, 169:13), the human β-actin promoter (Gunning et al. (1987) *PNAS* 84:4831-4835), the glucocorticoid-inducible promoter present in the mouse mammary tumor virus long terminal repeat (MMTV LTR) (Klessig et al. (1984) *Mol. Cell Biol.* 4:1354-1362), the long terminal repeat sequences of Moloney murine leukemia virus (MuLV LTR) (Weiss et al. (1985) *RNA Tumor Viruses*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York), the SV40 early or late region promoter (Bernoist et al. (1981) *Nature* 290:304-310; Templeton et al. (1984) *Mol. Cell Biol.*, 4:817; and Sprague et al. (1983) *J. Virol.*, 45:773), the promoter contained in the 3' long terminal repeat of Rous sarcoma virus (RSV) (Yamamoto et al., 1980, *Cell*, 22:787-797), the herpes simplex virus (HSV) thymidine kinase promoter/enhancer (Wagner et al. (1981) *PNAS* 82:3567-71), and the herpes simplex virus LAT promoter (Wolfe et al. (1992) *Nature Genetics*, 1:379-384).

In an exemplary embodiment, portions of the 5' flanking region of the human Shh gene are amplified using primers which add restriction sites, to generate the following fragments

5'gcgcgttcgaaGCGAGCCAGCGAGGGAGAGAGCGAGCGGGCGAGCC30 GAGGAAatcgatgcgcgc (primer 1)

5'gegegeagatetGGGAAAGCGCAAGAGAGAGCGCACACGCACACCCGCCGCGCGCACTCGggatecgege (primer 2)

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

As illustrated, primer 1 includes a 5' non-coding region of the human Shh gene and is flanked by an AsuII and ClaI restriction sites. Primer 2 includes a portion of the 5' non-coding region immediately 3' to that present in primer 1. The hedgehog gene sequence is flanked by XhoII and BamHI restriction sites. The purified amplimers are cut with each of the enzymes as appropriate.

The vector pCDNA1.1 (Invitrogen) includes a CMV promoter. The plasmid is cut with with AsuII, which cleaves just 3' to the CMV promoter sequence. The AsuII/ClaI fragment of primer 1 is ligated to the AsuII cleavage site of the pcDNA vector. The ClaI/AsuII ligation destroys the AsuII site at the 3' end of a properly inserted primer 1.

The vector is then cut with BamHI, and an XhoII/BamHI fragment of primer 2 is ligated to the BamHI cleavage site. As above, the BamHI/XhoII ligation destroys the BamHI site at the 5' end of a properly inserted primer 2.

Individual colonies are selected, cut with AsuII and BamHI, and the size of the AsuII/BamHI fragment determined. Colonies in which both the primer 1 and primer 2 sequences are correctly inserted are further amplified, an cut with AsuII and BamHI to produce the gene activation construct

cgaagcgaggcagccagcgaggagagagcgagcgggggagccggagcgaggaaATCGA AGGTTCGAATCCTTCCCCCACCACCATCACTTTCAAAAGTCCGAAAGAATCTGCTCCCT GCTTGTGTGTGGAGGTCGCTGAGTAGTGCGCGAGTAAAATTTAAGCTACAACAAGGCA AGGCTTGACCGACAATTGCATGAAGAATCTGCTTAGGGTTAGGCGTTTTTGCGCTGCTTC GCGATGTACGGGCCAGATATACGCGTTGACATTGATTATTGACTAGTTATTAATAGTAA TCAATTACGGGGTCATTAGTTCATAGCCCATATATGGAGTTCCGCGTTACATAACTTAC GGTAAATGGCCCGCCTGGCTGACCGCCCAACGACCCCCGCCCATTGACGTCAATAATGA CGTATGTTCCCATAGTAACGCCAATAGGGACTTTCCATTGACGTCAATGGGTGGACTAT TTACGGTAAACTGCCCACTTGGCAGTACATCAAGTGTATCATATGCCAAGTACGCCCCC TATTGACGTCAATGACGGTAAATGGCCCGCCTGGCATTATGCCCAGTACATGACCTTAT GGGACTTTCCTACTTGGCAGTACATCTACGTATTAGTCATCGCTATTACCATGGTGATG CGGTTTTGGCAGTACATCAATGGGCGTGGATAGCGGTTTGACTCACGGGGATTTCCAAG TCTCCACCCCATTGACGTCAATGGGAGTTTGTTTTGGCACCAAAATCAACGGGACTTTC CAAAATGTCGTAACAACTCCGCCCCATTGACGCAAATGGGCGGTAGGCGTGTACGGTGG GAGGTCTATATAAGCAGAGCTCTCTGGCTAACTAGAGAACCCACTGCTTACTGGCTTAT CGAAATTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGACCCAAGCTTGGTACCGAGCTCGGATCgatc tgggaaagcgcaagagagagcgcacacqcacaccccgccgcgcgcactcgg

In this construct, the flanking primer 1 and primer 2 sequences provide the recombination region which permits the insertion of the CMV promoter in front of the coding sequence for the human *Shh* gene. Other heterologous promoters (or other transcriptional regulatory sequences) can be inserted in a genomic *hedgehog* gene by a similar method.

In still other embodiments, the replacement region merely deletes a negative transcriptional control element of the native gene, e.g., to activate expression, or ablates a positive control element, e.g., to inhibit expression of the targeted gene.

# V. Exemplary ptc therapeutic compounds.

5

10

15

20

25

30

In another embodiment, the subject method is carried out using a ptc therapeutic composition. Such compositions can be generated with, for example, compounds which bind to patched and alter its signal transduction activity, compounds which alter the binding and/or enzymatic activity of a protein (e.g., intracellular) involved in patched signal pathway, and compounds which alter the level of expression of a hedgehog protein, a patched protein or a protein involved in the intracellular signal transduction pathway of patched.

The availability of purified and recombinant hedgehog polypeptides facilitates the generation of assay systems which can be used to screen for drugs, such as small organic molecules, which are either agonists or antagonists of the normal cellular function of a hedgehog and/or patched protein, particularly their role in the pathogenesis of muscle cell proliferation and/or differentiation. In one embodiment, the assay evaluates the ability of a compound to modulate binding between a hedgehog polypeptide and a hedgehog receptor such as patched. In other embodiments, the assay merely scores for the ability of a test compound to alter the signal transduction actitity of the patched protein. In this manner, a variety of hedgehog and/or ptc therapeutics, both proliferative and anti-proliferative in activity, can be identified. A variety of assay formats will suffice and, in light of the present disclosure, will be comprehended by skilled artisan.

In many drug screening programs which test libraries of compounds and natural extracts, high throughput assays are desirable in order to maximize the number of compounds surveyed in a given period of time. Assays which are performed in cell-free systems, such as may be derived with purified or semi-purified proteins, are often preferred as "primary" screens in that they can be generated to permit rapid development and relatively easy detection of an alteration in a molecular target which is mediated by a test compound. Moreover, the effects of cellular toxicity and/or bioavailability of the test

compound can be generally ignored in the *in vitro* system, the assay instead being focused primarily on the effect of the drug on the molecular target as may be manifest in an alteration of binding affinity with receptor proteins.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Acordingly, in an exemplary screening assay for ptc therapeutics, the compound of interest is contacted with a mixture including a hedgehog receptor protein (e.g., a cell expressing the patched receptor) and a hedgehog protein under conditions in which it is ordinarily capable of binding the hedgehog protein. To the mixture is then added a composition containing a test compound. Detection and quantification of receptor/hedgehog complexes provides a means for determining the test compound's efficacy at inhibiting (or potentiating) complex formation between the receptor protein and the hedgehog polypeptide. The efficacy of the compound can be assessed by generating dose response curves from data obtained using various concentrations of the test compound. Moreover, a control assay can also be performed to provide a baseline for comparison. In the control assay, isolated and purified hedgehog polypeptide is added to the receptor protein, and the formation of receptor/hedgehog complex is quantitated in the absence of the test compound.

In other embodiments, a ptc therapeutic of the present invention is one which disrupts the association of patched with smoothened.

Agonist and antagonists of muscle cell growth can be distinguished, and the efficacy of the compound can be assessed, by subsequent testing with muscle cells, e.g., in culture.

In an illustrative embodiment, the polypeptide utilized as a hedgehog receptor can be generated from the patched protein. Accordingly, an exemplary screening assay includes all or a suitable portion of the patched protein which can be obtained from, for example, the human patched gene (GenBank U43148) or other vertebrate sources (see GenBank Accession numbers U40074 for chicken patched and U46155 for mouse patched), as well as from drosophila (GenBank Accession number M28999) or other invertebrate sources. The patched protein can be provided in the screening assay as a whole protein (preferably expressed on the surface of a cell), or alternatively as a fragment of the full length protein which binds to hedgehog polypeptides, e.g., as one or both of the substantial extracellular domains (e.g. corresponding to residues Asn120-Ser438 and/or Arg770-Trp1027 of the human patched protein - which are also potential antagonists of hedgehog-dependent signal transduction). For instance, the patched protein can be provided in soluble form, as for example a preparation of one of the extracellular domains, or a preparation of both of the extracellular domains which are

PNAS 85:4879; and U.S. Patent No. 5,091,513). In other embodiments, the protein can be provided as part of a liposomal preparation or expressed on the surface of a cell. The patched protein can derived from a recombinant gene, e.g., being ectopically expressed in a heterologous cell. For instance, the protein can be expressed on oocytes, mammalian cells (e.g., COS, CHO, 3T3 or the like), or yeast cell by standard recombinant DNA techniques. These recombinant cells can be used for receptor binding, signal transduction or gene expression assays. Marigo et al. (1996) Development 122:1225-1233 illustrates a binding assay of human hedgehog to chick patched protein ectopically expressed in Xenopus laevis oocytes. The assay system of Marigo et al. can be adapted to the present drug screening assays. As illustrated in that reference, Shh binds to the patched protein in a selective, saturable, dose-dependent manner, thus demonstrating that patched is a receptor for Shh.

10

15

20

25

30

35

Complex formation between the *hedgehog* polypeptide and a *hedgehog* receptor may be detected by a variety of techniques. For instance, modulation of the formation of complexes can be quantitated using, for example, detectably labelled proteins such as radiolabelled, fluorescently labelled, or enzymatically labelled *hedgehog* polypeptides, by immunoassay, or by chromatographic detection.

Typically, for cell-free assays, it will be desirable to immobilize either the hedgehog receptor or the hedgehog polypeptide to facilitate separation of receptor/hedgehog complexes from uncomplexed forms of one of the proteins, as well as to accommodate automation of the assay. In one embodiment, a fusion protein can be provided which adds a domain that allows the protein to be bound to a matrix. For example, glutathione-S-transferase/receptor (GST/receptor) fusion proteins can be adsorbed onto glutathione sepharose beads (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO) or glutathione derivatized microtitre plates, which are then combined with the hedgehog polypeptide, e.g. an <sup>35</sup>S-labeled hedgehog polypeptide, and the test compound and incubated under conditions conducive to complex formation, e.g. at physiological conditions for salt and pH, though slightly more stringent conditions may be desired. Following incubation, the beads are washed to remove any unbound hedgehog polypeptide, and the matrix bead-bound radiolabel determined directly (e.g. beads placed in scintillant), or in the supernatant after the receptor/hedgehog complexes are dissociated. Alternatively, the complexes can be dissociated from the bead, separated by SDS-PAGE gel, and the level of hedgehog polypeptide found in the bead fraction quantitated from the gel using standard electrophoretic techniques.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

PCT/US98/17922

Other techniques for immobilizing proteins on matrices are also available for use in the subject assay. For instance, soluble portions of the hedgehog receptor protein can be immobilized utilizing conjugation of biotin and streptavidin. biotinylated receptor molecules can be prepared from biotin-NHS (N-hydroxysuccinimide) using techniques well known in the art (e.g., biotinylation kit, Pierce Chemicals, Rockford, IL), and immobilized in the wells of streptavidin-coated 96 well plates (Pierce Chemical). Alternatively, antibodies reactive with the hedgehog receptor but which do not interfere with hedgehog binding can be derivatized to the wells of the plate, and the receptor trapped in the wells by antibody conjugation. As above, preparations of a hedgehog polypeptide and a test compound are incubated in the receptor-presenting wells of the plate, and the amount of receptor/hedgehog complex trapped in the well can be quantitated. Exemplary methods for detecting such complexes, in addition to those described above for the GST-immobilized complexes. include immunodetection of complexes using antibodies reactive with the hedgehog polypeptide, or which are reactive with the receptor protein and compete for binding with the hedgehog polypeptide; as well as enzyme-linked assays which rely on detecting an enzymatic activity associated with the hedgehog polypeptide. In the instance of the latter, the enzyme can be chemically conjugated or provided as a fusion protein with the hedgehog polypeptide. To illustrate, the hedgehog polypeptide can be chemically crosslinked or genetically fused with alkaline phosphatase, and the amount of hedgehog polypeptide trapped in the complex can be assessed with a chromogenic substrate of the enzyme, e.g. paranitrophenylphosphate. Likewise, a fusion protein comprising the hedgehog polypeptide and glutathione-S-transferase can be provided, and complex formation quantitated by detecting the GST activity using 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (Habig et al (1974) J Biol Chem 249:7130).

For processes which rely on immunodetection for quantitating one of the proteins trapped in the complex, antibodies against the protein, such as the anti-hedgehog antibodies described herein, can be used. Alternatively, the protein to be detected in the complex can be "epitope tagged" in the form of a fusion protein which includes, in addition to the hedgehog polypeptide or hedgehog receptor sequence, a second polypeptide for which antibodies are readily available (e.g. from commercial sources). For instance, the GST fusion proteins described above can also be used for quantification of binding using antibodies against the GST moiety. Other useful epitope tags include myc-epitopes (e.g., see Ellison et al. (1991) J Biol Chem 266:21150-21157) which includes a 10-residue sequence from c-myc, as well as the pFLAG system (International Biotechnologies, Inc.) or the pEZZ-protein A system (Pharamacia, NJ).

Where the desired portion of the *hedgehog* receptor (or other *hedgehog* binding molecule) cannot be provided in soluble form, liposomal vesicles can be used to provide manipulatable and isolatable sources of the receptor. For example, both authentic and recombinant forms of the *patched* protein can be reconstituted in artificial lipid vesicles (e.g. phosphatidylcholine liposomes) or in cell membrane-derived vesicles (see, for example, Bear et al. (1992) *Cell* 68:809-818; Newton et al. (1983) *Biochemistry* 22:6110-6117; and Reber et al. (1987) *J Biol Chem* 262:11369-11374).

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

In addition to cell-free assays, such as described above, the readily available source of *hedgehog* proteins provided by the art also facilitates the generation of cell-based assays for identifying small molecule agonists/antagonists and the like. Analogous to the cell-based assays described above for screening combinatorial libraries, cells which are sensitive to *hedgehog* induction, e.g. *patched*-expressing cells or other myoblast-derived cells sensitive to *hedgehog* induction, can be contacted with a *hedgehog* protein and a test agent of interest, with the assay scoring for anything from simple binding to the cell to modulation in *hedgehog* inductive responses by the target cell in the presence and absence of the test agent. As with the cell-free assays, agents which produce a statistically significant change in *hedgehog* activities (either inhibition or potentiation) can be identified.

In other emdodiments, the cell-based assay scores for agents which disrupt association of patched and *smoothened* proteins, e.g., in the cell surface membrane or liposomal preparation.

In addition to characterizing cells that naturally express the *patched* protein, cells which have been genetically engineered to ectopically express *patched* can be utilized for drug screening assays. As an example, cells which either express low levels or lack expression of the *patched* protein, e.g. *Xenopus laevis* oocytes, COS cells or yeast cells, can be genetically modified using standard techniques to ectopically express the *patched* protein. (see Marigo et al., *supra*).

The resulting recombinant cells, e.g., which express a functional patched receptor, can be utilized in receptor binding assays to identify agonist or anatagonsts of hedgehog binding. Binding assays can be performed using whole cells. Furthermore, the recombinant cells of the present invention can be engineered to include other heterolgous genes encoding proteins involved in hedgehog-dependent siganl pathways. For example, the gene products of one or more of smoothened, costal-2 and/or fused can be co-expressed with patched in the reagent cell, with assays being sensitive to the functional reconstituion of the hedgehog signal transduction cascade.

10

15

20

25

30

35

Alternatively, liposomal preparations using reconstituted patched protein can be utilized. Patched protein purified from detergent extracts from both authentic and recombinant origins can be reconstituted in in artificial lipid vesicles (e.g. phosphatidylcholine liposomes) or in cell membrane-derived vesicles (see, for example, Bear et al. (1992) Cell 68:809-818; Newton et al. (1983) Biochemistry 22:6110-6117; and Reber et al. (1987) J Biol Chem 262:11369-11374). The lamellar structure and size of the resulting liposomes can be characterized using electron microscopy. External orientation of the patched protein in the reconstituted membranes can be demonstrated, for example, by immunoelectron microscopy. The hedgehog protein binding activity of liposomes containing patched and liposomes without the protein in the presence of candidate agents can be compared in order to identify potential modulators of the hedgehog-patched interaction.

The *hedgehog* protein used in these cell-based assays can be provided as a purified source (natural or recombinant in origin), or in the form of cells/tissue which express the protein and which are co-cultured with the target cells. As in the cell-free assays, where simple binding (rather than induction) is the hedgehog activity scored for in the assay, the protein can be labelled by any of the above-mentioned techniques, e.g., fluorescently, enzymatically or radioactively, or detected by immunoassay.

In addition to binding studies, functional assays can be used to identified modulators, i.e., agonists or antagonists, of *hedgehog* or *patched* activities. By detecting changes in intracellular signals, such as alterations in second messengers or gene expression, in *patched*-expressing cells contacted with a test agent, candidate agonists and antagonists to *patched* signaling can be identified.

A number of gene products have been implicated in *patched*-mediated signal transduction, including *patched*, the transcription factor *cubitus interruptus* (ci), the serine/threonine kinase *fused* (fu) and the gene products of *costal-2*, *smoothened* and *suppressor of fused*.

The interaction of a hedgehog protein with patched sets in motion a cascade involving the activation and inhibition of downstream effectors, the ultimate consequence of which is, in some instances, a detectable change in the transcription or translation of a gene. Potential transcriptional targets of patched signaling are the patched gene itself (Hidalgo and Ingham, 1990 Development 110, 291-301; Marigo et al., 1996) and the vertebrate homologs of the drosophila cubitus interruptus gene, the GLI genes (Hui et al. (1994) Dev Biol 162:402-413). Patched gene expression has been shown to be induced in cells of the limb bud and the neural plate that are responsive to Shh. (Marigo et al. (1996) PNAS, in press; Marigo et al. (1996) Development 122:1225-

10

15

20

25

30

35

WO 99/10004 PCT/US98/17922

-50-

1233). The GLI genes encode putative transcription factors having zinc finger DNA binding domains (Orenic et al. (1990) Genes & Dev 4:1053-1067; Kinzler et al. (1990) Mol Cell Biol 10:634-642). Transcription of the GLI gene has been reported to be upregulated in response to hedgehog in limb buds, while transcription of the GLI3 gene is downregulated in response to hedgehog induction (Marigo et al. (1996) Development 122:1225-1233). By selecting transcriptional regulatory sequences from such target genes, e.g. from patched or GLI genes, that are responsible for the up- or down regulation of these genes in response to patched signalling, and operatively linking such promoters to a reporter gene, one can derive a transcription based assay which is sensitive to the ability of a specific test compound to modify patched signalling pathways. Expression of the reporter gene, thus, provides a valuable screening tool for the development of compounds that act as agonists or antagonists of ptc induction of differentiation/quiescence.

Reporter gene based assays of this invention measure the end stage of the above described cascade of events, e.g., transcriptional modulation. Accordingly, in practicing one embodiment of the assay, a reporter gene construct is inserted into the reagent cell in order to generate a detection signal dependent on ptc signaling. To identify potential regulatory elements responsive to ptc signaling present in the transcriptional regulatory sequence of a target gene, nested deletions of genomic clones of the target gene can be constructed using standard techniques. See, for example, Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Ausubel, F.M. et al. (eds.) Greene Publishing Associates, (1989); U.S. Patent 5,266,488; Sato et al. (1995) J Biol Chem 270:10314-10322; and Kube et al. (1995) Cytokine 7:1-7. A nested set of DNA fragments from the gene's 5'-flanking region are placed upstream of a reporter gene, such as the luciferase gene, and assayed for their ability to direct reporter gene expression in patched expressing cells. Host cells transiently transfected with reporter gene constructs can be scored for the induction of expression of the reporter gene in the presence and absence of hedgehog to determine regulatory sequences which are responsice to patched-dependent signalling.

In practicing one embodiment of the assay, a reporter gene construct is inserted into the reagent cell in order to generate a detection signal dependent on second messengers generated by induction with *hedgehog* protein. Typically, the reporter gene construct will include a reporter gene in operative linkage with one or more transcriptional regulatory elements responsive to the *hedgehog* activity, with the level of expression of the reporter gene providing the *hedgehog*-dependent detection signal. The amount of transcription from the reporter gene may be measured using any method known to those of skill in the art to be suitable. For example, mRNA expression from the

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

-51-

PCT/US98/17922

reporter gene may be detected using RNAse protection or RNA-based PCR, or the protein product of the reporter gene may be identified by a characteristic stain or an intrinsic activity. The amount of expression from the reporter gene is then compared to the amount of expression in either the same cell in the absence of the test compound (or hedgehog) or it may be compared with the amount of transcription in a substantially identical cell that lacks the target receptor protein. Any statistically or otherwise significant difference in the amount of transcription indicates that the test compound has in some manner altered the signal transduction of the patched protein, e.g., the test compound is a potential ptc therapeutic.

As described in further detail below, in preferred embodiments the gene product of the reporter is detected by an intrinsic activity associated with that product. For instance, the reporter gene may encode a gene product that, by enzymatic activity, gives rise to a detection signal based on color, fluorescence, or luminescence. In other preferred embodiments, the reporter or marker gene provides a selective growth advantage, e.g., the reporter gene may enhance cell viability, relieve a cell nutritional requirement, and/or provide resistance to a drug.

Preferred reporter genes are those that are readily detectable. The reporter gene may also be included in the construct in the form of a fusion gene with a gene that includes desired transcriptional regulatory sequences or exhibits other desirable properties. Examples of reporter genes include, but are not limited to CAT (chloramphenicol acetyl transferase) (Alton and Vapnek (1979), Nature 282: 864-869) luciferase, and other enzyme detection systems, such as beta-galactosidase; firefly luciferase (deWet et al. (1987), Mol. Cell. Biol. 7:725-737); bacterial luciferase (Engebrecht and Silverman (1984), PNAS 1: 4154-4158; Baldwin et al. (1984), Biochemistry 23: 3663-3667); alkaline phosphatase (Toh et al. (1989) Eur. J. Biochem. 182: 231-238, Hall et al. (1983) J. Mol. Appl. Gen. 2: 101), human placental secreted alkaline phosphatase (Cullen and Malim (1992) Methods in Enzymol. 216:362-368).

Transcriptional control elements which may be included in a reporter gene construct include, but are not limited to, promoters, enhancers, and repressor and activator binding sites. Suitable transcriptional regulatory elements may be derived from the transcriptional regulatory regions of genes whose expression is induced after modulation of a patched signal transduction pathway. The characteristics of preferred genes from which the transcriptional control elements are derived include, but are not limited to, low or undetectable expression in quiescent cells, rapid induction at the transcriptional level within minutes of extracellular simulation, induction that is transient and independent of new protein synthesis, subsequent shut-off of transcription requires

new protein synthesis, and mRNAs transcribed from these genes have a short half-life. It is not necessary for all of these properties to be present.

-52-

In yet other embodiments, second messenger generation can be measured directly in the detection step, such as mobilization of intracellular calcium, phospholipid metabolism or adenylate cyclase activity are quantitated, for instance, the products of phospholipid hydrolysis IP<sub>3</sub>, DAG or cAMP could be measured. For example, recent studies have implicated protein kinase A (PKA) as a possible component of hedgehog/patched signaling (Hammerschmidt et al. (1996) Genes & Dev 10:647). High PKA activity has been shown to antagonize hedgehog signaling in these systems. Although it is unclear whether PKA acts directly downstream or in parallel with hedgehog signaling, it is possible that hedgehog signalling occurs via inhibition of PKA activity. Thus, detection of PKA activity provides a potential readout for the instant assays.

In a preferred embodiment, the *ptc* therapeutic is a PKA inhibitor. A variety of PKA inhibitors are known in the art, including both peptidyl and organic compounds. For instance, the *ptc* therapeutic can be a 5-isoquinolinesulfonamide, such as represented in the general formula:

20 wherein,

25

5

10

15

 $R_1$  and  $R_2$  each can independently represent hydrogen, and as valence and stability permit a lower alkyl, a lower alkenyl, a lower alkynyl, a carbonyl (such as a carboxyl, an ester, a formate, or a ketone), a thiocarbonyl (such as a thioester, a thioacetate, or a thioformate), an amino, an acylamino, an amido, a cyano, a nitro, an azido, a sulfate, a sulfonate, a sulfonamido, -( $CH_2$ )<sub>m</sub>- $R_8$ , -( $CH_2$ )<sub>m</sub>- $CH_2$ )<sub>m</sub>- $CH_2$ 0-lower alkyl, -( $CH_2$ )<sub>m</sub>- $CH_2$ 0-lower alkenyl, -( $CH_2$ )<sub>m</sub>- $CH_2$ 0- $CH_$ 

 $R_1$  and  $R_2$  taken together with N form a heterocycle (substituted or unsubstituted):

 $R_3$  is absent or represents one or more substitutions to the isoquinoline ring such as a lower alkyl, a lower alkenyl, a lower alkynyl, a carbonyl (such as a carboxyl, an ester, a formate, or a ketone), a thiocarbonyl (such as a thioester, a thioacetate, or a thioformate), an amino, an acylamino, an amido, a cyano, a nitro, an azido, a sulfate, a sulfonate, a sulfonamido,  $-(CH_2)_m-R_8$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-OH$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-O-lower alkyl$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-O-lower alkyl$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-O-lower alkenyl$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-O-(CH_2)_m-R_8$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-SH$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-S-lower alkyl$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-S-lower alkenyl$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-S-(CH_2)_m-R_8$ ;

R<sub>8</sub> represents a substituted or unsubstituted aryl, aralkyl, cycloalkyl, cycloalkenyl, or heterocycle; and

n and m are independently for each occurrence zero or an integer in the range of 1 to 6.

In a preferred embodiment, the PKA inhibitor is N-[2-((p-bromocinnamyl)amino)ethyl]-5-isoquinolinesulfonamide (H-89; Calbiochem Cat. No. 371963), e.g., having the formula:

15

5

In another embodiment, the PKA inhibitor is 1-(5-isoquinolinesulfonyl)-2-methylpiperazine (H-7; Calbiochem Cat. No. 371955), e.g., having the formula:

In still other embodiments, the PKA inhibitor is KT5720 (Calbiochem Cat. No. 420315), having the structure

A variety of nucleoside analogs are also useful as PKA inhibitors. For example, the subject method can be carried out cyclic AMP analogs which inhibit the kinase activity of PKA, as for example, 8-bromo-cAMP or dibutyryl-cAMP

5

Exemplary peptidyl inhibitors of PKA activity include the PKA Heat Stable Inhibitor (isoform  $\alpha$ ; see, for example, Calbiochem Cat. No. 539488, and Wen et al. (1995) *J Biol Chem* 270:2041).

Certain *hedehog* receptors may stimulate the activity of phospholipases. Inositol lipids can be extracted and analyzed using standard lipid extraction techniques. Water soluble derivatives of all three inositol lipids (IP<sub>1</sub>, IP<sub>2</sub>, IP<sub>3</sub>) can also be quantitated using radiolabelling techniques or HPLC.

15

10

The mobilization of intracellular calcium or the influx of calcium from outside the cell may be a response to *hedgehog* stimulation or lack there of. Calcium flux in the reagent cell can be measured using standard techniques. The choice of the appropriate calcium indicator, fluorescent, bioluminescent, metallochromic, or Ca<sup>++</sup>-sensitive microelectrodes depends on the cell type and the magnitude and time constant of the

-55-

event under study (Borle (1990) Environ Health Perspect 84:45-56). As an exemplary method of Ca<sup>++</sup> detection, cells could be loaded with the Ca<sup>++</sup> sensitive fluorescent dye fura-2 or indo-1, using standard methods, and any change in Ca<sup>++</sup> measured using a fluorometer.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

In certain embodiments of the assay, it may be desirable to screen for changes in cellular phosphorylation. As an example, the drosophila gene *fused* (fu) which encodes a serine/threonine kinase has been identified as a potential downstream target in *hedgehog* signaling. (Preat et al., 1990 *Nature* 347, 87-89; Therond et al. 1993, *Mech. Dev.* 44. 65-80). The ability of compounds to modulate serine/threonine kinase activation could be screened using colony immunoblotting (Lyons and Nelson (1984) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 81:7426-7430) using antibodies against phosphorylated serine or threonine residues. Reagents for performing such assays are commercially available, for example, phosphoserine and phosphothreonine specific antibodies which measure increases in phosphorylation of those residues can be purchased from comercial sources.

In yet another embodiment, the *ptc* therapeutic is an antisense molecule which inhibits expression of a protein involved in a *patched*-mediated signal transduction pathway. To illustrate, by inhibiting the expression of a protein which are involved in *patched* signals, such as fused, costal-2, smoothened and/or Gli genes, the ability of the patched signal pathway(s) to inhibit proliferation of a cell can be altered, e.g., potentiated or repressed.

As used herein, "antisense" therapy refers to administration or in situ generation of oligonucleotide probes or their derivatives which specifically hybridize (e.g. bind) under cellular conditions with cellular mRNA and/or genomic DNA encoding a hedgehog protein, patched, or a protein involved in patched-mediated signal transduction. The hybridization should inhibit expression of that protein, e.g. by inhibiting transcription and/or translation. The binding may be by conventional base pair complementarity, or, for example, in the case of binding to DNA duplexes, through specific interactions in the major groove of the double helix. In general, "antisense" therapy refers to the range of techniques generally employed in the art, and includes any therapy which relies on specific binding to oligonucleotide sequences.

An antisense construct of the present invention can be delivered, for example, as an expression plasmid which, when transcribed in the cell, produces RNA which is complementary to at least a unique portion of the target cellular mRNA. Alternatively, the antisense construct is an oligonucleotide probe which is generated *ex vivo* and which, when introduced into the cell causes inhibition of expression by hybridizing with the

mRNA and/or genomic sequences of a target gene. Such oligonucleotide probes are preferably modified oligonucleotide which are resistant to endogenous nucleases, e.g. exonucleases and/or endonucleases, and is therefore stable *in vivo*. Exemplary nucleic acid molecules for use as antisense oligonucleotides are phosphoramidate, phosphothioate and methylphosphonate analogs of DNA (see also U.S. Patents 5,176,996; 5,264,564; and 5,256,775). Additionally, general approaches to constructing oligomers useful in antisense therapy have been reviewed, for example, by Van der Krol et al. (1988) *Biotechniques* 6:958-976; and Stein et al. (1988) *Cancer Res* 48:2659-2668.

Several considerations should be taken into account when constructing antisense oligonucleotides for the use in the methods of the invention: (1) oligos should have a GC content of 50% or more; (2) avoid sequences with stretches of 3 or more G's; and (3) oligonucleotides should not be longer than 25-26 mers. When testing an antisense oligonucleotide, a mismatched control can be constructed. The controls can be generated by reversing the sequence order of the corresponding antisense oligonucleotide in order to conserve the same ratio of bases.

In an illustrative embodiment, the *ptc* therapeutic can be an antisense construct for inhibiting the expression of *patched*, e.g., to mimic the inhibition of *patched* by *hedgehog*. Exemplary antisense constructs include:

- 5'-GTCCTGGCGCCGCCGCCGTCGCC
- 20 5'-TTCCGATGACCGGCCTTTCGCGGTGA

5

10

15

5'-GTGCACGGAAAGGTGCAGGCCACACT

#### VI. Exemplary pharmaceutical preparations of hedgehog and ptc therapeutics

The source of the hedgehog and ptc therapeutics to be formulated will depend on the particular form of the agent. Small organic molecules and peptidyl fragments can be chemically synthesized and provided in a pure form suitable for pharmaceutical/cosmetic usage. Products of natural extracts can be purified according to techniques known in the art. For example, the Cox et al. U.S. Patent 5,286,654 describes a method for purifying naturally occurring forms of a secreted protein and can be adapted for purification of hedgehog polypeptides. Recombinant sources of hedgehog polypeptides are also available. For example, the gene encoding *hedgehog* polypeptides, are known, *inter alia*, from PCT publications WO 95/18856 and WO 96/17924.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

PCT/US98/17922

Those of skill in treating muscle tissues can determine the effective amount of an hedgehog or ptc therapeutic to be formulated in a pharmaceutical or cosmetic preparation.

The hedgehog or ptc therapeutic formulations used in the method of the invention are most preferably applied in the form of appropriate compositions. As appropriate compositions there may be cited all compositions usually employed for systemically or topically administering drugs. The pharmaceutically acceptable carrier should be substantially inert, so as not to act with the active component. Suitable inert carriers include water, alcohol polyethylene glycol, mineral oil or petroleum gel, propylene glycol and the like.

To prepare the pharmaceutical compositions of this invention, an effective amount of the particular hedgehog or ptc therapeutic as the active ingredient is combined in intimate admixture with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, which carrier may take a wide variety of forms depending on the form of preparation desired for administration. These pharmaceutical compositions are desirable in unitary dosage form suitable. particularly, for administration orally, rectally, percutaneously, or by parenteral injection. For example, in preparing the compositions in oral dosage form, any of the usual pharmaceutical media may be employed such as, for example, water, glycols, oils, alcohols and the like in the case of oral liquid preparations such as suspensions, syrups, elixirs and solutions; or solid carriers such as starches, sugars, kaolin, lubricants, binders, disintegrating agents and the like in the case of powders, pills, capsules, and tablets. Because of their ease in administration, tablets and capsules represents the most advantageous oral dosage unit form, in which case solid pharmaceutical carriers are obviously employed. For parenteral compositions, the carrier will usually comprise sterile water, at least in large part, though other ingredients, for example, to aid solubility, may be included. Injectable solutions, for example, may be prepared in which the carrier comprises saline solution, glucose solution or a mixture of saline and glucose solution. Injectable suspensions may also be prepared in which case appropriate liquid carriers, suspending agents and the like may be employed. Also included are solid form preparations which are intended to be converted, shortly before use, to liquid form preparations. In the compositons suitable for percutaneous administration, the carrier optionally comprises a penetration enhancing agent and/or a suitable wetting agent, optionally combined with suitable additives of any nature in minor proportions, which additives do not introduce a significant deleterious effect on the skin.

In addition to the direct topical application of the preparations they can be topically administered by other methods, for example, encapsulated in a temperature and/or

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

pressure sensitive matrix or in film or solid carrier which is soluble in body fluids and the like for subsequent release, preferably sustained-release of the active component.

-58-

PCT/US98/17922

As appropriate compositions for topical application there may be cited all compositions usually employed for topically administering therapeuites, e.g., creams, gellies, dressings, shampoos, tinctures, pastes, ointments, salves, powders, liquid or semiliquid formulation and the like. Application of said compositions may be by aerosol e.g. with a propellent such as nitrogen carbon dioxide, a freon, or without a propellent such as a pump spray, drops, lotions, or a semisolid such as a thickened composition which can be applied by a swab. In particular compositions, semisolid compositions such as salves, creams, pastes, gellies, ointments and the like will conveniently be used.

It is especially advantageous to formulate the subject compositions in dosage unit form for ease of administration and uniformity of dosage. Dosage unit form as used in the specification and claims herein refers to physically discreate units suitable as unitary dosages, each unit containing a predetermined quantity of active ingredient calculated to produce the desired therapeutic effect in association with the required pharmaceutical carrier. Examples of such dosage unit forms are tablets (including scored or coated tablets), capsules, pills, powders packets, wafers, injectable solutions or suspensions, teaspoonfuls, tablespoonfuls and the like, and segregated multiples thereof.

The pharmaceutical preparations of the present invention can be used, as stated above, for the many applications which can be considered cosmetic uses. Cosmetic compositions known in the art, preferably hypoallergic and pH controlled are especially preferred, and include toilet waters, packs, lotions, skin milks or milky lotions. The preparations contain, besides the hedgehog or ptc therapeutic, components usually employed in such preparations. Examples of such components are oils, fats, waxes, surfactants, humectants, thickening agents, antioxidants, viscosity stabilizers, chelating agents, buffers, preservatives, perfumes, dyestuffs, lower alkanols, and the like. If desired, further ingredients may be incorporated in the compositions, e.g. antiinflammatory agents, antibacterials, antifungals, disinfectants, vitamins, sunscreens, antibiotics, or other anti-acne agents.

Examples of oils comprise fats and oils such as olive oil and hydrogenated oils; waxes such as beeswax and lanolin; hydrocarbons such as liquid paraffin, ceresin, and squalane; fatty acids such as stearic acid and oleic acid; alcohols such as cetyl alcohol, stearyl alcohol, lanolin alcohol, and hexadecanol; and esters such as isopropyl myristate, isopropyl palmitate and butyl stearate. As examples of surfactants there may be cited anionic surfactants such as sodium stearate, sodium cetylsulfate, polyoxyethylene

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

-59-

laurylether phosphate, sodium N-acyl glutamate; cationic surfactants such as stearyldimethylbenzylammonium chloride and stearyltrimethylammonium chloride; ampholytic surfactants such as alkylaminoethylglycine hydrocloride solutions and lecithin; and nonionic surfactants such as glycerin monostearate, sorbitan monostearate, sucrose fatty acid esters, propylene glycol monostearate, polyoxyethylene oleylether, monostearate, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monopalmitate. polyethylene glycol polyoxyethylene coconut fatty acid monoethanolamide, polyoxypropylene glycol (e.g. the materials sold under the trademark "Pluronic"), polyoxyethylene castor oil, and polyoxyethylene lanolin. Examples of humectants include glycerin, 1,3-butylene glycol, and propylene glycol; examples of lower alcohols include ethanol and isopropanol; examples of thickening agents include xanthan gum, hydroxypropyl cellulose. hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose, polyethylene glycol and sodium carboxymethyl cellulose; examples of antioxidants comprise butylated hydroxytoluene, butylated hydroxyanisole, propyl gallate, citric acid and ethoxyquin; examples of chelating agents include disodium edetate and ethanehydroxy diphosphate; examples of buffers comprise citric acid, sodium citrate, boric acid, borax, and disodium hydrogen phosphate; and examples of preservatives are methyl parahydroxybenzoate, ethyl parahydroxybenzoate, dehydroacetic acid, salicylic acid and benzoic acid.

For preparing ointments, creams, toilet waters, skin milks, and the like, typically from 0.01 to 10% in particular from 0.1 to 5% and more in particular from 0.2 to 2.5% of the active ingredient, e.g., of the hedgehog or ptc therapeutic, will be incorporated in the compositions. In ointments or creams, the carrier for example consists of 1 to 20%, in particular 5 to 15% of a humectant, 0.1 to 10% in particular from 0.5 to 5% of a thickener and water; or said carrier may consist of 70 to 99%, in particular 20 to 95% of a surfactant, and 0 to 20%, in particular 2.5 to 15% of a fat; or 80 to 99.9% in particular 90 to 99% of a thickener; or 5 to 15% of a surfactant, 2-15% of a humectant, 0 to 80% of an oil, very small ( < 2%) amounts of preservative, coloring agent and/or perfume, and water. In a toilet water, the carrier for example consists of 2 to 10% of a lower alcohol, 0.1 to 10% or in particular 0.5 to 1% of a surfactant, 1 to 20%, in particular 3 to 7% of a humectant, 0 to 5% of a buffer, water and small amounts ( < 2%) of preservative, dyestuff and/or perfume. In a skin milk, the carrier typically consists of 10-50% of oil, 1 to 10% of surfactant, 50-80% of water and 0 to 3% of preservative and/or perfume. In the aforementioned preparations, all % symbols refer to weight by weight percentage.

Particular compositions for use in the method of the present invention are those wherein the hedgehog or ptc therapeutic is formulated in liposome-containing compositions. Liposomes are artificial vesicles formed by amphiphatic molecules such as

-60-

WO 99/10004

10

15

20

25

30

35

PCT/US98/17922

polar lipids, for example, phosphatidyl cholines, ethanolamines and serines, sphingomyelins, cardiolipins, plasmalogens, phosphatidic acids and cerebiosides. Liposomes are formed when suitable amphiphathic molecules are allowed to swell in water or aqueous solutions to form liquid crystals usually of multilayer structure comprised of many bilayers separated from each other by aqueous material (also referred to as coarse liposomes). Another type of liposome known to be consisting of a single bilayer encapsulating aqueous material is referred to as a unilamellar vesicle. If water-soluble materials are included in the aqueous phase during the swelling of the lipids they become entrapped in the aqueous layer between the lipid bilayers.

Water-soluble active ingredients such as, for example, various salt forms of a hedgehog polypeptide, are encapsulated in the aqueous spaces between the molecular layers. The lipid soluble active ingredient of hedgehog or ptc therapeutic, such as an organic mimetic, is predominantly incorporated into the lipid layers, although polar head groups may protude from the layer into the aqueous space. The encapsulation of these compounds can be achieved by a number of methods. The method most commonly used involves casting a thin film of phospholipid onto the walls of a flask by evaporation from an organic solvent. When this film is dispersed in a suitable aqueous medium, multilamellar liposomes are formed. Upon suitable sonication, the coarse liposomes form smaller similarly closed vesicles.

Water-soluble active ingredients are usually incorporated by dispersing the cast film with an aqueous solution of the compound. The unencapsulated compound is then removed by centrifugation, chromatography, dialysis or other art-known suitable procedures. The lipid-soluble active ingredient is usually incorporated by dissolving it in the organic solvent with the phospholipid prior to casting the film. If the solubility of the material in the lipid phase is not exceeded or the amount present is not in excess of that which can be bound to the lipid, liposomes prepared by the above method usually contain most of the material bound in the lipid bilayers; separation of the liposomes from unencapsulated material is not required.

A particularly convenient method for preparing liposome formulated forms of hedgehog and ptc therapeutics is the method described in EP-A-253,619, incorporated herein by reference. In this method, single bilayered liposomes containing encapsulated active ingredients are prepared by dissolving the lipid component in an organic medium, injecting the organic solution of the lipid component under pressure into an aqueous component while simultaneously mixing the organic and aqueous components with a high speed homogenizer or mixing means, whereupon the liposomes are formed spontaneously.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

-61-

PCT/US98/17922

The single bilayered liposomes containing the encapsulated hedgehog or ptc therapeutic can be employed directly or they can be employed in a suitable pharmaceutically acceptable carrier for topical administration. The viscosity of the liposomes can be increased by the addition of one or more suitable thickening agents such as, for example xanthan gum, hydroxypropyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose and mixtures thereof. The aqueous component may consist of water alone or it may contain electrolytes, buffered systems and other ingredients, such as, for example, preservatives. Suitable electrolytes which can be employed include metal salts such as alkali metal and alkaline earth metal salts. The preferred metal salts are calcium chloride, sodium chloride and potassium chloride. The concentration of the electrolyte may vary from zero to 260 mM, preferably from 5 mM to 160 mM. The aqueous component is placed in a suitable vessel which can be adapted to effect homogenization by effecting great turbulence during the injection of the organic component. Homogenization of the two components can be accomplished within the vessel, or, alternatively, the aqueous and organic components may be injected separately into a mixing means which is located outside the vessel. In the latter case, the liposomes are formed in the mixing means and then transferred to another vessel for collection purpose.

The organic component consists of a suitable non-toxic, pharmaceutically acceptable solvent such as, for example ethanol, glycerol, propylene glycol and polyethylene glycol, and a suitable phospholipid which is soluble in the solvent. Suitable phospholipids which can be employed include lecithin, phosphatidylcholine, phosphatydylserine, phosphatidylethanol-amine, phosphatidylinositol, lysophosphatidylcholine and phospha-tidyl glycerol, for example. Other lipophilic additives may be employed in order to selectively modify the characteristics of the liposomes. Examples of such other additives include stearylamine, phosphatidic acid, tocopherol, cholesterol and lanolin extracts.

In addition, other ingredients which can prevent oxidation of the phospholipids may be added to the organic component. Examples of such other ingredients include tocopherol, butylated hydroxyanisole, butylated hydroxytoluene, ascorbyl palmitate and ascorbyl oleate. Preservatives such a benzoic acid, methyl paraben and propyl paraben may also be added.

Apart from the above-described compositions, use may be made of covers, e.g. plasters, bandages, dressings, gauze pads and the like, containing an appropriate amount of a hedgehog or ptc therapeutic. In some cases use may be made of plasters, bandages, dressings, gauze pads and the like which have been impregnated with a topical formulation containing the therapeutic formulation.

-62-

#### **EXEMPLIFICATION**

WO 99/10004

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

The invention now being generally described, it will be more readily understood by reference to the following examples which are included merely for purposes of illustration of certain aspects and embodiments of the present invention, and are not intended to limit the invention.

PCT/US98/17922

Muscles are formed by the differentiation of mononucleate proliferative myoblasts into post-mitotic myocytes which subsequently fuse to form multinucleate muscle fibers. In amniotes, muscle fibers differentiate in two waves: the first-formed primary fibers are generally slow, whereas later secondary fibers, which form in close association with primary fibers, are fast (Kelly and Rubinstein, 1980). These two fiber types are not spatially separated and, as they are formed over a considerable time period, the fate of individual cells as they mature has not been followed. In zebrafish, by contrast, somitic muscle fibers form in two temporally-separated waves. The early differentiating cells are formed medially near the notochord and migrate laterally during late somitogenesis to become slow muscle (Devoto et al., 1996; van Raamsdonk et al., 1978). However, most somitic cells differentiate later and become fast muscle.

The differentiation of somites is central to vertebrate mesoderm development. Somites are epithelial balls of mesoderm that arise from a mesenchymal mass of proliferative paraxial tissue in a rostro-caudal order. Once formed, somites rapidly differentiate into a ventral sclerotomal mesenchymal compartment and a dorsal epithelial structure, the dermomyotome. In lower vertebrates, such as fish, in which the sclerotome is small (Morin-Kensicki and Eisen, 1997), the somite mainly gives rise to muscle. In amniotes, the dermomyotome contributes to trunk dermis and to several distinct populations of muscle cells. The dorsomedial lip of the dermomyotome, which is located next to the neural tube, forms the differentiated muscle of the myotome that arises between the sclerotome and dermomyotome.

Signals from adjacent tissues regulate somitic muscle differentiation (for a review see Lassar and Munsterberg (1996)). For instance, Axial structures (neural tube and notochord) are important as their removal leads to cell death and somite regression (Rong et al., 1992; Teillet and Le Douarin, 1983), and they can enhance both myogenesis and chondrogenesis (Kenny-Mobbs and Thorogood, 1987). Notochord can induce myogenesis in some assays of myogenic induction (Buffinger and Stockdale, 1995; Gamel et al., 1995; Stern et al., 1995; Pownall et al., 1996), although ectopically-positioned notochords in chick embryos can induce sclerotome at the expense of

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

myogenic tissue (Pourquie et al., 1993; Bober et al., 1994; Fan and Tessier-Lavigne, 1994; Goulding et al., 1994). SHH is a signaling molecule expressed in notochord at all times when this tissue can influence muscle differentiation (Echelard et al., 1993; Krauss et al., 1993; Johnson et al., 1994; Roelink et al., 1994). SHH can substitute for notochord in various assays of both sclerotome and muscle induction (Fan et al., 1995; Munsterberg et al., 1995), and to induce ectopic muscle markers in vivo (Johnson et al., 1994; Concordet et al., 1996; Weinberg et al., 1996; Hammerschmidt et al., 1996). Moreover, mice homozygous for a targeted deletion of the shh gene have deficits in sclerotome and myotome precursor cell markers (Chiang et al., 1996). These data suggest that SHH may mediate notochord-dependent signals that induce myogenesis. However, two lines of evidence argue against this simple view. First, both the MyoD and Myf-5 muscle specific transcription factors are still expressed in shh-1- mouse somites, although Myf-5 mRNA is reduced (Chiang et al., 1996). As Myf-5 and MyoD are myoblast markers in amniotes, this suggests that the myogenic program can be initiated in the absence of SHH. Second, ablation of all axial structures has little effect on limb and body wall muscle development although somitic myogenesis is reduced, partly due to regression of the somite (Rong et al., 1992). Thus, although notochordderived SHH is a strong candidate for a regulator of myotomal muscle formation, its precise role in myogenesis has previously remained enigmatic.

A confounding factor in understanding myotomal muscle induction is the heterogeneity of myogenic cell populations within the somite (reviewed in Cossu et al. (1996b)). The phenotypes of mice with null mutations in members of the MyoD family of myogenic regulatory transcription factors (MRFs) suggest that several distinct populations of myogenic cells exist in different parts of the developing murine dermomyotome (Rudnicki et al., 1993; Tajbakhsh et al., 1997) and these populations appear to differ in their sensitivity to loss of SHH (Chiang et al., 1996). In addition to notochord, neural tube also contains inductive signals that can support somitic myogenesis (Buffinger and Stockdale, 1995; Goulding et al., 1994; Rong et al., 1992; Stern and Hauschka, 1995; Teillet and Le Douarin, 1983), and dorsal neural tube can induce myogenesis, an effect that can be mimicked by some Wnt proteins (Gamel et al., 1995; Munsterberg et al., 1995; Stern et al., 1995). Moreover, inhibitory signals from lateral plate mesoderm and surface ectoderm have been suggested to influence myogenesis (Fan and Tessier-Lavigne, 1994; Pourquie et al., 1996). Thus, although several distinct signals and muscle cell populations exist, what signals induce each cell population in vivo is unclear.

-64-

In the zebrafish, the somite gives rise mainly to muscle, which is probably the primary fate of paraxial mesoderm during early chordate evolution (Holland et al., 1995). Even in this simple system, however, three muscle cell populations can be resolved. Adaxial cells form next to the notochord, eventually giving rise to slow muscle (Devoto et al., 1996; van Raamsdonk et al., 1978), and are the first cells in the embryo to express the muscle transcription factors myoD and mef2D, A and C (Ticho et al., 1996; Weinberg et al., 1996). A specialized subpopulation of adaxial cells, the muscle pioneers, form at the dorsoventral midline of each somite (Felsenfeld et al., 1991), express engrailed proteins (Hatta et al., 1991), and appear to be induced by two sequential signals from outside the somite (Currie and Ingham, 1996). The majority of the somite forms the third muscle cell population that both expresses myoD and differentiates later (Devoto et al., 1996; Weinberg et al., 1996).

#### Methods

5

10

20

25

30

# 15 Zebrafish lines and maintenance

Wild-type and heterozygote mutant breeding fish were maintained at 28.5 C on a 14-hour/10-hour light cycle. We obtained floating head" (flh) from the University of Newcastle upon Tyne, notail<sup>b160</sup> (ntl) from the University of Oregon, and boxozok<sup>i2</sup> (boz) was isolated in the Ingham laboratory (P.D.C., T. Schilling, G. Bergemann and P.W.I., unpublished data).  $boz^{i2}$  fish exhibit a variable phenotype with defects ranging from reduced notochords to a severe lack of axial mesoderm at all rostro-caudal levels. Of 142 progeny of a hetrozygous cross examined at F<sub>2</sub>-F<sub>4</sub>, 20 (13%) showed complete absence of eyes and notochord. A further 25 (17%) showed a partial phenotype with variable eyes and the anterior half of the notochord missing. These, and a number of other aspects of the phenotype, are strongly reminiscent of bozozok mutant fish (Solnica-Krezel et al., 1996). Complementation analysis by a cross of heterozygous boz $^{i2}$  with  $boz^{m168}$  has shown reduced eyes and notochord in three out of 30 progeny. We therefore tentatively conclude that these genes are allelic. However, due to the incomplete penetrance of boz, definitive demonstration of allelism awaits the mapping of the mutation. Embryos were collected by natural spawning and staged by anatomical markers according to Westerfield (1995). Prim-5 embryos are referred to as 24 hour.

# RNA injection

RNA injections were performed as described (Currie and Ingham, 1996).

# Immunohistochemistry

5

10

15

20

25

The Slow and fast MyHC antigens are destroyed by aldehyde fixatives, so embryos were fixed by incubating for 5 minutes each in graded methanols, rehydrated in 0.1% Tween-20, serially cryosectioned and stained. However, preservation of younger embryos was better after staining in whole-mount, followed by post-fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde for 4 hours at 4 C prior to cryosectioning. Primary monoclonal antibody supernatants of A4.1025 (Dan-Goor et al., 1990) and BA-D5 (Schiaffino et al., 1989) were diluted 1:10. EB165 monoclonal ascites was used at 1:5000 (Gardahaut et al., 1992). First antibodies were detected with biotin-conjugated horse-derived antimouse IgG (Vector), Vectastain ABC Elite Peroxidase kit (Vector) and visualized using 0.5 mg/ml diaminobenzidine with (black stains) or without (brown stains) 0.03% CoCl<sub>2</sub> enhancement. Cryosections for dual immunofluorescence had IgG first antibodies detected with Cappell goat anti-mouse IgG (y-specific) Texas red. After a mouse IgG block, biotinylated BA-D5, prepared using Pierce NHS-Biotin reagent, was detected with Dako streptavidin-FITC. Sections were mounted in 150 mg/ml polyvinyl alcohol 30% glycerol PBS with DABCO antifade, and photographed by confocal microscopy.

#### Western blots

Embryos were dechorinated, deyolked and homogenized manually on ice for 10 minutes in 63 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8. 10% glycerol, 5% B-mercaptoethanol, 3.5% SDS, 0.2 mM PMSF, 0.5 M aprotinin, 0.5 M leupeptin. Samples were microfuged for 5 minutes at 4 C, 0.01% bromophenol blue added to the supernatant, the equivalent of 10 embryos run on each lane of a 7.5% acrylamide denaturing gel at 200mV for 30 mins and electroblotted onto nitrocellulose (Amersham). Purified bovine cardiac myosin was a kind gift of Dr. John Sleep. Nitrocellulose strips were blocked in 5% milk powder PBS/Az overnight, washed, and incubated with A4.0125 (1:10), BA-D5 (1:10), F1.652 (1:10) (Webster, et al. 1988)) or EB 165 (1:250) for 2h at RT. After washing, primary antibody was detected with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated sheep anti-mouse IgGF(ab)2 and an ECL kit (Amersham).

30

### Results

## Early zebrafish embryos have distinct fast and slow muscle cell populations

To examine the patterning of muscle in zebrafish somites we screened a series of anti-MyHC monoclonal antibodies for reactivity with 1-2 day zebrafish muscle tissue.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

PCT/US98/17922

Two antibodies detected all differentiated skeletal and heart muscle, whereas three antibodies detected specific subpopulations of cells within the somites of 24 hour (prim-5) embryos. BA-D5, an antibody that specifically detects slow MyHC in muscle fibers of all ages of mammals and chicks examined (Schiaffino et al. (1989) and our unpublished observations), detects a single layer of cells in the superficial region of 24 hour zebrafish somites at all antero-posterior positions within the body axis. In contrast, EB 165, an antibody that detects fast fibers in embryonic and adult chicken muscle (Gardahaut et al., 1992), detects an adjacent non-overlapping population of medial somitic muscle fibers in the one day zebrafish embryo. A third monoclonal antibody, A4.1025, which reacts with a conserved epitope near the ATP-binding site of all striated muscle MyHC isoforms examined in a wide variety of species (Dan-Goor et al., 1990), detects both the BA-D5+ and EB165+ populations of cells. All three antibodies reacted with muscle fibers in a striated pattern typical of sarcomeric myosin and Western analysis of 24 hour zebrafish extracts separated by SDS PAGE demonstrated that all three antibodies detect protein bands at or just under M<sub>r</sub> 200 000, the size of MyHC osoforms. Thus, these anti-MyHC antibodies distinguish slow and fast differentiated muscle cells in the zebrafish embryo.

### Slow muscle differentiates before fast in zebrafish embryos

We determined the timing and location of slow and fast muscle differentiation throughout zebrafish somite development. New somites separate from the presomitic paraxial mesoderm in an anterior to posterior order about every half hour between 10.5 and 26 hours of development at 28 C (Westerfield, 1995. We observed that MyHC+ adaxial cells appeared on each side of the notochord in an anterior to posterior order in each somite as it formed. Most, if not all, adaxial MyHC+ cells also express slow MyHC. Fast MyHC was undetectable in 15 somite embryos. Thus, the first population of muscle cells to differentiate in the zebrafish somite are the adaxial cells, and these cells express slow, but not fast, characteristics from their inception.

A recent study by Devoto et al. (1996) has elegantly shown that the differentiated adaxial cells of somites 16-20 in the gut extension region of zebrafish embryos migrate laterally through the somite 3-4 hours post-somitogenesis. Consistent with the results of Devoto et al., we find that prior to the 20 somite stage adaxial slow MyHC+ cells in all somites remain medial, but that the adaxial slow MyHC+ cells of older somites appear to spread dorsally around the sides of the neural tube and ventrally past the hypochord to form a single layer of medial cells. At about the 20 somite stage the adaxial slow MyHC+ cells of the most anterior somites appear to migrate laterally, through the

-67-

undifferentiated somitic mesoderm. Although it is possible that this apparent migration represents a wave of fiber type conversion, we think this unlikely from the earlier findings of Devoto et al. (1996) that early adaxial cells migrate and form slow muscle. The wave of migration sweeps rapidly along the embryo from anterior to posterior so that by the 21 somite stage slow muscle cells of the anterior somites are located at the lateral edge of the somite under the epidermis, whereas slow muscle cells of mid-body somites are found in the center of the somite and the most posterior slow muscle cells are still in the adaxial position. During the lateral migration of slow muscle cells the differentiation of fast muscle cells commences. No differentiated fast muscle was observed lateral to migrating slow muscle cells. However, strikingly, fast muscle cells are detected medial to the slow muscle cells immediately after the migratory period in each somite. By the 26 somite stage, all slow muscle cells in somites 1-21 have migrated laterally. At this stage fast muscle fills the medial bulk of the somite. Thus, the differentiation of a distinct class of fast muscle cells rapidly succeeds the migration of the slow muscle cells past undifferentiated somitic cells.

#### Notochord defects correlate with lack of slow muscle differentiation

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

The formation of slow muscle next to notochord suggests that a notochord derived signal induce slow muscle cells. To test this hypothesis we examined two mutant zebrafish strains that are defective in distinct stages of notochord development. Severely affected bozozok (bozi2) fish do not have visible notochord, lack the notochord and floorplate marker SHH, and completely lack differentiated slow muscle. At 24 hours of development, when in wild type embryos adaxial cells have differentiated, migrated and express slow MyHC in all somites, no slow MyHC is detected in trunk or tail regions of boz embryos that lack notochord (6 of 6 embryos sectioned), although unaffected sibling embryos appear wild type (data not shown). Mutation of the boz gene does not prevent muscle differentiation per se because a single fused somite of differentiated muscle is present beneath the neural tube, and this expresses fast MyHC. Normal boz function is required for formation of slow muscle, rather than maintenance, as both severely and more mildly affected embryos from a boz heterozygote cross failed to express detectable slow MyHC at the 15 somite stage, whereas morphologically normal siblings showed normal slow MyHC expression (data not shown). Thus, the absence of notochord in the boz mutant is accompanied by the specific loss of slow muscle.

Although boz function is required for notochord formation, it is possible that the wild type gene might also be required in paraxial mesoderm to permit differentiation of

slow muscle cells. We therefore examined no tail (ntl) mutant embryos in which midline mesodermal cells are present but fail to differentiate into mature notochord cells. Previous studies have shown that ntl embryos also lack muscle pioneer cells, a subpopulation of the adaxial cells (Halpern et al., 1993). ntl is the zebrafish homologue of the Brachyury transcription factor and is expressed in notochord but not in adaxial cells at the time of their differentiation and hence muscle defects are unlikely to be due to a cell-autonomous action of ntl in paraxial mesoderm (Schulte-Merker et al., 1994). In ntl b160 embryos, notochord precursors are present in anterior regions but absent posteriorly in the region beyond the yolk tube which is severely truncated (Halpern et al., 1993; Odenthal et al., 1996). We examined ntlb160 fish for slow MyHC expression anticipating that the loss of notochordal maturation might prevent slow muscle formation. Despite the absence of muscle pioneer cells at the dorsoventral midline, slow and fast muscle in anterior regions of ntl embryos appeared normal. Thus, mature notochord is not required for slow muscle differentiation. However, more posterior regions of ntl embryos, in which axial mesoderm defects are more severe (Halpern et al., 1993), showed reduced slow muscle formation and aberrant positioning. In rare embryos (1/16 serially sectioned) a complete absence of slow muscle was observed in the most posterior somite at 24 hours of development, even though extensive differentiated fast muscle was present. The remaining ntl embryos (15/16) showed regional slow deficits. Thus, ntl mutant fish demonstrate that although mature notochord is not necessary for slow muscle formation, severe defects in notochord establishment in the tail correlate with loss of slow muscle differentiation.

#### Sonic hedgehog induces ectopic slow muscle differentiation

10

15

20

Examination of the muscle phenotype of boz and ntl mutant embryos suggested that notochord-derived signals may determine the slow muscle fate, reminiscent of the induction of floorplate and motoneurons by notochord-derived SHH protein (Ericson et al., 1996) and of muscle pioneer cells by notochord-derived hedgehogs (Currie and Ingham, 1996). Consistent with this shh mRNA is absent from those regions of both boz and ntl embryos that show defects in slow muscle formation. To test the possibility that SHH might be a notochord-derived inducer of the slow muscle fate, we injected shh mRNA into two or four cell zebrafish embryos to create animals chimeric for shh over-expressing cells. Such injections lead to an easily detectable reduced retina phenotype (Krauss et al., 1993). In animals affected for retinal development, we observed an induction of slow MyHC expression across the entire width of the somite in each of twelve serially-sectioned 24 h embryos. Strikingly, this expansion occurs at the expense

-69-

of differentiated fast muscle. In those animals in which mosaic segregation of *shh* mRNA causes partial slow muscle induction, residual fast muscle is observed in regions not expressing slow MyHC (data not shown). When the same experiment was repeated using an equivalent amount of *echidna hedgehog* (*ehh*) mRNA no defect was detected in any part of ten embryos serially sectioned (data not shown). Thus, SHH is a notochord-

derived signal capable of inducing slow muscle at the expense of fast.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

The wholesale conversion of large areas of somite to slow muscle by SHH has two possible explanations. SHH could induce somitic cells to differentiate as slow muscle prematurely. Alternatively, SHH might not affect the decision of when to differentiate, but simply determine what type of muscle is formed. To address this issue we examined the effect of ectopic SHH on earlier stage zebrafish embryos. In 15 somite zebrafish embryos, SHH induces a wide region of ectopic lateral differentiated muscle within the somite (46/53 unselected injected embryos). Ectopic slow muscle differentiation occurred without premature induction of fast muscle tissue. premature differentiation of lateral muscle tissue suggested that SHH might induce presomitic mesoderm to differentiate early. However, premature slow muscle differentiation before the normal time of adaxial cell differentiation was not observed in either presomitic mesoderm of any of 36 embryos examined at the 15 somite stage or in any region of 22 embryos at tailbud stage (data not shown). Therefore, the earliest time somitic cells are competent to become slow muscle in response to SHH is when adaxial cells normally differentiate, but at this stage cells in all regions of the somite become competent.

The ability of SHH to induce slow muscle is consistent with the lack of slow muscle in regions of zebrafish mutants that lack midline shh expression. This correlation strongly suggests that the reason for the lack of slow muscle in the  $boz^{i2}$  and the tail of  $ntl^{b160}$  mutants is lack of notochord-derived SHH (Concordet et al., 1996). However, the boz gene has not been cloned, so its expression is unknown, and the ntl gene is expressed transiently in presomitic mesoderm, as well as in notochord (Odenthal et al., 1996). This raises the possibility that the lack of slow muscle in these mutants reflects a need for a cell autonomous action of the respective genes in paraxial mesoderm. To address this issue, we over-expressed shh in embryos from  $boz^{i2}$  mutant crosses and examined the resultant animals for slow MyHC expression. Five out of six severely affected boz mutants injected with shh mRNA showed induction of slow MyHC, and suppression of fast MyHC. Thus, the  $boz^{i2}$  mutation does not affect the ability of somitic tissue to respond to SHH and form slow muscle. Moreover, even in the complete absence of notochord SHH is sufficient for the formation of slow muscle.

### A limited source of SHH is sufficient to rescue slow muscle

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

One limitation of over-expression of SHH by mRNA injection is that ectopic SHH is expressed in regions of the animal never normally exposed to SHH and at above normal physiological levels. This might perturb signals necessary for muscle development from other embryonic tissues. To examine slow muscle differentiation in response to localized lower levels of shh expression we took advantage of the floating head (flh) mutation. Animals homozygous for flh are defective in notochord (Halpern et al., 1995; Talbot et al., 1995) and, like ntl embryos, lack notochords and muscle pioneers. However, unlike ntl, flh exhibit transdifferentiation of notochord tissue into muscle (Halpern et al., 1995). We examined flh embryos for muscle differentiation and found that it occurs in an altered location. Cells in the embryonic midline, not those in the adaxial position are the first to differentiate in flh embryos. This differentiation is immediately beneath the presumptive floorplate that expresses SHH sporadically. Despite the unusual location of these muscle cells, they express slow but not fast MyHC. spread dorsally around the neural tube and ventrally in the midline and appear able to undergo lateral migration to take up a normal position beneath the ectoderm by 24 hours of development. Thus, in flh embryos, apparently normal slow muscle cells differentiate beneath the residual floorplate: the sole remaining location where somitic mesoderm abuts shh-expressing tissue.

The discontinuous location of shh-expressing cells in the floorplate of flh mutants allows an examination of the relationship between the location of SHH and slow muscle differentiation. At the posterior limit of MyHC-containing cells in flh we found no correlation between the location of remaining floorplate shh expression and medial slow myoblast differentiation: muscle differentiates both immediately beneath and between islands of shh-expression. This data suggested that terminal differentiation of slow muscle is not directly induced by SHH. Further evidence that muscle differentiation per se is not induced by SHH came from examining the up-regulation of patched I (ptcl) mRNA in flh mutant embryos. Ptcl. a zebrafish homologue of Drosophilia patched. Is a SHH receptor (Stone, et al. 1996), and is up-regulated adjacent to residual shh expression in flh embryos both at somitic and presomitic antero-posterior positions (Concordet et al., 1996). Therefore, mesodermal cells are first exposed to SHH long before muscle differentiation commences. Moreover, event the most recently differentiated muscle cells in flh embryos frequently do not express high levels of ptcl mRNA, despite adjacent mesoderm expressing ptcl abundantly. Thus, although SHH induces ptcl locally along the entire length of the flh embryo, there is a delay after SHH exposure before the appearance

of differentiated slow muscle cells. In addition, by the time slow muscle differentiates, any spatial correlation between *shh*-expression and myogenic cells has been lost. Taken together, these data suggest that SHH may initiate slow myoblast formation, but that continued exposure is not required to trigger the terminal differentiation of slow muscle fibers.

#### Discussion

5

10

15

20

25

30

## Sonic hedgehog and slow muscle induction

Several lines of evidence show that adaxial slow muscle formation in zebrafish embryos is controlled by SHH. First, slow muscle differentiation occurs next to the notochord, which expresses shh. This observation confirms and extends the results of Devoto et al. (1996), who observed that adaxial cells give rise to slow muscle markers after they migrate laterally through the somite. Our data show that adaxial cells are already determined to form slow muscle as soon as they differentiate into skeletal muscle myosin-expressing myocytes. Second, a lack of slow muscle correlates with a lack of shh expression in mutuant fish. Third, ectopic expression of shh can induce conversion of most, if not all, somitic cells to slow muscle, at the expense of fast muscle. Fourth, even in the absence of notochord, injection of shh mRNA can rescue formation of slow muscle. Fifth, in the absence of the normal SHH signal from notochord, a localized source of SHH correlates with induction of ectopic slow muscle cells, which then migrate in a similar fashion to slow muscle cells in wild type embryos. These data are supported by the wild type expression of a SHH receptor, ptcl. That is upregulated in adaxial cells within presomitic mesoderm indicating that these cells are responding to hedgehog signaling (Concordet et al., 1996). Taken together, these findings made a strong case for notochord-derived SHH being the normal inducer of the differentiated slow adaxial muscle cell fate in the zebrafish.

## Sonic hedgehog induces adaxial slow myoblasts

How might SHH induce the slow muscle fate in zebrafish? Muscle is formed in two steps: mesodermal commitment to the proliferative myoblast, followed by terminal differentiation into the post-mitotic muscle fiber. Several lines of evidence suggest that SHH is responsible for induction of slow muscle precursor cells, rather than the terminal differentiation of slow muscle *per se*.

First the muscle-specific transcription factor myoD is initially detectable in adaxial precursors located adjacent to shh-expressing cells within the embryonic shield several hours before their terminal differentiation at around the time of somitogenesis (Weinberg et al. 1996). This expression of myaD prior to terminal differentiation is also detected in adaxial cells at later stages when posterior somites arise from the tail bud. Second, zebrafish mutants like boz and ntl that lack slow muscle, also lack the early adaxial myoD expression, and this correlates with a lack of axial SHH (Concordet et al., 1996; Odenthal et al., 1996; Weinberg, et al. 1996; Schier et al., 1997). Third, SHH signaling can induce premature myoD in lateral presomitic cells (Concordet et al., 1996; Hammerschmidt et al., 1996; Weinberg et al., 1996). We show that these ectopic myoDexpressing cells in lateral somite have other features, such as the direction and timing of their differentiation and sensitivity to additional hedgehog signals (Currie and Ingham, 1996), suggesting that the terminal differentiation of these cells into slow muscle is prefigured at the myoblast level. Fourth, our examination of the flh mutuant suggests that adaxial myoblasts differentiate into slow muscle fibers independent of their proximity to residual shh-expressing floor plate cells, and independent of their exposure to SHH during the period of terminal differentiation, as assayed by ptcl expression (Concordet et al., 1996; Marigo and Tabin, 1996). Thus, at early stages MyoD may mark cells that, while not yet differentiated, have become committed to a slow myoblast lineage.

10

15

20

25

30

35

Previous data has suggested that the combined action of notochord-derived Sonic and Echidna hedgehogs induces zebrafish muscle pioneer subset of the adaxial slow muscle cells \*Currie and Ingham, 1996). The data in the present paper demonstrate that EHH is not required for production of the non-pioneer adaxial slow muscle cells. EHH is not expressed in notochord of  $ntl^{b160'}$  (Currie and Ingham, 1996), yet non-pioneer slow muscle cells form and migrate normally in the anterior of  $ntl^{b160}$  embryos where SHH alone is expressed. Similarly, in *flh* mutants, which lack notochord and *ehh* expression (Currie and Ingham, 1996), apparently normal non-pioneer adaxial cells are formed ectopically close to residual floorplate SHH. Moreover, EHH does not appear able to substitute for SHH in the induction of non-pioneer adaxial cells as injection of *ehh* mRNA into wild type embryos did not induce ectopic slow MyHC. These data support the hypothesis that in vivo SHH and EHH serve distinct roles.

The finding that SHH induces slow myoblasts suggests a new view of the steps of muscle differentiation that contrasts with the traditional model in which somitic cells first become myoblasts and only subsequently specialize into one particular myoblast subclass. We that the decision whether to form one type of muscle or another is made concurrently with myoblast commitment to the muscle lineage. This scheme concurs

10

15

20

25

30

35

-73-

PCT/US98/17922

with conclusions from analysis of the you- type zebrafish mutants (van Eeden et al., 1996). Such a veiw also fits well with studies in Drosophila demonstrating that distinct extracellular signals serve to commit each founder myoblast to a particular muscle type (Baylies et al., 1995). However, it is possible that presomitic cells could be committed to myogenesis prior to myoD expression. Although MyoD is the first MRF to be expressed in birds, Myf-5 is the earliest MRF to appear at high levels in mammalian somites (Ott et al., 1991), and Pax-3 can induce myogenesis (Tajbakhsh et al., 1997; Maroto et al., 1997). Furthermore, whether all myoblasts are committed to form particular types of muscle from their inception is unclear. Whatever the case, our data shows that SHH induces adaxial myoblasts that adopt a slow muscle fate.

## Zebrafish fast muscle formation

SHH is not necessary for fast muscle formation. Boz fish that lack SHH produce abundant fast muscle throughout the somite. Moreover, the normal myoD-expressing myoblasts stripes across the posterior border of the somite form at the normal time just prior to somitogenesis in embryos that lack shh expression (Odenthal et al., 1996). Thus, in zebrafish, MyoD may mark commitment to a myoblast fate irrespective of the type of myoblast formed. We find that cells that are initially lateral within the somite differentiate into fast muscle: they may be committed to formation of fast muscle from the inception of myoD expression.

## Timing of myoblast differentiation

We show that extopic SHH can induce premature muscle differentiation in the lateral somite. However, premature differentiation was slow, rather than fast, and was only observed at the normal time of slow adaxial cell differentiation. Therefore, no somite cells are competent to differentiate in response to SHH until around the time of somitogenesis, even though myoD is expressed earlier. This may explain why slow muscle does not appear earlier in development even though shh is expressed in the developing notochord from gastrulation onwards (Krauss et al., 1993), and is presumably secreted because ptcl, a marker of SHH exposure, is highly expressed in adjacent presomitic cells (Concordet et al, 1996). Two alternative models could explain the delay between MyoD and slow myosin expression. In one model the delay is due to an intrinsically timed maturation of the somitic cells. Although cell division is not extensive in zebrafish somites (Kimmel and Warga, 1987), SHH might induce myoblasts committed to division followed by differentiation as it can be a somitic mitogen (Fan et

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

al., 1995). Mammalian myoblasts show such behavior in vitro (Quinn et al., 1985), which is reminiscent of the induction of division followed by terminal differentiation in Drosophila lamina ganglia neurons in response to retinal neuron-derived hedgehog (Huang and Kunes, 1996). Alternatively, in the second model, an extracellular signal(s) may control terminal differentiation. In amniotes, other signals can cooperate with SHH to regulate myogenesis (Munsterberg et al., 1995; Pourquie et al., 1996; Stern et al., 1995), and a variety of growth factors repress myoblast differentiation in culture. Ventral axial structures are unlikely sources of such signals as SHH is sufficient to induce slow MyHC in boz embryos that fail to form notochord or floor plate. Regardless of the mechanism by which the timing of terminal adaxial slow muscle differentiation is controlled, our data show that similar mechanisms can operate in the lateral somite to control ectopic slow muscle differentiation in response to SHH.

-74-

PCT/US98/17922

# Evolutionary conservation of muscle patterning

We found that in fish embryos the first skeletal muscle fibers to form are slow from the time of their inception. Later, a second wave of fibers, which ultimately constitute the majority of all fibers, differentiate as fast muscle. In amniote limbs muscle fibers also form in two waves, an early primary population that express slow (and embryonic) myosin and later secondary cells that, forming in close association with primary fibers, express fast (and embryonic) myosin from their inception (Kelly and Rubinstein, 1980: Vivarelli et al., 1988: Cho et al., 1994). We suspect that zebrafish embryos may also express and embryonic myosin in both slow and fast fibers as the immunoreaction with the our all-myosin antibody was stronger than with the specific slow and fast antibodies. Moreover, both adaxial and non-adaxial cells react from their inception with an antibody that detects embryonic myosin (Devoto et al., 1996). These analogies suggest that adaxial and non-adaxial somitic muscle cells in the zebrafish may be evolutionary homologues of amniote primary and secondary muscle fiber generations. Amniote secondary fibers form overlying the neuromuscular junctions of primary fibers and it has been suggested that signals from the forming neuromuscular junction region may be required to initiate secondary fiber formation (Duxson et al., 1989). This is not the case in the zebrafish as absence of differentiated slow primary fibers does not prevent differentiation of fast muscle despite the striking correlation between the lateral migration of slow fibers and the differentiation of fast fibers. The converse relationship, that fast fiber differentiation might cause slow fiber migration, remains a possibility. Nevertheless, the close similarities between fish and amniote fiber generation suggest that the common ancestor had two steps of muscle patterning: early fibers being slow and

-75-

PCT/US98/17922

later fast. There are further analogies between amniote and fish myogenesis. Amniote primary fibers are of several distinct fiber types that prefigure later muscle characteristics (Crow and Stockdale, 1986), even though all express some form of slow MyHC (Kelly and Rubinstein, 1980; Vivarelli et al., 1988; Page et al., 1992; Hughes et al., 1993). Slow adaxial cells in the zebrafish are also composed of two sub-populations, the muscle pioneer cells which express engrailed, and the non-pioneer adaxials. Engrailed proteins also mark a sub-population of muscle cells in the jaw muscle of the zebrafish (Hatta et al., 1990). The data reported i the present paper, together with the previous findings that a second notochord-derived signal (Halpern et al., 1993), provided by EHH (Currie and Ingham, 1996), is responsible for regulating the formation of muscle pioneer cells, suggest that hedgehog signalling molecules may regulate the diversity of muscle fiber types formed in the early fish embryo. Banded hedgehog is also expressed in particular regions of the Xenopus somite (Ekker et al., 1995). Whether similar signals control muscle patterning in amniotes remains to be determined.

15

20

25

30

35

10

5

## Hedgehogs and vertebrate myogenesis

Secretion of SHH from notochord has been shown to induce floorplate markers in anterior (although not posterior) zebrafish CNS and both floorplate and motoneurons in amniote neural tube (Echelard et al., 1993; Krauss et al., 1993; Roelink et al., 1994; Ericson et al., 1996). The role of SHH in somite patterning has been less clear. In amniotes, in which much of th esomite becomes sclerotome, either octopic notochord or shh-expressing cells can induce extra sclerotome at the expense of dermomyotome markers (Fan and Tessier-Lavigne, 1994). Conversely, shh-1- mice have deficits in sclerotomal derivatives (Chiang et al., 1996). On the other hand, in both chick and zebrafish, over-expression of shh induces ectopic myoD expression, suggesting a myogenic action (Johnson et al., 1994; Concordet et al., 1996; Weinbert et al., 1996). Moreover, shh-1- show defects in medial muscle formation (Chiang et al., 1996) and notochord can induce avian myogenesis (Pownall et al., 1996). So SHH May regulate formation of both ventral and more dorsal somitic tissues. Action of SHH at distinct concentrations or times (Ericson et al., 1996), or in collaboration with other factors (Munsterberg et al., 1995; Stern et al., 1995; Pourquie et al., 1996) could determine the outcome of SHH signaling.

Induction of distinct myoblast types and the subsequent control of their terminal differentiation account for the numerous signals capable of influencing somite myogenesis. If equivalents of SHH-dependent adaxial cells exist in amniotes, we would

-76-

expect that particular muscle markers are not uniformly distributed between distinct muscle cell types in the developing dermomyotome. In amniotes MRFs are the earliest known definitive myogenic markers. Expression of at least one MRF is obligatory for myogenesis in mice (Rudnicki et al., 1993). MRFs are expressed at low levels in presomitic mesoderm which has the capacity to form muscle in dissociated cell culture (George-Weinstein et al., 1994, Lin-Jones and Hauschka, 1996). However, two myoblast populations arise with distinct temporal and spatial patterns within the dermomyotome: the first initially expresses myf-5 in medial, and the second myoD in lateral regions (Cossu et al., 1996a; Tajbakhsh et al., 1997; Maroto et al., 1997). That shh-1- mice have reduced expression of medial myf-5 but no detectable change in lateral myoD expression (Chiang et al., 1996) suggests a role for SHH in induction of the medial population. Inhibitory signals, such as BMP4 (Fan and Tessier-Lavigne, 1994; Cossu et al., 1996b; Pourquie et al., 1996), may function in vivo to suppress overt myogenic phenotypes in the lateral compartment that generates limb and body wall muscle and may have no homologous process in most zebrafish somites. So generation of further diversity within the dorsomedial myogenic compartment could be a role of SHH in amniote myogenesis. Distinct populations of slow and fast fibers may be present in amniote myogenesis. Distinct populations of slow and fast fibers may be present in amniote myotome (Dhoot. 1994). In this paper, we have shown that in zebrafish SHH regulates formation of myotomal slow muscle. Much slow muscle in amniote limbs is located near developing bone that expresses indian hedgehog (Vortkamp et al., 1996; Bitgood and McMahone, 1995). Moreover, motoneurons, which strongly influence muscle development, can express shh (Bitgood and McMahone 1995; Stone et al., 1996), raising the possibility that diverse hedgehog proteins may regulate muscle fiber diversification.

5

10

15

20

# References Cited in Background and Examples:

- Baylies, M.K., A. Martinez-Arias and M. Bate. 1995. wingless is required for the formation of a subset of muscle founder cells during *Drosophila* embryogenesis. *Development*. 121: 3829-3837.
- Bitgood, M.J. and A.P. McMahon. 1995. Hedgehog-and Bmp-genes are coexpressed at many diverse sites of cell-cell interaction in the mouse embryo. Dev. Biol. 172: 126-138.
- Bober, E., B. Brand-Saberi, C. Ebensperger, J. Wilting, R. Balling, B.M. Paterson, H.-H. Arnold and B. Christ. 1994. Initial steps of myogenesis in somites are independent of influence from axial structures. *Development*. 120: 3073-3082.
- Buffinger, N. and F.E. Stockdale. 1995. Myogenic specification of somites is mediated by diffusible factors. Dev. Biol. 169: 96-108.
- Chiang, C., Y. Litingtung, E. Lee, K.E. Young, J.L. Corden, H. Westphal and P.A. Beachy. 1996. Cyclopia and defective axial patterning in mice lacking Sonic hedgehog gene function.

  Nature. 383: 407-413.
- Cho. M., S.M. Hughes, I. Karsch-Mizrachi, M. Travis, L.A. Leinwand and H.M. Blau. 1994.
  Fast myosin heavy chains expressed in secondary mammalian muscle fibres at the time of their inception. J.Cell Sci. 107: 2361-2371.
- Concordet, J.-P., K.E. Lewis, J.W. Moore, L.V. Goodrich, R.L. Johnson, M.P. Scott and P.W. Ingham. 1996. Spatial regulation of a zebrafish patched homologue reflects the roles of sonic hedgehog and protein kinase A in neural tube and somite patterning. Development. 122: 2835-2846.
- Cossu, G., R. Kelly, S. Tajbakhsh, S. Di Donna, E. Vivarelli and M. Buckingham. 1996a.

  Activation of different myogenic pathways: myf-5 is induced by the neural tube and MyoD by the dorsal ectoderm in mouse paraxial mesoderm. Development. 122: 429-437.
- Cossu, G., S. Tajbakhsh and M. Buckingham. 1996b. How is myogenesis initiated in the embryo? Trends Genet. 12: 218-222.

- Crow, M.T. and F.E. Stockdale. 1986. Myosin expression and specialization among the earliest muscle fibres of the developing avian limb. Dev. Biol. 113: 238-254.
- Currie. P.D. and P.W. Ingham. 1996. Induction of a specific muscle cell type by a hedgehog-like protein in zebrafish. *Nature*. 382: 452-455.
- Dan-Goor, M., L. Silberstein, M. Kessel and A. Muhlrad. 1990. Localization of epitopes and functional effects of two novel monoclonal antibodies against skeletal muscle myosin. J. Muscle Res. Cell Matil. 11: 216-226.
- Devoto, S.H., E. Melancon, J.S. Eisen and M. Westerfield. 1996. Identification of separate slow and fast muscle precursor cells in vivo. prior to somite formation. *Development*. 122: 3371-3380.
- Dhoot, G.K. 1994. Mammalian myoblasts become fast or slow myocytes within the somitic myotome. J.Muscle Res. Cell Motil. 15: 617-622.
- DiMario, J.X., S.E. Fernyak and F.E. Stockdale. 1993. Myoblasts transferred to the limbs of embryos are committed to specific fibre fates. *Nature*. 362: 165-167.
- Duxson, M.J., Y. Usson and A.J. Harris. 1989. The origin of secondary myotubes in mammalian skeletal muscles: ultrastructural studies. *Development*. 107: 743-750.
- Echelard, Y., D.J. Epstein, B. St.-Jaques, L. Shen, J. Mohler, J.A. McMahon and A.P. McMahon, 1993. Sonic hedgehog, a member of a family of putative signalling molecules implicated in regulation of CNS and limb polarity. Cell. 75: 1417-1430.
- Ekker, S.C., L.L. McGrew, C.J. Lai, J.J. Lee, D.P. Von Kessler, R.T. Moon and P.A. Beachy. 1995. Distinct expression and shared activities of members of the hedgehog gene family of Xenopus laevis. *Development*. 121: 2337-2347.
- Ericson, J., S. Morton, A. Kawakami, H. Roelink and T.M. Jessell. 1996. Two critical periods of Sonic Hedgehog signaling required for the specification of motor neuron identity. *Cell*. 87: 661-673.

- Fan, C.-M., J.A. Porter. C. Chiang, D.T. Chang, P.A. Beachy and M. Tessier-Lavigne. 1995.

  Long-range sclerotome induction by sonic hedgehog: direct role of the amino-terminal cleavage product and modulation by the cAMP signalling pathway. Cell. 81: 457-465.
- Fan, C.-M. and M. Tessier-Lavigne. 1994. Patterning of mammalian somites by surface ectoderm and notochord: evidence for sclerotome induction by a hedgehog homolog. Cell. 79: 1175-1186.
- Felsenfeld, A.L., M. Curry and C.B. Kimmel. 1991. The fub-1 mutation blocks initial myofibril formation in zebrafish muscle pioneer cells. Dev. Biol. 148: 23-30.
- Gamel, A.J., B. Brand-Saberi and B. Christ. 1995. Halves of epithelial somites and segmental plate show distinct muscle differentiation behavior in vitro compared to entire somites and segmental plate. Dev. Biol. 172: 625-639.
- Gardahaut, M.F., J. Fontaine-Perus, T. Rouaud, E. Bandman and R. Ferrand. 1992.

  Developmental modulation of myosin expression by thyroid hormone in avian skeletal muscle. Development. 115: 1121-1131.
- George-Weinstein, M., J.V. Gerhart, G.J. Foti and J.W. Lash. 1994. Maturation of myogenic and chondrogenic cells in the presomitic mesoderm of the chick embryo. Exp. Cell Res. 211: 263-274.
- Goulding, M., A. Lumsden and A.J. Paquette. 1994. Regulation of Pax-3 expression in the dermamyotome and its role in muscle development. Development. 120: 957-971.
- Halpern, M.E., R.K. Ho, C. Walker and C.B. Kimmel. 1993. Induction of muscle pioneers and floor plate is distinguished by the zebralish no tail mutation. *Cell.* 75: 99-111.
- Halpern, M.E., C. Thisse, R.K. Ho. B. Thisse, B. Riggleman, B. Trevarrow, E.S. Weinberg, J.H. Postlethwait and C.B. Kimmel. 1995. Cell-autonomous shift from axial to paraxial mesodermal development in zebrafish floating head mutants. *Development*. 121: 4257-4264.

- Hammerschmidt, M., M.J. Bitgood and A.P. McMahon. 1996. Protein kinase A is a common negative regulator of hedgehog signalling in the vertebrate embryo. Genes Dev. 10: 647-658.
- Hatta, K., R. Bremiller, M. Westerfield and C.B. Kimmel. 1991. Diversity of expression of engrailed-like antigens in zehrafish. Development. 112: 821-832.
- Hatta, K., T.F. Schilling, R.A. BreMiller and C.B. Kimmel. 1990. Specification of jaw muscle identity in zebrafish: correlation with engrailed-homeoprotein expression. Science. 250: 802-805.
- Holland, L.Z., D.A. Pace, M.L. Blink, M. Kene and N.D. Holland, 1995. Sequence and expression of amphioxus alkali myosin light chain (AmphiMLC-alk) throughout development: implications for vertebrate myogenesis. Dev. Biol. 171: 665-676.
- Huang, Z. and S. Kunes. 1996. Hedgehog, transmitted along retinal axons, triggers neurogensis in the developing visual centers of the Drosophila brain. Cell. 86: 411-422.
- Hughes, S.M., M. Cho, I. Karsch-Mizrachi, M. Travis, L. Silberstein, L.A. Leinwand and H.M. Blau. 1993. Three slow myosin heavy chains sequentially expressed in developing mammalian skeletal muscle. Dev. Biol. 158: 183-199.
- Hyghes, S.M. and H.M. Blau. 1992. Muscle fiber pattern is independent of cell lineage in postnatal rodent development. Cell. 68: 659-671.
- Johnson, R.L., E. Laufer, R.D. Riddle and C. Tabin. 1994. Ectopic expression of Sonic hedgehog alters dorsal-ventral patterning of somites. Cell. 79: 1165-1173.
- Kelly, A.M. and N.A. Rubinstein. 1980. Why are fetal muscles slow? Nature. 288: 266-269.
- Kenny-Mobbs, T. and P. Thorogood. 1987. Autonomy of differentiation in avian brachial somites and the influence of adjacent tissues. *Development*. 100: 449-462.
- Kimmel, C.B. and R.M. Warga. 1987. Cell lineages generating axial muscle in the zebrafish embryo. *Nature*. 327: 234-237.

- Krauss, S., J.-P. Concordet and P.W. Ingham. 1993. A functionally conserved homologue of the *Drosophila* segment polarity gene hh is expressed in tissues with polarizing activity in zebrafish embryos. Cell. 75: 1431-1444.
- Lassar, A.B. and A.E. Munsterberg. 1996. The role of positive and negative signals in somite patterning. Curr.Opinions Neurobial. 6: 57-63.
- Lin Jones. J. and S.D.-Hauschka. 1996. Myogenie-determination factor expression-in-the developing avian limb hud: an RT-PCR analysis. Dev. Biol. 174: 407-422.
- Marigo, V. and C.J. Tabin. 1996. Regulation of patched by sonic hedgehog in the developing neural tube. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 93: 9346-9351.
- Maroto, M., R. Reshef, A.E. Münsterberg, S. Koester, M. Goulding and A.B. Lassar, 1997. Ectopic *Pax-3* activates *MyoD* and *Myf-5* expression in embryonic mesoderm and neural tissue. *Cell* 89, 139-148.
- Marti. E., R. Takada, D.A. Bumcrot, H. Sasaki and A.P. McMahon. 1995. Distribution of Sonic hedgehog peptides in the developing chick and mouse embryo. *Development*. 121: 2537-2547.
- Miller, J.B. and F.E. Stockdale. 1986. Developmental origins of skeletal muscle fibres: clonal analysis of myogenic cell lineages based on expression of fast and slow myosin heavy chains. *Proc.Natl. Acad.Sci. USA*. 83: 3860-3864.
- Morin-Kensicki, E.M. and J.S. Eisen. 1997. Sclerotome development and peripheral nervous system segmentation in embryonic zebralish. Development. 124: 159-167.
- Munsterberg, A.E., J. Kitajewski, D.A. Bumerot, A.P. McMahon and A.B. Lassar. 1995.

  Combinatorial signalling by sonic hedgehog and Wnt family members induces myogenic bHLH gene expression in the somite. Genes & Dev. 9: 2911-2922.
- Odenthal, J., P. Haffter, E. Vogelsang, M. Brand, F.J.M. Van Eeden, M. Furutani-Seiki, M. Granato, M. Hammerschmidt, C.P. Heisenberg, Y.J. Jiang, D.A. Kane, R.N. Kelsh, M.C. Mullins, R.M. Warga, M.L. Allende, E.S. Weinberg and C. NussleinVolhard. 1996.

- Mutations affecting the formation of the notochord in the zebrafish. Danio rerio. Development. 123: 103-115.
- Ott, M.-O., E. Bober, G. Lyons, H. Arnold and M. Buckingham. 1991. Early expression of the myogenic regulatory gene. myf-5, in precursor cells of skeletal muscle in the mouse embryo. Development. 111: 1097-1107.
- Page, S., J.B. Miller, J.X. DiMario, E.J. Hager, A. Moser and F.E. Stockdale. 1992.

  Developmentally regulated expression of three slow isoforms of myosin heavy chain:

  Diversity among the first fibers to form in avian muscle. Dev. Biol. 154, 118-128.
- Pownall, M.E., K.E. Strunk and C.P. Emerson. Jr. 1996. Notochord signals control the transcriptional cascade of myogenic hHLH genes in somites of quail embryos. *Development* 122, 1475-1488.
- Pourquie, O., M. Coltey, M.-A. Teillet, C. Ordahl and N.M. Le Douarin. 1993. Control of dorsoventral patterning of somitic derivatives by notochord and floor plate. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA. 90: 5242-5246.
- Pourquie, O., C.-M. Fan, M. Coltey, E. Hirsinger, Y. Watanabe, C. Breant, P. Francis-West, P. Brickell, M. Tessier-Lavigne and N.M. Le Douarin. 1996. Lateral and axial signals involved in avian somite patterning: a role for BMP4. Cell. 84: 461-471.
- Quinn, L.S., H. Holtzer and M. Nameroff. 1985. Generation of chick skeletal muscle cells in groups of 16 from stem cells. *Nature*. 313: 692-694.
- Roelink, H., A. Augsburger, J. Heemskerk, V. Korzh, S. Norlin, A. Ruiz i Altaba, Y.. Tanabe, M. Placzek, T. Edlund, T.M. Jessell and J. Dodd. 1994. Floor plate and motor neuron induction by vhh-1, a vertebrate homologue of hedgehog expressed by the notochord. Cell. 76: 761-775.
- Rong, P.M., A.-M. Teillet, C. Ziller and N.M. Le Douarin. 1992. The neural tube/notochord complex is necessary for vertebral but not limb and body wall striated muscle differentiation.

  Development. 115: 657-672.

- Rudnicki, M.A., P.N.J. Schnegelsberg, R.H. Stead, T. Braun, H.-H. Arnold and R. Jaenisch. 1993. MyoD or Myf-5 is required for the formation of skeletal muscle. *Cell.* 75: 1351-1359.
- Schafer, D.A., J.B. Miller and F.E. Stockdale. 1987. Cell diversification within the myogenic lineage: in vitro generation of two types of myoblasts from a single myogenic progenitor cell.

  Cell\_48: 659-670.
- Schier, A.F., S.C.F. Neuhauss, K.A. Helde, W.S. Talbot and W. Driever. 1997. The one-eyed pinhead gene functions in mesoderm and endoderm formation in zebrafish and interacts with no tail. Development. 124: 327-342.
- Schiaffino, S., L. Gorza, S. Sartore, L. Saggin, S. Ausoni, M. Vianello, K. Gundersen and T. Lomo. 1989. Three myosin heavy chain isoforms in type 2 skeletal muscle fibres. *J.Muscle Res. Cell Motil.* 10: 197-205.
- Schulte-Merker, S., F.J.M. van Eeden, M.E. Halpern, C.B. Kimmel and C. Nusslein-Volhard.

  1994. no tail (ntl) is the zebrafish homologue of the mouse T (Brachyury) gene.

  Development, 120: 1(X)9-1015.
- Solnica-Krezel, L., D.L. Stemple, E. Mounteastle-Shah, Z. Rangini, S.C.F. Neuhauss, J. Malicki, A.F. Schier, D.Y.R. Stainier, F. Zwartkruis, S. Abdelilah and W. Driever. 1996. Mutations affecting cell fates and cellular rearrangements during gastrulation in zebrafish. Development, 123: 67-80.
- Stem, H.M., A.M.C. Brown and S.D. Hauschka. 1995. Myogenesis in paraxial mesoderm: Preferential induction by dorsal neural tube and by cells expressing Wnt-1. *Development*. 121: 3675-3686.
- Stern, H.M. and S.D. Hauschka. 1995. Neural tube and notochord promote in vitro myogenesis in single somite explants. Dev. Biol. 167: 87-103.
- Stone, D.M., M. Hyncs, M. Armanini, T.A. Swanson, Q. Gu, R.L. Johnson, M.P. Scott, D. Pennica, A. Goddard, H. Phillips, M. Noll, J.E. Hooper, F. De Sauvage and A. Rosenthal.

- 1996. The tumour-suppressor gene patched encodes a candidate receptor for Sonic hedgehog. Nature. 384: 129-134.
- Tajbakhsh, S., D. Rocancourt, G. Cossu and M. Buckingham. 1997. Redefining the genetic hierarchies controlling skeletal myogenesis: Pax-3 and Myf-5 act upstream of MyoD. Cell 89, 127-138.
- Talbot, W.B., S. Trevärrow, M.E. Halpern, A.E. Melby, G. Farr, T.J. Postlethwait, C.B. Kimmel and D. Kimmelman. 1995. A homeobox gene essential for zebrafish notochord development. Nature. 378: 150-157.
- Teillet, M.-A. and N.M. Le Douarin. 1983. Consequences of neural tube and notochord excision on the development of the peripheral nervous system in chick embryos. *Dev. Biol.* 98: 192-211.
- Ticho, B.S., D.Y.R. Stainier and M.C. Fishman. 1996. Three zebrafish MEF2 genes delineate somitic and cardiac muscle development in wild-type and mutant embryos. *Mech. Dev.* 59: 205-218.
- van Eeden. F.J.M., M. Granato. U. Schach. M. Brand, M. Furutani-Seiki, P. Haffter, M. Hammerschmidt, C.P. Heisenberg, Y.-J. Jiang, D.A. Kane, R.N. Kelsh, M.C. Mullins, J. Odenthal, R.M. Warga, M.L. Allende, E.S. Weinberg and C. Nusslein-Volhard. 1996.

  Mutations affecting somite formation and patterning in the zebrafish, Danio rerio.

  Development 123, 153-164.
- .van Raamsdonk, W., C.W. Pool and G. te Kronnie. 1978. Differentiation of muscle fibre types in the teleost Brachydanio rerio. Anal Embryol. 153: 137-155.
- Vivarelli, E., W.E. Brown, R.G. Whalen and G. Cossu. 1988. The expression of slow myosin during mammalian somitogenesis and limb bud differentiation. J. Cell Biol. 107: 2191-2197.
- Vortkamp, A., K. Lee. B. Lanske. G.V. Segre, H.M. Kronenberg and C.J. Tabin. 1996.

  Regulation of rate of cartilage differentiation by Indian Hedgehog and PTH-related protein.

  Science, 273: 613-622.

- Webster, C., L. Silberstein, A.P. Hays and H.M. Blau. 1988. Fast muscle fibers are preferentially affected in Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Cell. 52: 503-513.
- Weinberg, E.S., M.L. Allende, C.S. Kelly, A. Abdelhamid, T. Murakami, P. Andermann, O.G. Doerre, D.J. Grunwald and B. Riggleman. 1996. Developmental regulation of zebrafish MyoD in wild-type, no tail and spadetail embryos. *Development*. 122: 271-280.

All of the above-cited references and publications are hereby incorporated by reference.

# **EQUIVALENTS**

Those skilled in the art will recognize, or be able to ascertain using no more than routine experimentation, numerous equivalents to the specific polypeptides, nucleic acids, methods, assays and reagents described herein. Such equivalents are considered to be within the scope of this invention.

PCT/US98/17922

Claims

WO 99/10004

- 1. A method for regulating formation and/or maintenance of muscle tissue comprising contacting the muscle cells with a hedgehog polypeptide or a ptc therapeutic.
- 2. The method of claim 1, wherein the hedgehog polypeptide is modified with one or more lipophilic moieties.
- 3. The method of claim 2, wherein the hedgehog polypeptide is modified with one or more serol moieties.
- 4. The method of claim 2, wherein the sterol moiety is cholesterol.
- 5. The method of claim 2, wherein the hedgehog polypeptide is modified with one or more fatty acid moieties.
- 6. The method of claim 5, wherein each fatty acid moiety is independently selected from the group consisting of myristoyl, palmitoyl, stearoyl, and arachidoyl.
- 7. The method of claim 2, wherein the hedgehog polypeptide is modified with one or more aromatic hydrocarbons.
- 8. The method of claim 1, wherein the *ptc* therapeutic binds to *patched* and mimics *hedgehog*-mediated *patched* signal transduction.
- 9. The method of claim 8, wherein the ptc therapeutic is a small organic molecule.
- 10. The method of claim 8, wherein the binding of the ptc therapeutic to *patched* results in upregulation of patched and/or gli expression.
- 11. The method of claim 1, wherein the *ptc* therapeutic is a small organic molecule which interacts with neuronal cells to mimic *hedgehog*-mediated *patched* signal transduction.
- 12. The method of claim 1, wherein the *ptc* therapeutic mimics *hedgehog*-mediated *patched* signal transduction by altering the localization, protein-protein binding and/or enzymatic activity of an intracellular protein involved in a *patched* signal pathway.
- 13. The method of claim 1, wherein the *ptc* therapeutic alters the level of expression of a *hedgehog* protein, a patched protein or a protein involved in the intracellular signal transduction pathway of *patched*.
- 14. The method of claim 13, wherein the *ptc* therapeutic is an antisense construct which inhibits the expression of a protein which is involved in the signal

- transduction pathway of *patched* and the expression of which antagonizes *hedgehog*-mediated signals.
- 15. The method of claim 14, wherein the antisense construct is an oligonucleotide of about 20-30 nucleotides in length and having a GC content of at least 50 percent.
- 16. The method of claim 15, wherein the antisense oligonucleotide is selected from the group consisting of:

  5'-GTCCTGGCGCCGCCGCCGTCGCC;

# 5'-TTCCGATGACCGGCCTTTCGCGGTGA; and

#### 5'-GTGCACGGAAAGGTGCAGGCCACACT

- 17. The method of claims 13, wherein the *ptc* therapeutic is a small organic molecule which binds to *patched* and regulates *patched*-dependent gene expression.
- 18. The method of claim 12, wherein the *ptc* therapeutic is an inhibitor of protein kinase A.
- 19. The method of claim 18, wherein the PKA inhibitor is a 5-isoquinolinesulfonamide
- 20. The method of claim 19, wherein the PKA inhibitor is represented in the general formula:

wherein,

 $R_1$  and  $R_2$  each can independently represent hydrogen, and as valence and stability permit a lower alkyl, a lower alkenyl, a lower alkynyl, a carbonyl (such as a carboxyl, an ester, a formate, or a ketone), a thiocarbonyl (such as a thioester, a thioacetate, or a thioformate), an amino, an acylamino, an amido, a cyano, a nitro, an azido, a sulfate, a sulfonate, a sulfonamido,  $-(CH_2)_m-R_8$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-OH$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m-O-lower$  alkyl,  $-(CH_2)_m-O-lower$  alkenyl,  $-(CH_2)_n-O-(CH_2)_m-R_8$ , or

R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> taken together with N form a heterocycle (substituted or unsubstituted);

 $R_3$  is absent or represents one or more substitutions to the isoquinoline ring such as a lower alkyl, a lower alkenyl, a lower alkynyl, a carbonyl (such as a carboxyl, an ester, a formate, or a ketone), a thiocarbonyl (such as a thioester, a thioacetate, or a thioformate), an amino, an acylamino, an amido, a cyano, a nitro, an azido, a sulfate, a sulfonate, a sulfonamido,  $-(CH_2)_m - R_8$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m - OH$ ,  $-(CH_2)_m$ 

R<sub>8</sub> represents a substituted or unsubstituted aryl, aralkyl, cycloalkyl, cycloalkenyl, or heterocycle; and

n and m are independently for each occurrence zero or an integer in the range of 1 to 6.

- 21. The method of claim 18, wherein the PKA inhibitor is cyclic AMP analog.
- 22. The method of claim 18, wherein the PKA inhibitor is selected from the group consisting of N-[2-((p-bromocinnamyl)amino)ethyl]-5-isoquinolinesulfonamide, 1-(5-isoquinoline-sulfonyl)-2-methylpiperazine, KT5720, 8-bromo-cAMP, dibutyryl-cAMP and PKA Heat Stable Inhibitor isoform α.
- 23. The method of claim 1, wherein patient is being treated prophylactically.
- 24. A therapeutic preparation of a small molecule antagonist of *patched*, which *patched* antagonist is provided in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier and in an amount sufficient to regulate growth and/or maintenance of muscle cells.
- 25. A method for regulating the growth state of a muscle stem/progenitor cell comprising contacting the cell with a hedgehog polypeptide or a ptc therapeutic.
- 26. A method for treatment or prevention of disorders of, or surgical or cosmetic repair of, such muscle tissues, comprising administering to the patient a hedgehog polypeptide or a ptc therapeutic.
- 27. The method of claim 26, wherein the disorder is muscle atrophy.

- 28. The method of claim 27, wherein the disorder is skeletal muscle atrophy or cardiac muscle atrophy.
- 29. The method of claim 26, wherein the disorder is cachexia.
- 30. The method of claim 26, wherein the disorder is a muscular myopathy.
- 31. The method of claim 26, wherein the hedgehog polypeptide or ptc therapeutic administered inhibits growth of myoblastic-derived tissue, and disorder is includes hyperplastic or neoplastic growth of muscle tissue.
- 32. The method of claim 40, wherein the disorder is myoblastic sarcoma.

528

# SEQUENCE LISTING

(2)		SE( () ()	QUENCA) LI B) T' C) S'	CE CI ENGTI YPE: TRANI	SEQ HARAG H: 12 nucl DEDNI DGY:	CTER: 277 l leic ESS:	ISTIC pase acic both	CS: pai: d	rs				
	(ii)	MO	LECUI	LE T	YPE:	cDN	Ą						
	(ix)	-	A) NA	AME/I	KEY: ION:		1275						
	(xi)	SE	QUENC	CE DI	ESCR:	IPTIC	: : NC	SEQ :	ID NO	0:1:			
								AGA Arg					48
								CTG Leu 25					96
								AAG Lys					144
								AAG Lys					192
								TCC Ser					240
								TTT Phe					288
								TGC Cys 105					336
								CCC Pro					384
								CAC His					432
								ACG Thr					480

TAC GGA ATG CTG GCC CGC CTC GCC GTC GAG GCC GGC TTC GAC TGG GTC

Tyr	Gly	Met	Leu	Ala 165	Arg	Leu	Ala	Val	Glu 170	Ala	Gly	Phe	Asp	Trp 175	Val	
						CAC His										576
						GGA Gly										624
						ACC Thr 215										672
						GAC Asp										720
						CGG Arg										768
						CCC Pro										816
		-				CCC Pro										864
						CTC Leu 295										912
						GAG Glu										960
						CGG Arg										1008
						ATC Ile										1056
						CAC His										1104
						CTG Leu 375										1152
						ACC Thr										1200
						AGC Ser										1248

CCG Pro	CTG Leu	GGC Gly	ATG Met 420	GTG Val	GCA Ala	CCG Pro	GCC Ala	AGC Ser 425	TG							1277
(2)		(E	UENC L) LE L) TY	E CH NGTH PE:	ARAC : 11 nucl EDNE	TERI 90 b eic	STIC ase acid	S: pair	:s							
	(ii)	IOM			GY: (PE:											
	(ix)		A) NA	ME/F	(EY:		.191									
	(xi)	SEÇ	QUENC	E DE	ESCRI	PTIC	on: s	SEQ I	ED NO	0:2:						
ATG Met 1	GCT Ala	CTG Leu	CCG Pro	GCC Ala 5	AGT Ser	CTG Leu	TTG Leu	CCC Pro	CTG Leu 10	TGC Cys	TGC Cys	TTG Leu	GCA Ala	CTC Leu 15	TTG Leu	48
GCA Ala	CTA Leu	TCT Ser	GCC Ala 20	CAG Gln	AGC Ser	TGC Cys	GGG Gly	CCG Pro 25	GGC Gly	CGA Arg	GGA Gly	CCG Pro	GTT Val 30	GGC Gly	CGG Arg	96
CGG Arg	CGT Arg	TAT Tyr 35	GTG Val	CGC Arg	AAG Lys	CAA Gln	CTT Leu 40	GTG Val	CCT Pro	CTG Leu	CTA Leu	TAC Tyr 45	AAG Lys	CAG Gln	TTT Phe	144
GTG Val	CCC Pro 50	AGT Ser	ATG Met	CCC Pro	GAG Glu	CGG Arg 55	ACC Thr	CTG Leu	GGC Gly	GCG Ala	AGT Ser 60	GGG Gly	CCA Pro	GCG Ala	GAG Glu	192
GGG Gly 65	AGG Arg	GTA Val	ACA Thr	AGG Arg	GGG Gly 70	TCG Ser	GAG Glu	CGC Arg	TTC Phe	CGG Arg 75	GAC Asp	CTC Leu	GTA Val	CCC Pro	AAC Asn 80	240
TAC Tyr	AAC Asn	CCC Pro	GAC Asp	ATA Ile 85	ATC Ile	TTC Phe	AAG Lys	GAT Asp	GAG Glu 90	GAG Glu	AAC Asn	AGC Ser	GGC Gly	GCA Ala 95	GAC Asp	288
CGC Arg	CTG Leu	ATG Met	ACA Thr 100	GAG Glu	CGT Arg	TGC Cys	AAA Lys	GAG Glu 105	CGG Arg	GTG Val	AAC Asn	GCT Ala	CTA Leu 110	GCC Ala	ATC Ile	336
GCG Ala	GTG Val	ATG Met 115	AAC Asn	ATG Met	TGG Trp	CCC Pro	GGA Gly 120	GTA Val	CGC Arg	CTA Leu	CGT Arg	GTG Val 125	ACT Thr	GAA Glu	GGC Gly	384
TGG Trp	GAC Asp 130	GAG Glu	GAC Asp	GGC Gly	CAC His	CAC His 135	GCA Ala	CAG Gln	GAT Asp	TCA Ser	CTC Leu 140	CAC His	TAC Tyr	GAA Glu	GGC Gly	432

CGT GCC TTG GAC ATC ACC ACG TCT GAC CGT GAC CGT AAT AAG TAT GGT

480

Arg 145	Ala	Leu	Asp	Ile	Thr 150	Thr	Ser	Asp	Arg	Asp 155	Arg	Asn	Lys	Tyr	Gly 160	
											GAC Asp					528
											GCT Ala					576
											GCC Ala					624
											CAT His 220					672
											CCC Pro					720
											TCG Ser					768
											ACA Thr					816
											GGT Gly					864
											GTG Val 300					912
											GTG Val					960
											GGG Gly					1008
AAC Asn	GAC Asp	GTC Val	CTC Leu 340	GCC Ala	TCC Ser	TGC Cys	TAC Tyr	GCG Ala 345	GTT Val	CTA Leu	GAG Glu	AGT Ser	CAC His 350	CAG Gln	TGG Trp	1056
GCC Ala	CAC His	CGC Arg 355	GCC Ala	TTC Phe	GCC Ala	CCT Pro	TTG Leu 360	CGG Arg	CTG Leu	CTG Leu	CAC His	GCG Ala 365	CTC Leu	GGG Gly	GCT Ala	1104
CTG Leu	CTC Leu 370	CCT Pro	GGG Gly	GGT Gly	GCA Ala	GTC Val 375	CAG Gln	CCG Pro	ACT Thr	GGC Gly	ATG Met 380	CAT His	TGG Trp	TAC Tyr	TCT Ser	1152
			TAC Tyr								GGC Gly	TGA				1191

PCT/US98/17922 5

## (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:3:

# (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 1281 base pairs (B) TYPE: nucleic acid (C) STRANDENNESS: both

- (D) TOPOLOGY: linear

#### (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: cDNA

# (ix) FEATURE:

- (A) NAME/KEY: CDS
  (B) LOCATION: 1..1233

# (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:3:

						CGG Arg											48
						CCG Pro											96
						AGG Arg										1	44
						AAC Asn 55										1	.92
						ATC Ile										2	240
						CCC Pro										2	88
ACG Thr	GGT Gly	GCC Ala	GAC Asp 100	CGC Arg	CTC Leu	ATG Met	ACC Thr	CAG Gln 105	CGC Arg	TGC Cys	AAG Lys	GAC Asp	CGT Arg 110	CTG Leu	AAC Asn	3	36
						ATG Met										3	84
						GAA Glu 135										4	32
						GTG Val										4	80
AAT Asn	AAG Lys	TAT Tyr	GGA Gly	CTG Leu	CTG Leu	GCG Ala	CGC Arg	TTA Leu	GCA Ala	GTG Val	GAG Glu	GCC Ala	GGC Gly	TTC Phe	GAC Asp	5	28

165	170	17	5
GAG TCC AAG GCC Glu Ser Lys Ala			
GCT GCC AAG ACA Ala Ala Lys Thr 200			
GAG AAC GGG GAG Glu Asn Gly Glu 215			
GTG CTG GCC ATG Val Leu Ala Met 230			
ATT TTC CTG GAC Ile Phe Leu Asp 245			g Ala
GAG ACT CAG GAT Glu Thr Gln Asp			
CTC TTC ATT GCG Leu Phe Ile Ala 280			
ACA TTT GCC AGC Thr Phe Ala Ser 295			
GTA CCA GGC CTC Val Pro Gly Leu 310			
GCC CTT GGG TCC Ala Leu Gly Ser 325			s Gly
GAG GAT GTG GTG Glu Asp Val Val			
GCT CAG TTG GCC Ala Gln Leu Ala 360			
GGC AGC TGG ACC Gly Ser Trp Thr 375			
TAC CGC CTG GGG Tyr Arg Leu Gly 390			
GGC ATG TCT GGG Gly Met Ser Gly 405		TGAAGGGACT CTAA	ACCACTG 1253

CCCTCCTGGA ACTGCTGTGC GTGGATCC 1281 (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:4: (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 1313 base pairs (B) TYPE: nucleic acid (C) STRANDEDNESS: both (D) TOPOLOGY: linear (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: cDNA (ix) FEATURE: (A) NAME/KEY: CDS (B) LOCATION: 1..1314 (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:4: ATG CTG CTG CTG GCC AGA TGT TTT CTG GTG ATC CTT GCT TCC TCG 48 Met Leu Leu Leu Ala Arg Cys Phe Leu Val Ile Leu Ala Ser Ser CTG CTG GTG TGC CCC GGG CTG GCC TGT GGG CCC GGC AGG GGG TTT GGA 96 Leu Leu Val Cys Pro Gly Leu Ala Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg Gly Phe Gly AAG AGG CGG CAC CCC AAA AAG CTG ACC CCT TTA GCC TAC AAG CAG TTT Lys Arg Arg His Pro Lys Lys Leu Thr Pro Leu Ala Tyr Lys Gln Phe 40 ATT CCC AAC GTA GCC GAG AAG ACC CTA GGG GCC AGC GGC AGA TAT GAA 192 Ile Pro Asn Val Ala Glu Lys Thr Leu Gly Ala Ser Gly Arg Tyr Glu GGG AAG ATC ACA AGA AAC TCC GAA CGA TTT AAG GAA CTC ACC CCC AAT 240 Gly Lys Ile Thr Arg Asn Ser Glu Arg Phe Lys Glu Leu Thr Pro Asn 70 65 TAC AAC CCC GAC ATC ATA TTT AAG GAT GAG GAA AAC ACG GGA GCA GAC 288 Tyr Asn Pro Asp Ile Ile Phe Lys Asp Glu Glu Asn Thr Gly Ala Asp 85 90 CGG CTG ATG ACT CAG AGG TGC AAA GAC AAG TTA AAT GCC TTG GCC ATC 336 Arg Leu Met Thr Gln Arg Cys Lys Asp Lys Leu Asn Ala Leu Ala Ile TCT GTG ATG AAC CAG TGG CCT GGA GTG AGG CTG CGA GTG ACC GAG GGC 384 Ser Val Met Asn Gln Trp Pro Gly Val Arg Leu Arg Val Thr Glu Gly 120 TGG GAT GAG GAC GGC CAT CAT TCA GAG GAG TCT CTA CAC TAT GAG GGT 432 Trp Asp Glu Asp Gly His His Ser Glu Glu Ser Leu His Tyr Glu Gly 135 CGA GCA GTG GAC ATC ACC ACG TCC GAC CGG GAC CGC AGC AAG TAC GGC 480 Arg Ala Val Asp Ile Thr Thr Ser Asp Arg Asp Arg Ser Lys Tyr Gly 150 155 160

ATG CTG GCT CGC CTG GCT GTG GAA GCA GGT TTC GAC TGG GTC TAC TAT

528

Met	Leu	Ala	Arg	Leu 165	Ala	Val	Glu	Ala	Gly 170	Phe	Asp	Trp	Val	Tyr 175	Tyr	
GAA Glu	TCC Ser	AAA Lys	GCT Ala 180	CAC His	ATC Ile	CAC His	TGT Cys	TCT Ser 185	GTG Val	AAA Lys	GCA Ala	GAG Glu	AAC Asn 190	TCC Ser	GTG Val	576
GCG Ala	GCC Ala	AAA Lys 195	TCC Ser	GGC Gly	GGC Gly	TGT Cys	TTC Phe 200	CCG Pro	GGA Gly	TCC Ser	GCC Ala	ACC Thr 205	GTG Val	CAC His	CTG Leu	624
											CGT Arg 220					672
											TAC Tyr					720
											GTC Val					768
											ACC Thr					816
											ACG Thr					864
											CGC Arg 300					912
											GCC Ala					960
											GCG Ala					1008
											TCG Ser					1056
											GCG Ala					1104
											CGC Arg 380					1152
											ACG Thr					1200
											CAG Gln					1248

											CAT					1296
Ile	Glầ	Thr		Leu	Leu	Asp	Ser		Thr	Met	His	Pro		Gly	Met	
			420					425					430			
GCG	GTC	AAG	TCC	AGC	TG											1313
			Ser													
		435														
(2)	INF	ORMA'	rion	FOR	SEQ	10 1	NO: 5	:								
	/ i	SEC	MEN	CE CI	HARAG	TER	ISTI	cs:								
	, τ			ENGT					rs							
				YPE:												
		((	c) s'	TRANI	DEDNI	ESS:	botl	n.								
		( I	) T	OPOL	OGY:	line	ear									

#### (ix) FEATURE:

(ii) MOLECULE TYPE: cDNA

(A) NAME/KEY: CDS
(B) LOCATION: 1..1257

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:5:

		AGA Arg						48	
		CTG Leu						96	
		AAG Lys						144	
		AAG Lys						192	
		TCG Ser 70						240	
		TTT Phe						288	
		TGC Cys						336	
		CCA Pro						384	
		CAT His						432	

	130					135					140					
GCT Ala 145	GTT Val	GAT Asp	ATT Ile	ACC Thr	ACC Thr 150	TCT Ser	GAC Asp	CGA Arg	GAC Asp	AAG Lys 155	AGC Ser	AAA Lys	TAC Tyr	GGG Gly	ACA Thr 160	480
CTG Leu	TCT Ser	CGC Arg	CTA Leu	GCT Ala 165	GTG Val	GAG Glu	GCT Ala	GGA Gly	TTT Phe 170	GAC Asp	TGG Trp	GTC Val	TAT Tyr	TAC Tyr 175	GAG Glu	528
TCC Ser	AAA Lys	GCC Ala	CAC His 180	ATT Ile	CAT His	TGC Cys	TCT Ser	GTC Val 185	AAA Lys	GCA Ala	GAA Glu	AAT Asn	TCG Ser 190	GTT Val	GCT Ala	576
GCG Ala	AAA Lys	TCT Ser 195	GGG Gly	GGC Gly	TGT Cys	TTC Phe	CCA Pro 200	GGT Gly	TCG Ser	GCT Ala	CTG Leu	GTC Val 205	TCG Ser	CTC Leu	CAG Gln	624
GAC Asp	GGA Gly 210	GGA Gly	CAG Gln	AAG Lys	GCC Ala	GTG Val 215	AAG Lys	GAC Asp	CTG Leu	AAC Asn	CCC Pro 220	GGA Gly	GAC Asp	AAG Lys	GTG Val	672
CTG Leu 225	GCG Ala	GCA Ala	GAC Asp	AGC Ser	GCG Ala 230	GGA Gly	AAC Asn	CTG Leu	GTG Val	TTC Phe 235	AGC Ser	GAC Asp	TTC Phe	ATC Ile	ATG Met 240	720
TTC Phe	ACA Thr	GAC Asp	CGA Arg	GAC Asp 245	TCC Ser	ACG Thr	ACG Thr	CGA Arg	CGT Arg 250	GTG Val	TTT Phe	TAC Tyr	GTC Val	ATA Ile 255	GAA Glu	768
ACG Thr	CAA Gln	GAA Glu	CCC Pro 260	Val	GAA Glu	AAG Lys	ATC Ile	ACC Thr 265	CTC Leu	ACC Thr	GCC Ala	GCT Ala	CAC His 270	CTC Leu	CTT Leu	816
TTT Phe	GTC Val	CTC Leu 275	GAC Asp	AAC Asn	TCA Ser	ACG Thr	GAA Glu 280	GAT Asp	CTC Leu	CAC His	ACC Thr	ATG Met 285	ACC Thr	GCC Ala	GCG Ala	864
TAT Tyr	GCC Ala 290	AGC Ser	AGT Ser	GTC Val	AGA Arg	GCC Ala 295	GGA Gly	CAA Gln	AAG Lys	GTG Val	ATG Met 300	GTT Val	GTT Val	GAT Asp	GAT Asp	912
AGC Ser 305	GGT Gly	CAG Gln	CTT Leu	AAA Lys	TCT Ser 310	GTC Val	ATC Ile	GTG Val	CAG Gln	CGG Arg 315	ATA Ile	TAC Tyr	ACG Thr	GAG Glu	GAG Glu 320	960
CAG Gln	CGG Arg	GGC Gly	TCG Ser	TTC Phe 325	GCA Ala	CCA Pro	GTG Val	ACT Thr	GCA Ala 330	CAT His	GGG Gly	ACC Thr	ATT Ile	GTG Val 335	Val	1008
GAC Asp	AGA Arg	ATA Ile	CTG Leu 340	GCG Ala	TCC Ser	TGT Cys	TAC Tyr	GCC Ala 345	Val	ATA Ile	GAG Glu	GAC Asp	CAG Gln 350	GGG Gly	CTT Leu	1056
GCG Ala	CAT His	TTG Leu 355	Ala	TTC Phe	GCG Ala	CCC Pro	GCC Ala 360	Arg	CTC Leu	TAT Tyr	TAT Tyr	TAC Tyr 365	Val	TCA Ser	TCA Ser	1104
TTC Phe	CTG Leu 370	Ser	CCC Pro	AAA Lys	ACT Thr	CCA Pro 375	Ala	GTC Val	GGT Gly	CCA Pro	ATG Met 380	Arg	CTT	TAC Tyr	AAC Asn	1152

AGG AGG GGG TCC ACT GGT ACT CCA GGC TCC TGT CAT CAA ATG GGA ACG Arg Arg Gly Ser Thr Gly Thr Pro Gly Ser Cys His Gln Met Gly Thr 385 390 395 400	1200
TGG CTT TTG GAC AGC AAC ATG CTT CAT CCT TTG GGG ATG TCA GTA AAC Trp Leu Leu Asp Ser Asn Met Leu His Pro Leu Gly Met Ser Val Asn 405 410 415	1248
TCA AGC TG Ser Ser	1256
(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:6:	
<ul> <li>(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:</li> <li>(A) LENGTH: 1425 base pairs</li> <li>(B) TYPE: nucleic acid</li> <li>(C) STRANDEDNESS: single</li> <li>(D) TOPOLOGY: linear</li> </ul>	
(ii) MOLECULE TYPE: cDNA	
(ix) FEATURE:  (A) NAME/KEY: CDS  (B) LOCATION: 11425	
•	
(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:6:	
(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:6:  ATG CTG CTG CTG GCG AGA TGT CTG CTG CTA GTC CTC GTC TCC CTG Met Leu Leu Ala Arg Cys Leu Leu Val Leu Val Ser Ser Leu 1 5 10 15	48
ATG CTG CTG GCG AGA TGT CTG CTG CTA GTC CTC GTC TCG CTG Met Leu Leu Leu Ala Arg Cys Leu Leu Leu Val Ser Ser Leu	48 96
ATG CTG CTG GCG AGA TGT CTG CTG CTA GTC CTC GTC TCG CTG  Met Leu Leu Leu Ala Arg Cys Leu Leu Leu Val Leu Val Ser Ser Leu  1 5 10 15  CTG GTA TGC TCG GGA CTG GCG TGC GGA CCG GGC AGG GGG TTC GGG AAG  Leu Val Cys Ser Gly Leu Ala Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg Gly Phe Gly Lys	
ATG CTG CTG CTG GCG AGA TGT CTG CTG CTA GTC CTC GTC TCC CTG Met Leu Leu Leu Ala Arg Cys Leu Leu Leu Val Leu Val Ser Ser Leu 1 5 10 10 15  CTG GTA TGC TCG GGA CTG GCG TGC GGA CCG GGC AGG GGG TTC GGG AAG Leu Val Cys Ser Gly Leu Ala Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg Gly Phe Gly Lys 20 25 30  AGG AGG CAC CCC AAA AAG CTG ACC CCT TTA GCC TAC AAG CAG TTT ATC Arg Arg His Pro Lys Lys Leu Thr Pro Leu Ala Tyr Lys Gln Phe Ile	96
ATG CTG CTG CTG GCG AGA TGT CTG CTG CTA GTC CTC GTC TCG CTG Met Leu Leu Leu Ala Arg Cys Leu Leu Leu Val Leu Val Ser Ser Leu 1 5 CTG GGA CTG GGG TGC GGA CCG GGC AGG GGG TTC GGG AAG Leu Val Cys Ser Gly Leu Ala Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg Gly Phe Gly Lys 20 25 30  AGG AGG CAC CCC AAA AAG CTG ACC CCT TTA GCC TAC AAG CAG TTT ATC Arg Arg His Pro Lys Lys Leu Thr Pro Leu Ala Tyr Lys Gln Phe Ile 35 40 45  CCC AAT GTG GCC GAG AAG ACC CTA GGC GCC AGC GGA AGG TAT GAA GGG Pro Asn Val Ala Glu Lys Thr Leu Gly Ala Ser Gly Arg Tyr Glu Gly	96 144

CTG ATG ACT CAG AGG TGT AAG GAC AAG TTG AAC GCT TTG GCC ATC TCG 336

384

Leu Met Thr Gln Arg Cys Lys Asp Lys Leu Asn Ala Leu Ala Ile Ser 105

GTG ATG AAC CAG TGG CCA GGA GTG AAA CTG CGG GTG ACC GAG GGC TGG

Val Met Asn Gln Trp Pro Gly Val Lys Leu Arg Val Thr Glu Gly Trp 115 120 125

100

GAC Asp	GAA Glu 130	GAT Asp	GGC Gly	CAC His	CAC His	TCA Ser 135	GAG Glu	GAG Glu	TCT Ser	CTG Leu	CAC His 140	TAC Tyr	GAG Glu	GGC Gly	CGC Arg	432
GCA Ala 145	GTG Val	GAC Asp	ATC Ile	ACC Thr	ACG Thr 150	TCT Ser	GAC Asp	CGC Arg	GAC Asp	CGC Arg 155	AGC Ser	AAG Lys	TAC Tyr	GGC Gly	ATG Met 160	480
CTG Leu	GCC Ala	CGC Arg	CTG Leu	GCG Ala 165	GTG Val	GAG Glu	GCC Ala	GGC Gly	TTC Phe 170	GAC Asp	TGG Trp	GTG Val	TAC Tyr	TAC Tyr 175	GAG Glu	528
TCC Ser	AAG Lys	GCA Ala	CAT His 180	ATC Ile	CAC His	TGC Cys	TCG Ser	GTG Val 185	AAA Lys	GCA Ala	GAG Glu	AAC Asn	TCG Ser 190	GTG Val	GCG Ala	576
GCC Ala	AAA Lys	TCG Ser 195	GGA Gly	GGC Gly	TGC Cys	TTC Phe	CCG Pro 200	GGC Gly	TCG Ser	GCC Ala	ACG Thr	GTG Val 205	CAC His	CTG Leu	GAG Glu	624
CAG Gln	GGC Gly 210	GGC Gly	ACC Thr	AAG Lys	CTG Leu	GTG Val 215	AAG Lys	GAC Asp	CTG Leu	AGC Ser	CCC Pro 220	GGG Gly	GAC Asp	CGC Arg	GTG Val	672
CTG Leu 225	GCG Ala	GCG Ala	GAC Asp	GAC Asp	CAG Gln 230	GGC Gly	CGG Arg	CTG Leu	CTC Leu	TAC Tyr 235	AGC Ser	GAC Asp	TTC Phe	CTC Leu	ACT Thr 240	720
TTC Phe	CTG Leu	GAC Asp	CGC Arg	GAC Asp 245	GAC Asp	GGC Gly	GCC Ala	AAG Lys	AAG Lys 250	GTC Val	TTC Phe	TAC Tyr	GTG Val	ATC Ile 255	GAG Glu	768
ACG Thr	CGG Arg	GAG Glu	CCG Pro 260	CGC Arg	GAG Glu	CGC Arg	CTG Leu	CTG Leu 265	CTC Leu	ACC Thr	GCC Ala	GCG Ala	CAC His 270	CTG Leu	CTC Leu	816
TTT Phe	GTG Val	GCG Ala 275	CCG Pro	CAC His	AAC Asn	GAC Asp	TCG Ser 280	GCC Ala	ACC Thr	GGG Gly	GAG Glu	CCC Pro 285	GAG Glu	GCG Ala	TCC Ser	864
TCG Ser	GGC Gly 290	TCG Ser	GGG Gly	CCG Pro	CCT Pro	TCC Ser 295	GGG Gly	GGC Gly	GCA Ala	CTG Leu	GGG Gly 300	CCT Pro	CGG Arg	GCG Ala	CTG Leu	912
TTC Phe 305	GCC Ala	AGC Ser	CGC Arg	GTG Val	CGC Arg 310	CCG Pro	GGC Gly	CAG Gln	CGC Arg	GTG Val 315	TAC Tyr	GTG Val	GTG Val	GCC Ala	GAG Glu 320	960
CGT Arg	GAC Asp	GGG Gly	GAC Asp	CGC Arg 325	CGG Arg	CTC Leu	CTG Leu	CCC Pro	GCC Ala 330	GCT Ala	GTG Val	CAC His	AGC Ser	GTG Val 335	ACC Thr	1008
CTA Leu	AGC Ser	GAG Glu	GAG Glu 340	GCC Ala	GCG Ala	GGC Gly	GCC Ala	TAC Tyr 345	GCG Ala	CCG Pro	CTC Leu	ACG Thr	GCC Ala 350	CAG Gln	GGC Gly	1056
ACC Thr	ATT Ile	CTC Leu 355	Ile	AAC Asn	CGG Arg	GTG Val	CTG Leu 360	Ala	TCG Ser	TGC Cys	TAC Tyr	GCG Ala 365	GTC Val	ATC Ile	GAG Glu	1104
GAG	CAC	AGC	TGG	GCG	CAC	CGG	GCC	TTC	GCG	CCC	TTC	CGC	CTG	GCG	CAC	1152

Glu	His 370	Ser	Trp	Ala	His	Arg 375	Ala	Phe	Ala	Pro	Phe 380	Arg	Leu	Ala	His	
GCG Ala 385	CTC Leu	CTG Leu	GCT Ala	GCA Ala	CTG Leu 390	GCG Ala	CCC Pro	GCG Ala	CGC Arg	ACG Thr 395	GAC Asp	CGC Arg	GGC Gly	GGG Gly	GAC Asp 400	1200
AGC Ser	GGC Gly	GGC Gly	GGG Gly	GAC Asp 405	CGC Arg	GGG Gly	GC	GGC Gly	GGC Gly 410	GGC Gly	AGA Arg	GTA Val	GCC Ala	CTA Leu 415	ACC Thr	1248
				GCC Ala												1296
				CAG Gln												1344
				CAC His												1392
				GGA Gly												1425

## (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:7:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
  - (A) LENGTH: 1622 base pairs
    (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
    (C) STRANDEDNESS: both
    (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: cDNA
- (ix) FEATURE:
  - (A) NAME/KEY: CDS
  - (B) LOCATION: 51..1283
- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:7:

CATCAGCCCA CCAGGAGACC TCGCCCGCCG CTCCCCCGGG CTCCCCGGCC ATG TCT Met Ser													56		
CCC (															104
CTG (															152
GGC 7 Gly 7 35															200

											GGC Gly					248
TAT Tyr	GAA Glu	GGC Gly	AAG Lys 70	ATC Ile	GCT Ala	CGC Arg	AGC Ser	TCC Ser 75	GAG Glu	CGC Arg	TTC Phe	AAG Lys	GAG Glu 80	CTC Leu	ACC Thr	296
											GAG Glu					344
											CGC Arg 110					392
											AAG Lys					440
											GAG Glu					488
											CGC Arg					536
											GGC Gly					584
											GTC Val 190					632
											GCC Ala					680
											GCC Ala					728
											CCC Pro					776
											CTG Leu		_			824
											GCA Ala 270					872
											CCG Pro					920
CGG	GCC	ACA	TTT	GCC	AGC	CAC	GTG	CAG	CCT	GGC	CAG	TAC	GTG	CTG	GTG	968

Arg	Ala	Thr	Phe	Ala 295	Ser	His	Val	Gln	Pro 300	Gly	Gln	Tyr	Val	Leu 305	Val	
GCT Ala	GGG Gly	GTG Val	CCA Pro 310	GGC Gly	CTG Leu	CAG Gln	CCT Pro	GCC Ala 315	CGC Arg	GTG Val	GCA Ala	GCT Ala	GTC Val 320	TCT Ser	ACA Thr	1016
														ACA Thr		1064
GTG Val	GTG Val 340	GAG Glu	GAT Asp	GTG Val	GTG Val	GCA Ala 345	TCC Ser	TGC Cys	TTC Phe	GCG Ala	GCC Ala 350	GTG Val	GCT Ala	GAC Asp	CAC His	1112
														AGC Ser		1160
														CCC Pro 385		1208
														TTC Phe		1256
					GGG Gly				TGA	AAGG/	ACT (	CCAC	CGCT	GC		1303
ССТ	CCTG	GAA (	CTGC:	rgta	CT GO	GTC	CAGA	A GC	CTCT	CAGC	CAG	GAGG	GAG (	CTGG	CCTGG	1363
AAG	GAC	CTG A	AGCT	GGGG	GA C	ACTG	GCTC	TG	CCAT	CTCC	TCT	GCCA?	rga i	AGATA	ACACCA	1423
TTG	AGAC	rtg A	ACTG	GGCA/	AC AC	CCAG	CGTC	ccc	CACC	CGCG	TCG	rggt	STA (	GTCA	ragagc	1483
TGC	AAGC	rga (	GCTG	GCGA	GG GG	GATG	GTTG:	r TG	ACCC	CTCT	CTC	CTAG	AGA (	CCTT	GAGGCT	1543
GGC	ACGG	CGA (	CTCC	CAAC	rc ac	GCCT	GCTC:	r cac	CTAC	GAGT	TTT	CATAC	CTC T	rgcci	rccccc	1603
ATTO	GGGA	GGG (	CCA	rtcc	2											1622

## (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:8:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
  - (A) LENGTH: 1191 base pairs
  - (B) TYPE: nucleic acid (C) STRANDEDNESS: both (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: cDNA
- (ix) FEATURE:
  - (A) NAME/KEY: CDS
  - (B) LOCATION: 1..1191
- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:8:

ATG GCT CTC CTG ACC AAT CTA CTG CCC TTG TGC TGC TTG GCA CTT CTG 48

Met 1	Ala	Leu	Leu	Thr 5	Asn	Leu	Leu	Pro	Leu 10	Cys	Cys	Leu	Ala	Leu 15	Leu	
GCG Ala	CTG Leu	CCA Pro	GCC Ala 20	CAG Gln	AGC Ser	TGC Cys	GGG Gly	CCG Pro 25	GGC <b>Gly</b>	CGG Arg	GGG Gly	CCG Pro	GTT Val 30	GGC Gly	CGG Arg	96
CGC Arg	CGC Arg	TAT Tyr 35	GCG Ala	CGC Arg	AAG Lys	CAG Gln	CTC Leu 40	GTG Val	CCG Pro	CTA Leu	CTC Leu	TAC Tyr 45	AAG Lys	CAA Gln	TTT Phe	144
GTG Val	CCC Pro 50	GGC Gly	GTG Val	CCA Pro	GAG Glu	CGG Arg 55	ACC Thr	CTG Leu	GGC Gly	GCC Ala	AGT Ser 60	GGG Gly	CCA Pro	GCG Ala	GAG Glu	192
GGG Gly 65	AGG Arg	GTG Val	GCA Ala	AGG Arg	GGC Gly 70	TCC Ser	GAG Glu	CGC Arg	TTC Phe	CGG Arg 75	GAC Asp	CTC Leu	GTG Val	CCC Pro	AAC Asn 80	240
TAC Tyr	AAC Asn	CCC Pro	GAC Asp	ATC Ile 85	ATC Ile	TTC Phe	AAG Lys	GAT Asp	GAG Glu 90	GAG Glu	AAC Asn	AGT Ser	GGA Gly	GCC Ala 95	GAC Asp	288
CGC Arg	CTG Leu	ATG Met	ACC Thr 100	GAG Glu	CGT Arg	TGC Cys	AAG Lys	GAG Glu 105	AGG Arg	GTG Val	AAC Asn	GCT Ala	TTG Leu 110	GCC Ala	ATT Ile	336
GCC Ala	GTG Val	ATG Met 115	AAC Asn	ATG Met	TGG Trp	CCC Pro	GGA Gly 120	GTG Val	CGC Arg	CTA Leu	CGA Arg	GTG Val 125	ACT Thr	GAG Glu	GGC Gly	384
TGG Trp	GAC Asp 130	GAG Glu	GAC Asp	GGC Gly	CAC His	CAC His 135	GCT Ala	CAG Gln	GAT Asp	TCA Ser	CTC Leu 140	CAC His	TAC Tyr	GAA Glu	GGC Gly	432
CGT Arg 145	GCT Ala	TTG Leu	GAC Asp	ATC Ile	ACT Thr 150	ACG Thr	TCT Ser	GAC Asp	CGC Arg	GAC Asp 155	CGC Arg	AAC Asn	AAG Lys	TAT Tyr	GGG Gly 160	480
TTG Leu	CTG Leu	GCG Ala	CGC Arg	CTC Leu 165	GCA Ala	GTG Val	GAA Glu	GCC Ala	GGC Gly 170	TTC Phe	GAC Asp	TGG Trp	GTC Val	TAC Tyr 175	TAC Tyr	528
GAG Glu	TCC Ser	CGC Arg	AAC Asn 180	CAC His	GTC Val	CAC His	GTG Val	TCG Ser 185	GTC Val	AAA Lys	GCT Ala	GAT Asp	AAC Asn 190	TCA Ser	CTG Leu	576
GCG Ala	GTC Val	CGG Arg 195	Ala	GGC Gly	GGC Gly	TGC Cys	TTT Phe 200	Pro	GGA Gly	AAT Asn	GCA Ala	ACT Thr 205	GTG Val	CGC Arg	CTG Leu	624
TGG Trp	AGC Ser 210	GGC Gly	GAG Glu	CGG Arg	AAA Lys	GGG Gly 215	CTG Leu	CGG Arg	GAA Glu	CTG Leu	CAC His 220	CGC Arg	GGA Gly	GAC Asp	TGG Trp	672
GTT Val 225	TTG Leu	GCG Ala	GCC Ala	GAT Asp	GCG Ala 230	TCA Ser	GGC Gly	CGG Arg	GTG Val	GTG Val 235	CCC Pro	ACG Thr	CCG Pro	GTG Val	CTG Leu 240	720
	TTC Phe				Asp					Ala						768

							TGG Trp 270		816
							TTT Phe		864
							GCG Ala		912
							CGG Arg		960
							CTG Leu		1008
							CAC His 350		1056
							CTA Leu		1104
							TGG Trp		1152
			GAG Glu		GGC Gly	TG			1191

#### (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:9:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
  - (A) LENGTH: 1251 base pairs
  - (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
  - (C) STRANDEDNESS: both
  - (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: cDNA
- (ix) FEATURE:

(A) NAME/KEY: CDS
(B) LOCATION: 1..1248

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:9:

ATG GAC GTA AGG CTG CAT CTG AAG CAA TTT GCT TTA CTG TGT TTT ATC 48 Met Asp Val Arg Leu His Leu Lys Gln Phe Ala Leu Leu Cys Phe Ile 1

96

AGC TTG CTT CTG ACG CCT TGT GGA TTA GCC TGT GGT CCT GGT AGA GGT

Ser	Leu	Leu	Leu 20	Thr	Pro	Cys	Gly	Leu 25	Ala	Суз	Gly	Pro	Gly 30		Gly		
TAT Tyr	GGA Gly	AAA Lys 35	CGA Arg	AGA Arg	CAC His	CCA Pro	AAG Lys 40	AAA Lys	TTA Leu	ACC Thr	CCG Pro	TTG Leu 45	GCT Ala	TAC Tyr	AAG Lys		144
CAA Gln	TTC Phe 50	ATC Ile	CCC Pro	AAC Asn	GTT Val	GCT Ala 55	GAG Glu	AAA Lys	ACG Thr	CTT Leu	GGA Gly 60	GCC Ala	AGC Ser	GGC Gly	AAA Lys		192
TAC Tyr 65	GAA Glu	GGC Gly	AAA Lys	ATC Ile	ACA Thr 70	AGG Arg	AAT Asn	TCA Ser	GAG Glu	AGA Arg 75	TTT Phe	AAA Lys	GAG Glu	CTG Leu	ATT Ile 80		240
							ATC Ile										288
							CGC Arg										336
							TGG Trp 120										384
							CAC His										432
							ACT Thr										480
TAT	GGG	AT	G CI	A T	CC I	AGG	СТТ	GCA	GTG	GA	G GC	CA G	GA	TTC	GAC	TGG	GTC
528 Tyr	Gly	Met	Leu	Ser 165	Arg	Leu	Ala	Val	Glu 170	Ala	Gly	Phe	Asp	Trp 175	Val		
TAT 576	TAT	GA	A TO	CT A	AA (	GCC	CAC	ATA	CAC	TG	C TC	T G	TC .	AAA	GCA	GAA	AAT
	Tyr	Glu	Ser 180	Lys	Ala	His	Ile	His 185	Cys	Ser	Val	Lys	Ala 190		Asn		
TCA 624	GTG	GC	T GO	T A	AA :	<b>FCA</b>	GGA	GGA	TGT	TT	T CC	CT G	GG	TCT	GGG	ACG	GTG
	Val	Ala 195	Ala	Lys	Ser	Gly	Gly 200	Cys	Phe	Pro	Gly	Ser 205	Gly	Thr	Val		
	CTT	GG	T GA	AT G	GG 2	ACG	AGG	AAA	CCC	AT	C AA	AA G	AT	CTT	AAA	GTG	GGC
672 Thr	Leu 210	Gly	Asp	Gly	Thr	Arg 215	Lys	Pro	Ile	Lys	Asp 220	Leu	Lys	Val	Gly		
GAC	CGG	GT	т т	rg g	CT (	GCA	GAC	GAG	AAG	GG.	A AA	AT G	TC '	TTA	ATA	AGC	GAC
720 Asp 225	Arg	Val	Leu	Ala	Ala 230	Asp	Glu	Lys	Gly	Asn 235	Val	Leu	Ile	Ser	Asp 240		
ттт 768	ATT	'.AT	G T	A TT	TA (	GAC	CAC	GAT	CCG	AC.	A AC	G A	.GA	AGG	CAA	TTC	ATC

Phe Ile Met Phe Ile Asp His Asp Pro Thr Thr Arg Arg Gln Phe Ile 245 250 255

GTC ATC GAG ACG TCA GAA CCT TTC ACC AAG CTC ACC CTC ACT GCC GCG 816

Val Ile Glu Thr Ser Glu Pro Phe Thr Lys Leu Thr Leu Thr Ala Ala 260 265 270

CAC CTA GTT TTC GTT GGA AAC TCT TCA GCA GCT TCG GGT ATA ACA GCA 864

His Leu Val Phe Val Gly Asn Ser Ser Ala Ala Ser Gly Ile Thr Ala 275 280 285

ACA TTT GCC AGC AAC GTG AAG CCT GGA GAT ACA GTT TTA GTG TGG GAA 912

Thr Phe Ala Ser Asn Val Lys Pro Gly Asp Thr Val Leu Val Trp Glu 290 295 300

GAC ACA TGC GAG AGC CTC AAG AGC GTT ACA GTG AAA AGG ATT TAC ACT 960

Asp Thr Cys Glu Ser Leu Lys Ser Val Thr Val Lys Arg Ile Tyr Thr 305 310 315 320

GAG GAG CAC GAG GGC TCT TTT GCG CCA GTC ACC GCG CAC GGA ACC ATA 1008

Glu Glu His Glu Gly Ser Phe Ala Pro Val Thr Ala His Gly Thr Ile \$325\$ \$330\$ \$335

ATA GTG GAT CAG GTG TTG GCA TCG TGC TAC GCG GTC ATT GAG AAC CAC 1056

Ile Val Asp Gln Val Leu Ala Ser Cys Tyr Ala Val Ile Glu Asn His 340 345 350

AAA TGG GCA CAT TGG GCT TTT GCG CCG GTC AGG TTG TGT CAC AAG CTG 1104

Lys Trp Ala His Trp Ala Phe Ala Pro Val Arg Leu Cys His Lys Leu 355 360 365

ATG ACG TGG CTT TTT CCG GCT CGT GAA TCA AAC GTC AAT TTT CAG GAG 1152

Met Thr Trp Leu Phe Pro Ala Arg Glu Ser Asn Val Asn Phe Gln Glu 370 375 380

GAT GGT ATC CAC TGG TAC TCA AAT ATG CTG TTT CAC ATC GGC TCT TGG 1200

Asp Gly Ile His Trp Tyr Ser Asn Met Leu Phe His Ile Gly Ser Trp 385 390 395 400

CTG CTG GAC AGA GAC TCT TTC CAT CCA CTC GGG ATT TTA CAC TTA AGT

Leu Leu Asp Arg Asp Ser Phe His Pro Leu Gly Ile Leu His Leu Ser
405 410

TGA 1251

# (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:10:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
  - (A) LENGTH: 425 amino acids
  - (B) TYPE: amino acid

(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

(ii) MOLECULE TYPE: protein

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:10:

Met Val Glu Met Leu Leu Leu Thr Arg Ile Leu Leu Val Gly Phe Ile Cys Ala Leu Leu Val Ser Ser Gly Leu Thr Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg Gly Ile Gly Lys Arg Arg His Pro Lys Lys Leu Thr Pro Leu Ala Tyr Lys 35 40 45Gln Phe Ile Pro Asn Val Ala Glu Lys Thr Leu Gly Ala Ser Gly Arg Tyr Glu Gly Lys Ile Thr Arg Asn Ser Glu Arg Phe Lys Glu Leu Thr Pro Asn Tyr Asn Pro Asp Ile Ile Phe Lys Asp Glu Glu Asn Thr Gly Ala Asp Arg Leu Met Thr Gln Arg Cys Lys Asp Lys Leu Asn Ala Leu 100 105 110Ala Ile Ser Val Met Asn Gln Trp Pro Gly Val Lys Leu Arg Val Thr Glu Gly Trp Asp Glu Asp Gly His His Ser Glu Glu Ser Leu His Tyr 135 Glu Gly Arg Ala Val Asp Ile Thr Thr Ser Asp Arg Asp Arg Ser Lys Tyr Gly Met Leu Ala Arg Leu Ala Val Glu Ala Gly Phe Asp Trp Val Tyr Tyr Glu Ser Lys Ala His Ile His Cys Ser Val Lys Ala Glu Asn Ser Val Ala Ala Lys Ser Gly Gly Cys Phe Pro Gly Ser Ala Thr Val His Leu Glu His Gly Gly Thr Lys Leu Val Lys Asp Leu Ser Pro Gly Asp Arg Val Leu Ala Ala Asp Ala Asp Gly Arg Leu Leu Tyr Ser Asp 230 235 Phe Leu Thr Phe Leu Asp Arg Met Asp Ser Ser Arg Lys Leu Phe Tyr Val Ile Glu Thr Arg Gln Pro Arg Ala Arg Leu Leu Thr Ala Ala His Leu Leu Phe Val Ala Pro Gln His Asn Gln Ser Glu Ala Thr Gly

Ser Thr Ser Gly Gln Ala Leu Phe Ala Ser Asn Val Lys Pro Gly Gln

290 295 300

Arg Val Tyr Val Leu Gly Glu Gly Gln Gln Leu Leu Pro Ala Ser 305 310 315 320

Val His Ser Val Ser Leu Arg Glu Glu Ala Ser Gly Ala Tyr Ala Pro 325 330 335

Leu Thr Ala Gln Gly Thr Ile Leu Ile Asn Arg Val Leu Ala Ser Cys 340 345 350

Tyr Ala Val Ile Glu Glu His Ser Trp Ala His Trp Ala Phe Ala Pro 355 360 365

Phe Arg Leu Ala Gln Gly Leu Leu Ala Ala Leu Cys Pro Asp Gly Ala 370 375 380

Ile Pro Thr Ala Ala Thr Thr Thr Gly Ile His Trp Tyr Ser Arg 385 390 395 400

Leu Leu Tyr Arg Ile Gly Ser Trp Val Leu Asp Gly Asp Ala Leu His 405 410 415

Pro Leu Gly Met Val Ala Pro Ala Ser 420 425

### (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:11:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
  - (A) LENGTH: 396 amino acids
  - (B) TYPE: amino acid
  - (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: protein

### (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:11:

Met Ala Leu Pro Ala Ser Leu Leu Pro Leu Cys Cys Leu Ala Leu Leu
1 10 15

Ala Leu Ser Ala Gln Ser Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg Gly Pro Val Gly Arg
20 25 30

Arg Arg Tyr Val Arg Lys Gln Leu Val Pro Leu Leu Tyr Lys Gln Phe 35 40 45

Val Pro Ser Met Pro Glu Arg Thr Leu Gly Ala Ser Gly Pro Ala Glu 50 60

Gly Arg Val Thr Arg Gly Ser Glu Arg Phe Arg Asp Leu Val Pro Asn 65 70 75 80

Tyr Asn Pro Asp Ile Ile Phe Lys Asp Glu Glu Asn Ser Gly Ala Asp

Arg Leu Met Thr Glu Arg Cys Lys Glu Arg Val Asn Ala Leu Ala Ile 100 105 110

Ala Val Met Asn Met Trp Pro Gly Val Arg Leu Arg Val Thr Glu Gly

WO 99/10004 PCT/US98/17922

22

120 125 115 Trp Asp Glu Asp Gly His His Ala Gln Asp Ser Leu His Tyr Glu Gly Arg Ala Leu Asp Ile Thr Thr Ser Asp Arg Asp Arg Asn Lys Tyr Gly 155 Leu Leu Ala Arg Leu Ala Val Glu Ala Gly Phe Asp Trp Val Tyr Tyr Glu Ser Arg Asn His Ile His Val Ser Val Lys Ala Asp Asn Ser Leu Ala Val Arg Ala Gly Gly Cys Phe Pro Gly Asn Ala Thr Val Arg Leu Arg Ser Gly Glu Arg Lys Gly Leu Arg Glu Leu His Arg Gly Asp Trp Val Leu Ala Ala Asp Ala Ala Gly Arg Val Val Pro Thr Pro Val Leu 225 Leu Phe Leu Asp Arg Asp Leu Gln Arg Arg Ala Ser Phe Val Ala Val Glu Thr Glu Arg Pro Pro Arg Lys Leu Leu Thr Pro Trp His Leu Val Phe Ala Ala Arg Gly Pro Ala Pro Ala Pro Gly Asp Phe Ala Pro Val Phe Ala Arg Arg Leu Arg Ala Gly Asp Ser Val Leu Ala Pro Gly Gly Asp Ala Leu Gln Pro Ala Arg Val Ala Arg Val Ala Arg Glu Glu Ala Val Gly Val Phe Ala Pro Leu Thr Ala His Gly Thr Leu Leu Val 330 Asn Asp Val Leu Ala Ser Cys Tyr Ala Val Leu Glu Ser His Gln Trp Ala His Arg Ala Phe Ala Pro Leu Arg Leu Leu His Ala Leu Gly Ala Leu Leu Pro Gly Gly Ala Val Gln Pro Thr Gly Met His Trp Tyr Ser Arg Leu Leu Tyr Arg Leu Ala Glu Glu Leu Met Gly

## (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:12:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
  - (A) LENGTH: 411 amino acids
  - (B) TYPE: amino acid
  - (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: protein

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:12:

Met Ser Pro Ala Trp Leu Arg Pro Arg Leu Arg Phe Cys Leu Phe Leu Leu Leu Leu Leu Val Pro Ala Ala Arg Gly Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg Val Val Gly Ser Arg Arg Arg Pro Pro Arg Lys Leu Val Pro Leu Ala Tyr Lys Gln Phe Ser Pro Asn Val Pro Glu Lys Thr Leu Gly Ala Ser Gly Arg Tyr Glu Gly Lys Ile Ala Arg Ser Ser Glu Arg Phe Lys Glu Leu Thr Pro Asn Tyr Asn Pro Asp Ile Ile Phe Lys Asp Glu Glu Asn Thr Gly Ala Asp Arg Leu Met Thr Gln Arg Cys Lys Asp Arg Leu Asn Ser Leu Ala Ile Ser Val Met Asn Gln Trp Pro Gly Val Lys Leu Arg Val Thr Glu Gly Arg Asp Glu Asp Gly His His Ser Glu Glu Ser Leu 135 His Tyr Glu Gly Arg Ala Val Asp Ile Thr Thr Ser Asp Arg Asp Arg Asn Lys Tyr Gly Leu Leu Ala Arg Leu Ala Val Glu Ala Gly Phe Asp Trp Val Tyr Tyr Glu Ser Lys Ala His Val His Cys Ser Val Lys Ser Glu His Ser Ala Ala Ala Lys Thr Gly Gly Cys Phe Pro Ala Gly Ala Gln Val Arg Leu Glu Asn Gly Glu Arg Val Ala Leu Ser Ala Val Lys Pro Gly Asp Arg Val Leu Ala Met Gly Glu Asp Gly Thr Pro Thr Phe 225 Ser Asp Val Leu Ile Phe Leu Asp Arg Glu Pro Asn Arg Leu Arg Ala 250 Phe Gln Val Ile Glu Thr Gln Asp Pro Pro Arg Arg Leu Ala Leu Thr 265 Pro Ala His Leu Leu Phe Ile Ala Asp Asn His Thr Glu Pro Ala Ala His Phe Arg Ala Thr Phe Ala Ser His Val Gln Pro Gly Gln Tyr Val 295

Leu Val Ser Gly Val Pro Gly Leu Gln Pro Ala Arg Val Ala Ala Val Ser Thr His Val Ala Leu Gly Ser Tyr Ala Pro Leu Thr Arg His Gly Thr Leu Val Val Glu Asp Val Val Ala Ser Cys Phe Ala Ala Val Ala 345 Asp His His Leu Ala Gln Leu Ala Phe Trp Pro Leu Arg Leu Phe Pro Ser Leu Ala Trp Gly Ser Trp Thr Pro Ser Glu Gly Val His Ser Tyr 370 375 380Pro Gln Met Leu Tyr Arg Leu Gly Arg Leu Leu Leu Glu Glu Ser Thr Phe His Pro Leu Gly Met Ser Gly Ala Gly Ser

### (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:13:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
  - (A) LENGTH: 437 amino acids
  - (B) TYPE: amino acid
  - (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: protein

### (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:13:

Met Leu Leu Leu Ala Arg Cys Phe Leu Val Ile Leu Ala Ser Ser Leu Leu Val Cys Pro Gly Leu Ala Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg Gly Phe Gly 20 25 30Lys Arg Arg His Pro Lys Lys Leu Thr Pro Leu Ala Tyr Lys Gln Phe 35 40 45Ile Pro Asn Val Ala Glu Lys Thr Leu Gly Ala Ser Gly Arg Tyr Glu Gly Lys Ile Thr Arg Asn Ser Glu Arg Phe Lys Glu Leu Thr Pro Asn 65 70 75 80 Tyr Asn Pro Asp Ile Ile Phe Lys Asp Glu Glu Asn Thr Gly Ala Asp Arg Leu Met Thr Gln Arg Cys Lys Asp Lys Leu Asn Ala Leu Ala Ile Ser Val Met Asn Gln Trp Pro Gly Val Arg Leu Arg Val Thr Glu Gly Trp Asp Glu Asp Gly His His Ser Glu Glu Ser Leu His Tyr Glu Gly 135

Arg 145	Ala	Val	Asp	Ile	Thr 150	Thr	Ser	Asp	Arg	Asp 155	Arg	Ser	Lys	Tyr	Gly 160
Met	Leu	Ala	Arg	Leu 165	Ala	Val	Glu	Ala	Gly 170	Phe	Asp	Trp	Val	Tyr 175	Tyr
Glu	Ser	Lys	Ala 180	His	Ile	His	Cys	Ser 185	Val	Lys	Ala	Glu	Asn 190	Ser	Val
Ala	Ala	Lys 195	Ser	Gly	Gly	Cys	Phe 200	Pro	Gly	Ser	Ala	Thr 205	Val	His	Leu
Glu	Gln 210	Gly	Gly	Thr	Lys	Leu 215	Val	Lys	Asp	Leu	Arg 220	Pro	Gly	Asp	Arg
Val 225	Leu	Ala	Ala	Asp	Asp 230	Gln	Gly	Arg	Leu	Leu 235	Туr	Ser	Asp	Phe	Leu 240
Thr	Phe	Leu	Asp	Arg 245	Asp	Glu	Gly	Ala	Lys 250	Lys	Val	Phe	Tyr	Val 255	Ile
Glu	Thr	Leu	Glu 260	Pro	Arg	Glu	Arg	Leu 265	Leu	Leu	Thr	Ala	Ala 270	His	Leu
Leu	Phe	Val 275	Ala	Pro	His	Asn	Asp 280	Ser	Gly	Pro	Thr	Pro 285	Gly	Pro	Ser
Ala	Leu 290	Phe	Ala	Ser	Arg	Val 295	Arg	Pro	Gly	Gln	Arg 300	Val	Tyr	Val	Val
Ala 305	Glu	Arg	Gly	Gly	Asp 310	Arg	Arg	Leu	Leu	Pro 315	Ala	Ala	Val	His	Ser 320
Val	Thr	Leu	Arg	Glu 325	Glu	Glu	Ala	Gly	Ala 330	Tyr	Ala	Pro	Leu	Thr 335	Ala
His	Gly	Thr	Ile 340	Leu	Ile	Asn	Arg	Val 345	Leu	Ala	Ser	Cys	Tyr 350	Ala	Val
Ile	Glu	Glu 355	His	Ser	Trp	Ala	His 360	Arg	Ala	Phe	Ala	Pro 365	Phe	Arg	Leu
Ala	His 370	Ala	Leu	Leu	Ala	Ala 375	Leu	Ala	Pro	Ala	Arg 380	Thr	Asp	Gly	Gly
Gly 385	Gly	Gly	Ser	Ile	Pro 390	Ala	Ala	Gln	Ser	Ala 395	Thr	Glu	Ala	Arg	Gly 400
Ala	Glu	Pro	Thr	Ala 405	Gly	Ile	His	Trp	Tyr 410	Ser	Gln	Leu	Leu	Tyr 415	His
Ile	Gly	Thr	Trp 420	Leu	Leu	Asp	Ser	Glu 425	Thr	Met	His	Pro	Leu 430	Gly	Met
Ala	Val	Lys 435	Ser	Ser											

- (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:14:
  - (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

WO 99/10004 PCT/US98/17922

26

- (A) LENGTH: 418 amino acids
- (B) TYPE: amino acid
- (D) TOPOLOGY: linear

## (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: protein

# (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:14:

Met Arg Leu Leu Thr Arg Val Leu Leu Val Ser Leu Leu Thr Leu Ser Leu Val Val Ser Gly Leu Ala Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg Gly Tyr Gly Arg 20 25 30Arg Arg His Pro Lys Lys Leu Thr Pro Leu Ala Tyr Lys Gln Phe Ile Pro Asn Val Ala Glu Lys Thr Leu Gly Ala Ser Gly Arg Tyr Glu Gly Lys Ile Thr Arg Asn Ser Glu Arg Phe Lys Glu Leu Thr Pro Asn Tyr 65 70 75 80 Asn Pro Asp Ile Ile Phe Lys Asp Glu Glu Asn Thr Gly Ala Asp Arg Leu Met Thr Gln Arg Cys Lys Asp Lys Leu Asn Ser Leu Ala Ile Ser 105 Val Met Asn His Trp Pro Gly Val Lys Leu Arg Val Thr Glu Gly Trp Asp Glu Asp Gly His His Phe Glu Glu Ser Leu His Tyr Glu Gly Arg 135 Ala Val Asp Ile Thr Thr Ser Asp Arg Asp Lys Ser Lys Tyr Gly Thr Leu Ser Arg Leu Ala Val Glu Ala Gly Phe Asp Trp Val Tyr Tyr Glu 165 170 175 Ser Lys Ala His Ile His Cys Ser Val Lys Ala Glu Asn Ser Val Ala Ala Lys Ser Gly Gly Cys Phe Pro Gly Ser Ala Leu Val Ser Leu Gln Asp Gly Gln Lys Ala Val Lys Asp Leu Asn Pro Gly Asp Lys Val Leu Ala Ala Asp Ser Ala Gly Asn Leu Val Phe Ser Asp Phe Ile Met Phe Thr Asp Arg Asp Ser Thr Thr Arg Arg Val Phe Tyr Val Ile Glu Thr Gln Glu Pro Val Glu Lys Ile Thr Leu Thr Ala Ala His Leu Leu 270

Phe Val Leu Asp Asn Ser Thr Glu Asp Leu His Thr Met Thr Ala Ala

275 280 285

Tyr Ala Ser Ser Val Arg Ala Gly Gln Lys Val Met Val Val Asp Asp 290 295 300

Ser Gly Gln Leu Lys Ser Val Ile Val Gln Arg Ile Tyr Thr Glu Glu 305 310 315 320

Gln Arg Gly Ser Phe Ala Pro Val Thr Ala His Gly Thr Ile Val Val 325 330 335

Asp Arg Ile Leu Ala Ser Cys Tyr Ala Val Ile Glu Asp Gln Gly Leu 340 345 350

Ala His Leu Ala Phe Ala Pro Ala Arg Leu Tyr Tyr Tyr Val Ser Ser 355 360 365

Phe Leu Ser Pro Lys Thr Pro Ala Val Gly Pro Met Arg Leu Tyr Asn 370 380

Arg Arg Gly Ser Thr Gly Thr Pro Gly Ser Cys His Gln Met Gly Thr 385 390 395 400

Trp Leu Leu Asp Ser Asn Met Leu His Pro Leu Gly Met Ser Val Asn 405 410 415

Ser Ser

### (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:15:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
  - (A) LENGTH: 475 amino acids
  - (B) TYPE: amino acid
  - (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: protein

## (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:15:

Met Leu Leu Ala Arg Cys Leu Leu Leu Val Leu Val Ser Ser Leu 1 5 10 15

Leu Val Cys Ser Gly Leu Ala Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg Gly Phe Gly Lys
20 25 30

Arg Arg His Pro Lys Lys Leu Thr Pro Leu Ala Tyr Lys Gln Phe Ile 35 40

Pro Asn Val Ala Glu Lys Thr Leu Gly Ala Ser Gly Arg Tyr Glu Gly 50 60

Lys Ile Ser Arg Asn Ser Glu Arg Phe Lys Glu Leu Thr Pro Asn Tyr 65 70 75 80

Asn Pro Asp Ile Ile Phe Lys Asp Glu Glu Asn Thr Gly Ala Asp Arg 85 90 95

Leu Met Thr Gln Arg Cys Lys Asp Lys Leu Asn Ala Leu Ala Ile Ser

105 110 100 Val Met Asn Gln Trp Pro Gly Val Lys Leu Arg Val Thr Glu Gly Trp 120 Asp Glu Asp Gly His His Ser Glu Glu Ser Leu His Tyr Glu Gly Arg Ala Val Asp Ile Thr Thr Ser Asp Arg Asp Arg Ser Lys Tyr Gly Met Leu Ala Arg Leu Ala Val Glu Ala Gly Phe Asp Trp Val Tyr Tyr Glu 170 Ser Lys Ala His Ile His Cys Ser Val Lys Ala Glu Asn Ser Val Ala Ala Lys Ser Gly Gly Cys Phe Pro Gly Ser Ala Thr Val His Leu Glu Gln Gly Gly Thr Lys Leu Val Lys Asp Leu Ser Pro Gly Asp Arg Val 215 Leu Ala Ala Asp Asp Gln Gly Arg Leu Leu Tyr Ser Asp Phe Leu Thr Phe Leu Asp Arg Asp Asp Gly Ala Lys Lys Val Phe Tyr Val Ile Glu Thr Arg Glu Pro Arg Glu Arg Leu Leu Leu Thr Ala Ala His Leu Leu Phe Val Ala Pro His Asn Asp Ser Ala Thr Gly Glu Pro Glu Ala Ser Ser Gly Ser Gly Pro Pro Ser Gly Gly Ala Leu Gly Pro Arg Ala Leu Phe Ala Ser Arg Val Arg Pro Gly Gln Arg Val Tyr Val Val Ala Glu 310 315 Arg Asp Gly Asp Arg Arg Leu Leu Pro Ala Ala Val His Ser Val Thr Leu Ser Glu Glu Ala Ala Gly Ala Tyr Ala Pro Leu Thr Ala Gln Gly Thr Ile Leu Ile Asn Arg Val Leu Ala Ser Cys Tyr Ala Val Ile Glu Glu His Ser Trp Ala His Arg Ala Phe Ala Pro Phe Arg Leu Ala His Ala Leu Leu Ala Ala Leu Ala Pro Ala Arg Thr Asp Arg Gly Gly Asp 395 Ser Gly Gly Gly Asp Arg Gly Gly Gly Gly Gly Arg Val Ala Leu Thr Ala Pro Gly Ala Ala Asp Ala Pro Gly Ala Gly Ala Thr Ala Gly Ile His Trp Tyr Ser Gln Leu Leu Tyr Gln Ile Gly Thr Trp Leu Leu Asp
435
440
445

Ser Glu Ala Leu His Pro Leu Gly Met Ala Val Lys Ser Ser Xaa Ser 450 455 460

Arg Gly Ala Gly Gly Gly Ala Arg Glu Gly Ala
465 470 475

- (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:16:
  - (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
    - (A) LENGTH: 411 amino acids
    - (B) TYPE: amino acid
    - (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
  - (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: protein
  - (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:16:

Met Ser Pro Ala Arg Leu Arg Pro Arg Leu His Phe Cys Leu Val Leu  $1 \hspace{1cm} 5 \hspace{1cm} 10 \hspace{1cm} 15$ 

Leu Leu Leu Val Val Pro Ala Ala Trp Gly Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg

Val Val Gly Ser Arg Arg Arg Pro Pro Arg Lys Leu Val Pro Leu Ala 35 40

Tyr Lys Gln Phe Ser Pro Asn Val Pro Glu Lys Thr Leu Gly Ala Ser 50 60

Gly Arg Tyr Glu Gly Lys Ile Ala Arg Ser Ser Glu Arg Phe Lys Glu 65 70 75 80

Leu Thr Pro Asn Tyr Asn Pro Asp Ile Ile Phe Lys Asp Glu Glu Asn 85 90 95

Thr Gly Ala Asp Arg Leu Met Thr Gln Arg Cys Lys Asp Arg Leu Asn 100 105 110

Ser Leu Ala Ile Ser Val Met Asn Gln Trp Pro Gly Val Lys Leu Arg 115 120 125

Val Thr Glu Gly Trp Asp Glu Asp Gly His His Ser Glu Glu Ser Leu 130 135 140

His Tyr Glu Gly Arg Ala Val Asp Ile Thr Thr Ser Asp Arg Asp Arg 145 150 155 160

Asn Lys Tyr Gly Leu Leu Ala Arg Leu Ala Val Glu Ala Gly Phe Asp 165 170 175

Trp Val Tyr Tyr Glu Ser Lys Ala His Val His Cys Ser Val Lys Ser 180 185 190

Glu His Ser Ala Ala Ala Lys Thr Gly Gly Cys Phe Pro Ala Gly Ala 195 200 205

Gln Val Arg Leu Glu Ser Gly Ala Arg Val Ala Leu Ser Ala Val Arg 210 215 220 Pro Gly Asp Arg Val Leu Ala Met Gly Glu Asp Gly Ser Pro Thr Phe 225 230 235 240

Ser Asp Val Leu Ile Phe Leu Asp Arg Glu Pro His Arg Leu Arg Ala 245 250 255

Phe Gln Val Ile Glu Thr Gln Asp Pro Pro Arg Arg Leu Ala Leu Thr 260 265 270

Pro Ala His Leu Leu Phe Thr Ala Asp Asn His Thr Glu Pro Ala Ala 275 280 285

Arg Phe Arg Ala Thr Phe Ala Ser His Val Gln Pro Gly Gln Tyr Val 290 295 300

Leu Val Ala Gly Val Pro Gly Leu Gln Pro Ala Arg Val Ala Ala Val 305 310 315 320

Ser Thr His Val Ala Leu Gly Ala Tyr Ala Pro Leu Thr Lys His Gly 325 330 335

Thr Leu Val Val Glu Asp Val Val Ala Ser Cys Phe Ala Ala Val Ala 340 345 350

Asp His His Leu Ala Gln Leu Ala Phe Trp Pro Leu Arg Leu Phe His 355 360 365

Ser Leu Ala Trp Gly Ser Trp Thr Pro Gly Glu Gly Val His Trp Tyr 370 375 380

Pro Gln Leu Leu Tyr Arg Leu Gly Arg Leu Leu Leu Glu Glu Gly Ser 385 390 395 400

Phe His Pro Leu Gly Met Ser Gly Ala Gly Ser 405 410

- (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:17:
  - (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
    - (A) LENGTH: 396 amino acids
    - (B) TYPE: amino acid
    - (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
  - (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: protein
  - (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:17:

Met Ala Leu Leu Thr Asn Leu Leu Pro Leu Cys Cys Leu Ala Leu Leu
1 10 15

Ala Leu Pro Ala Gln Ser Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg Gly Pro Val Gly Arg 20 25 30

Arg Arg Tyr Ala Arg Lys Gln Leu Val Pro Leu Leu Tyr Lys Gln Phe 35 40

Val Pro Gly Val Pro Glu Arg Thr Leu Gly Ala Ser Gly Pro Ala Glu 50 60

Gly Arg Val Ala Arg Gly Ser Glu Arg Phe Arg Asp Leu Val Pro Asn 65 70 75 80

Tyr Asn Pro Asp Ile Ile Phe Lys Asp Glu Glu Asn Ser Gly Ala Asp Arg Leu Met Thr Glu Arg Cys Lys Glu Arg Val Asn Ala Leu Ala Ile 105 Ala Val Met Asn Met Trp Pro Gly Val Arg Leu Arg Val Thr Glu Gly Trp Asp Glu Asp Gly His His Ala Gln Asp Ser Leu His Tyr Glu Gly Arg Ala Leu Asp Ile Thr Thr Ser Asp Arg Asp Arg Asn Lys Tyr Gly Leu Leu Ala Arg Leu Ala Val Glu Ala Gly Phe Asp Trp Val Tyr Tyr Glu Ser Arg Asn His Val His Val Ser Val Lys Ala Asp Asn Ser Leu Ala Val Arg Ala Gly Gly Cys Phe Pro Gly Asn Ala Thr Val Arg Leu 200 Trp Ser Gly Glu Arg Lys Gly Leu Arg Glu Leu His Arg Gly Asp Trp Val Leu Ala Ala Asp Ala Ser Gly Arg Val Val Pro Thr Pro Val Leu Leu Phe Leu Asp Arg Asp Leu Gln Arg Arg Ala Ser Phe Val Ala Val Glu Thr Glu Trp Pro Pro Arg Lys Leu Leu Leu Thr Pro Trp His Leu Val Phe Ala Ala Arg Gly Pro Ala Pro Ala Pro Gly Asp Phe Ala Pro Val Phe Ala Arg Arg Leu Arg Ala Gly Asp Ser Val Leu Ala Pro Gly Gly Asp Ala Leu Arg Pro Ala Arg Val Ala Arg Val Ala Arg Glu Glu Ala Val Gly Val Phe Ala Pro Leu Thr Ala His Gly Thr Leu Leu Val Asn Asp Val Leu Ala Ser Cys Tyr Ala Val Leu Glu Ser His Gln Trp Ala His Arg Ala Phe Ala Pro Leu Arg Leu Leu His Ala Leu Gly Ala Leu Leu Pro Gly Gly Ala Val Gln Pro Thr Gly Met His Trp Tyr Ser Arg Leu Leu Tyr Arg Leu Ala Glu Glu Leu Leu Gly 390

# (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:18:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
  - (A) LENGTH: 416 amino acids
  - (B) TYPE: amino acid
  - (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: protein
- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:18:

Met Asp Val Arg Leu His Leu Lys Gln Phe Ala Leu Leu Cys Phe Ile 1 5 10 15

Ser Leu Leu Thr Pro Cys Gly Leu Ala Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg Gly 20 25 30

Tyr Gly Lys Arg Arg His Pro Lys Lys Leu Thr Pro Leu Ala Tyr Lys
35 40

Gln Phe Ile Pro Asn Val Ala Glu Lys Thr Leu Gly Ala Ser Gly Lys 50 60

Tyr Glu Gly Lys Ile Thr Arg Asn Ser Glu Arg Phe Lys Glu Leu Ile 65 70 75 80

Pro Asn Tyr Asn Pro Asp Ile Ile Phe Lys Asp Glu Glu Asn Thr Asn 85 90 95

Ala Asp Arg Leu Met Thr Lys Arg Cys Lys Asp Lys Leu Asn Ser Leu 100 105 110

Ala Ile Ser Val Met Asn His Trp Pro Gly Val Lys Leu Arg Val Thr 115 120 125

Glu Gly Trp Asp Glu Asp Gly His His Leu Glu Glu Ser Leu His Tyr 130 135 140

Glu Gly Arg Ala Val Asp Ile Thr Thr Ser Asp Arg Asp Lys Ser Lys 145 150 155 160

Tyr Gly Met Leu Ser Arg Leu Ala Val Glu Ala Gly Phe Asp Trp Val 165 170 175

Tyr Tyr Glu Ser Lys Ala His Ile His Cys Ser Val Lys Ala Glu Asn 180 185 190

Ser Val Ala Ala Lys Ser Gly Gly Cys Phe Pro Gly Ser Gly Thr Val 195 200 205

Thr Leu Gly Asp Gly Thr Arg Lys Pro Ile Lys Asp Leu Lys Val Gly 210 220

Asp Arg Val Leu Ala Ala Asp Glu Lys Gly Asn Val Leu Ile Ser Asp 225 230 235 240

Phe Ile Met Phe Ile Asp His Asp Pro Thr Thr Arg Arg Gln Phe Ile 245 250 255

Val Ile Glu Thr Ser Glu Pro Phe Thr Lys Leu Thr Leu Thr Ala Ala 260 265 270 His Leu Val Phe Val Gly Asn Ser Ser Ala Ala Ser Gly Ile Thr Ala 275 280 285

Thr Phe Ala Ser Asn Val Lys Pro Gly Asp Thr Val Leu Val Trp Glu 290 295 300

Asp Thr Cys Glu Ser Leu Lys Ser Val Thr Val Lys Arg Ile Tyr Thr 305 310 315 320

Glu Glu His Glu Gly Ser Phe Ala Pro Val Thr Ala His Gly Thr Ile 325 330 335

Ile Val Asp Gln Val Leu Ala Ser Cys Tyr Ala Val Ile Glu Asn His 340 345 350

Lys Trp Ala His Trp Ala Phe Ala Pro Val Arg Leu Cys His Lys Leu 355 360 365

Met Thr Trp Leu Phe Pro Ala Arg Glu Ser Asn Val Asn Phe Gln Glu 370 375 380

Asp Gly Ile His Trp Tyr Ser Asn Met Leu Phe His Ile Gly Ser Trp 385 390 395 400

Leu Leu Asp Arg Asp Ser Phe His Pro Leu Gly Ile Leu His Leu Ser 405 410 415

# (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:19:

- (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
  - (A) LENGTH: 1416 base pairs
  - (B) TYPE: nucleic acid
  - (C) STRANDEDNESS: both
  - (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: cDNA
- (ix) FEATURE:
  - (A) NAME/KEY: CDS
  - (B) LOCATION: 1..1413
- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:19:

ATG GAT AAC CAC AGC TCA GTG CCT TGG GCC AGT GCC GCC AGT GTC ACC

Met Asp Asn His Ser Ser Val Pro Trp Ala Ser Ala Ala Ser Val Thr 1 5 10

TGT CTC TCC CTG GGA TGC CAA ATG CCA CAG TTC CAG TTC CAG 96 Cys Leu Ser Leu Gly Cys Gln Met Pro Gln Phe Gln Phe Gln Phe Gln

CTC CAA ATC CGC AGC GAG CTC CAT CTC CGC AAG CCC GCA AGA AGA ACG

Leu Gln Ile Arg Ser Glu Leu His Leu Arg Lys Pro Ala Arg Arg Thr
35
40
45

CAA ACG ATG CGC CAC ATT GCG CAT ACG CAG CGT TGC CTC AGC AGG CTG 192
Gln Thr Met Arg His Ile Ala His Thr Gln Arg Cys Leu Ser Arg Leu 50

ACC TCT CTG GTG GCC CTG CTG CTG ATC GTC TTG CCG ATG GTC TTT AGC 240

240
Thr Ser Leu Val Ala Leu Leu Leu Ile Val Leu Pro Met Val Phe Ser
65 70 75 80

CCG GCT CAC AGC TGC GGT CCT GGC CGA GGA TTG GGT CGT CAT AGG GCG 288
Pro Ala His Ser Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg Gly Leu Gly Arg His Arg Ala

CGC AAC CTG TAT CCG CTG GTC CTC AAG CAG ACA ATT CCC AAT CTA TCC 336
Arg Asn Leu Tyr Pro Leu Val Leu Lys Gln Thr Ile Pro Asn Leu Ser 100 105

GAG TAC ACG AAC AGC GCC TCC GGA CCT CTG GAG GGT GTG ATC CGT CGG 384 Glu Tyr Thr Asn Ser Ala Ser Gly Pro Leu Glu Gly Val Ile Arg Arg

115 120 125

GAT TCG CCC AAA TTC AAG GAC CTC GTG CCC AAC TAC AAC AGG GAC ATC

Asp Ser Pro Lys Phe Lys Asp Leu Val Pro Asn Tyr Asn Arg Asp Ile
130
135
140

CTT TTC CGT GAC GAG GAA GGC ACC GGA GCG GAT GGC TTG ATG AGC AAG 480

Leu Phe Arg Asp Glu Glu Gly Thr Gly Ala Asp Gly Leu Met Ser Lys 150 150

CGC TGC AAG GAG AAG CTA AAC GTG CTG GCC TAC TCG GTG ATG AAC GAA 528 Arg Cys Lys Glu Lys Leu Asn Val Leu Ala Tyr Ser Val Met Asn Glu

Arg Cys Lys Glu Lys Leu Asn Val Leu Ala Tyr Ser Val Met Asn Glu 165 170 175

TGG CCC GGC ATC CGG CTG CTG GTC ACC GAG AGC TGG GAC GAG GAC TAC 576

Trp Pro Gly Ile Arg Leu Leu Val Thr Glu Ser Trp Asp Glu Asp Tyr 180 185 190

CAT CAC GGC CAG GAG TCG CTC CAC TAC GAG GGC CGA GCG GTG ACC ATT 624
His His Gly Gln Glu Ser Leu His Tyr Glu Gly Arg Ala Val Thr Ile
195 200 205

GCC ACC TCC GAT CGC GAC CAG TCC AAA TAC GGC ATG CTC GCT CGC CTG 672

Ala Thr Ser Asp Arg Asp Gln Ser Lys Tyr Gly Met Leu Ala Arg Leu 210 215 220

GCC GTC GAG GCT GGA TTC GAT TGG GTC TCC TAC GTC AGC AGG CGC CAC 720
Ala Val Glu Ala Gly Phe Asp Trp Val Ser Tyr Val Ser Arg Arg His

225 230 235 240

ATC TAC TGC TCC GTC AAG TCA GAT TCG TCG ATC AGT TCC CAC GTG CAC

768

- Ile Tyr Cys Ser Val Lys Ser Asp Ser Ser Ile Ser Ser His Val His 245 255
- GGC TGC TTC ACG CCG GAG AGC ACA GCG CTG CTG GAG AGT GGA GTC CGG 816
  Gly Cys Phe Thr Pro Glu Ser Thr Ala Leu Leu Glu Ser Gly Val Arg 260 265 270
- AAG CCG CTC GGC GAG CTC TCT ATC GGA GAT CGT GTT TTG AGC ATG ACC 864 Lys Pro Leu Gly Glu Leu Ser Ile Gly Asp Arg Val Leu Ser Met Thr
- GCC AAC GGA CAG GCC GTC TAC AGC GAA GTG ATC CTC TTC ATG GAC CGC 912
- Ala Asn Gly Gln Ala Val Tyr Ser Glu Val Ile Leu Phe Met Asp Arg 290 295 300
- AAC CTC GAG CAG ATG CAA AAC TTT GTG CAG CTG CAC ACG GAC GGT GGA 960 Asn Leu Glu Gln Met Gln Asn Phe Val Gln Leu His Thr Asp Gly Gly
- Asn Leu Glu Gln Met Gln Asn Phe Val Gln Leu His Thr Asp Gly Gly
  305 310 315 320
- GCA GTG CTC ACG GTG ACG CCG GCT CAC CTG GTT AGC GTT TGG CAG CCG 1008
  Ala Val Leu Thr Val Thr Pro Ala His Leu Val Ser Val Trp Gln Pro 325 330 335
- GAG AGC CAG AAG CTC ACG TTT GTG TTT GCG CAT CGC ATC GAG GAG AAG 1056 Glu Ser Gln Lys Leu Thr Phe Val Phe Ala His Arg Ile Glu Glu Lys 340 345 350
- AAC CAG GTG CTC GTA CGG GAT GTG GAG ACG GGC GAG CTG AGG CCC CAG 1104
  Asn Gln Val Leu Val Arg Asp Val Glu Thr Gly Glu Leu Arg Pro Gln 365
- CGA GTG GTC AAG TTG GGC AGT GTG CGC AGT AAG GGC GTG GTC GCG CCG 1152
  Arg Val Val Lys Leu Gly Ser Val Arg Ser Lys Gly Val Val Ala Pro 370 375 380
- CTG ACC CGC GAG GGC ACC ATT GTG GTC AAC TCG GTG GCC GCC AGT TGC 1200

  Leu Thr Arg Glu Gly Thr Ile Val Val Asn Ser Val Ala Ala Ser Cys 385 390 395 400
- TAT GCG GTG ATC AAC AGT CAG TCG CTG GCC CAC TGG GGA CTG GCT CCC 1248

  Tyr Ala Val Ile Asn Ser Gln Ser Leu Ala His Trp Gly Leu Ala Pro
  405
  410
  415
- ATG CGC CTG CTG TCC ACG CTG GAG GCG TGG CTG CCC GCC AAG GAG CAG 1296
  Met Arg Leu Leu Ser Thr Leu Glu Ala Trp Leu Pro Ala Lys Glu Gln
  420
  425
  430
- TTG CAC AGT TCG CCG AAG GTG GTG AGC TCG GCG CAG CAG CAG AAT GGC 1344
  Leu His Ser Ser Pro Lys Val Val Ser Ser Ala Gln Gln Gln Asn Gly 435

ATC CAT TGG TAT GCC AAT GCG CTC TAC AAG GTC AAG GAC TAC GTG CTG 1392

Ile His Trp Tyr Ala Asn Ala Leu Tyr Lys Val Lys Asp Tyr Val Leu 450 460

CCG CAG AGC TGG CGC CAC GAT TGA 1416 Pro Gln Ser Trp Arg His Asp 465 470

- (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:20:
  - (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
    - (A) LENGTH: 471 amino acids
    - (B) TYPE: amino acid
    - (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
  - (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: protein
  - (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:20:

Met Asp Asn His Ser Ser Val Pro Trp Ala Ser Ala Ala Ser Val Thr
1 5 10 15

Cys Leu Ser Leu Gly Cys Gln Met Pro Gln Phe Gln Phe Gln Phe Gln 20 25 30

Leu Gln Ile Arg Ser Glu Leu His Leu Arg Lys Pro Ala Arg Arg Thr 35 40

Gln Thr Met Arg His Ile Ala His Thr Gln Arg Cys Leu Ser Arg Leu 50 60

Thr Ser Leu Val Ala Leu Leu Leu Ile Val Leu Pro Met Val Phe Ser 65 70 75 80

Pro Ala His Ser Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg Gly Leu Gly Arg His Arg Ala 85 90 95

Arg Asn Leu Tyr Pro Leu Val Leu Lys Gln Thr Ile Pro Asn Leu Ser 100 105 110

Glu Tyr Thr Asn Ser Ala Ser Gly Pro Leu Glu Gly Val Ile Arg Arg 115 120 125

Asp Ser Pro Lys Phe Lys Asp Leu Val Pro Asn Tyr Asn Arg Asp Ile 130 135 140

Leu Phe Arg Asp Glu Glu Gly Thr Gly Ala Asp Gly Leu Met Ser Lys 145 150 150 160

Arg Cys Lys Glu Lys Leu Asn Val Leu Ala Tyr Ser Val Met Asn Glu 165 170 175

Trp Pro Gly Ile Arg Leu Leu Val Thr Glu Ser Trp Asp Glu Asp Tyr 180 185 190

His His Gly Gln Glu Ser Leu His Tyr Glu Gly Arg Ala Val Thr Ile 195 200 205 Ala Thr Ser Asp Arg Asp Gln Ser Lys Tyr Gly Met Leu Ala Arg Leu Ala Val Glu Ala Gly Phe Asp Trp Val Ser Tyr Val Ser Arg Arg His 230 Ile Tyr Cys Ser Val Lys Ser Asp Ser Ser Ile Ser Ser His Val His Gly Cys Phe Thr Pro Glu Ser Thr Ala Leu Leu Glu Ser Gly Val Arg Lys Pro Leu Gly Glu Leu Ser Ile Gly Asp Arg Val Leu Ser Met Thr 280 Ala Asn Gly Gln Ala Val Tyr Ser Glu Val Ile Leu Phe Met Asp Arg Asn Leu Glu Gln Met Gln Asn Phe Val Gln Leu His Thr Asp Gly Gly 310 Ala Val Leu Thr Val Thr Pro Ala His Leu Val Ser Val Trp Gln Pro 330 Glu Ser Gln Lys Leu Thr Phe Val Phe Ala His Arg Ile Glu Glu Lys 345 Asn Gln Val Leu Val Arg Asp Val Glu Thr Gly Glu Leu Arg Pro Gln Arg Val Val Lys Leu Gly Ser Val Arg Ser Lys Gly Val Val Ala Pro Leu Thr Arg Glu Gly Thr Ile Val Val Asn Ser Val Ala Ala Ser Cys 390 Tyr Ala Val Ile Asn Ser Gln Ser Leu Ala His Trp Gly Leu Ala Pro Met Arg Leu Leu Ser Thr Leu Glu Ala Trp Leu Pro Ala Lys Glu Gln Leu His Ser Ser Pro Lys Val Val Ser Ser Ala Gln Gln Asn Gly

Ile His Trp Tyr Ala Asn Ala Leu Tyr Lys Val Lys Asp Tyr Val Leu

- Pro Gln Ser Trp Arg His Asp 465 470
- (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:21:
  - (A) LENGTH: 221 amino acids

455

(B) TYPE: amino acid

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:

- (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: peptide
- (v) FRAGMENT TYPE: internal

- (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:21:
- Cys Gly Pro Gly Arg Gly Xaa Gly Xaa Arg Arg His Pro Lys Leu 1 5 10 15

Thr Pro Leu Ala Tyr Lys Gln Phe Ile Pro Asn Val Ala Glu Lys Thr 20 25 30

Leu Gly Ala Ser Gly Arg Tyr Glu Gly Lys Ile Xaa Arg Asn Ser Glu 35 40 45

Arg Phe Lys Glu Leu Thr Pro Asn Tyr Asn Pro Asp Ile Ile Phe Lys 50 55 60

Asp Glu Glu Asn Thr Gly Ala Asp Arg Leu Met Thr Gln Arg Cys Lys 70 75 80

Asp Lys Leu Asn Xaa Leu Ala Ile Ser Val Met Asn Xaa Trp Pro Gly 85 90 95

Val Xaa Leu Arg Val Thr Glu Gly Trp Asp Glu Asp Gly His His Xaa 100 105 110

Glu Glu Ser Leu His Tyr Glu Gly Arg Ala Val Asp Ile Thr Thr Ser  $115 \\ \hspace{1.5cm} 120 \\ \hspace{1.5cm} 125$ 

Asp Arg Asp Xaa Ser Lys Tyr Gly Xaa Leu Xaa Arg Leu Ala Val Glu 130 135 140

Ala Gly Phe Asp Trp Val Tyr Tyr Glu Ser Lys Ala His Ile His Cys 150 150 155 160

Ser Val Lys Ala Glu Asn Ser Val Ala Ala Lys Ser Gly Gly Cys Phe 165 170 175

Lys Asp Leu Xaa Pro Gly Asp Xaa Val Leu Ala Ala Asp Xaa Xaa Gly 195 200 205

Xaa Leu Xaa Xaa Ser Asp Phe Xaa Xaa Phe Xaa Asp Arg 210 215 220

- (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:22:
  - (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
    - (A) LENGTH: 167 amino acids
    - (B) TYPE: amino acid
    - (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
  - (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: peptide
  - (v) FRAGMENT TYPE: internal
  - (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:22:

11

 Cys
 Gly
 Pro
 Gly
 Arg
 Gly
 Xaa
 Xaa
 Xaa
 Arg
 A

His Xaa Ser Val Lys Xaa Xaa 165